

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 55921

Title: Study on the Efficacy and Safety of S-1 Maintenance Therapy in Advanced NSCLC Patients

Reviewer's code: 05266791

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree:

Professional title:

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-29 09:40

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-16 03:01

Review time: 16 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study deals with an interesting theme, investigating the efficacy and safety of S-1 maintenance therapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. The patients included in the study were divided into the S-1 group and the gemcitabine group by random digital table method, and their number was considerable. The clinical efficacy and quality of life of the patients after treatment in the two groups were evaluated. The discussion section gave emphasis to the new contribution of the study in its field. The authors stated that there was no significant difference in the total effective rate between the two groups. The manuscript presents sufficient quality to be published in this journal.

Answer: Thank you very much for your professional advice. We are very grateful and answer your comments with humility. We have carefully modified and improved the language and scientific writing rules.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 55921

Title: Study on the Efficacy and Safety of S-1 Maintenance Therapy in Advanced NSCLC Patients

Reviewer's code: 05266795

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree:

Professional title:

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-29 09:39

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-16 03:03

Review time: 16 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript Title: Study on the Efficacy and Safety of S-1 Maintenance Therapy in Advanced NSCLC Patients. 1- Title reflected the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript. 2- The abstract summarized and reflect the described in the manuscript. 3- Key words reflected the focus of the manuscript. 4- The manuscript adequately described the background, presented status and significance of the study. 5- The manuscript described methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail. 6- The research objectives are achieved by the experiments used in this study. Authors investigate the efficacy and safety of S-1 maintenance therapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. 7- The manuscript interpreted the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. 8- Manuscript included sufficient, good quality Tables. 9- The manuscript cited appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The author self-cited. 10- The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented and the style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriated.

Answer: Thank you very much for your professional advice. We feel really humble to answer your comments. We have carefully modified and improved the language and scientific writing rules.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 55921

Title: Study on the Efficacy and Safety of S-1 Maintenance Therapy in Advanced NSCLC Patients

Reviewer's code: 05266800

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree:

Professional title:

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Australia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-29 09:39

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-16 03:05

Review time: 16 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, Thank you for sharing your article entitled "Study on the Efficacy and Safety of S-1 Maintenance Therapy in Advanced NSCLC Patients". Your article is good in grammar and scientific writing rules. The topic is actual and well described. Sincerely

Answer: Thank you very much for your professional advice and pertinent evaluation.

We have carefully modified and improved the language and scientific writing rules.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 55921

Title: Study on the Efficacy and Safety of S-1 Maintenance Therapy in Advanced NSCLC Patients

Reviewer's code: 03769068

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-20 15:22

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-20 15:42

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study aimed to investigate the efficacy and safety of S-1 maintenance therapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. This is a retrospective study, with a good methodological approach and interesting results. The text is well written. I believe that figure 1 can be improved.

Answer: We are extremely grateful to Reviewer 4 for pointing out this problem. We have revised figure 1 and and adjusted the text.