

Dear editor:

Thanks to the WJCC editorial department for considering the publication of our article entitled "Genetic diagnosis history and Osteoarticular phenotype of a non-transfusion secondary hemochromatosis—Case report". We have reconsidered the research method of this article is not just a simple case report. We have diagnosed this patient after 10 years. If your journal can consider this article published in the "Observational Study(ORIGINAL ARTICLE)" column, instead of "Case report", which is more in line with the scientific content of this article, and has important implications for the future research of similar clinical case diagnosis methods. So we are currently submitting it to your journal in the format of "Observational Study(ORIGINAL ARTICLE)". If you can accept our request, it will be a great decision. Thank you.

Best regards,

Jie-Wei Luo, Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fujian provincial hospital,
No.134 Dongjie, Fuzhou 350001, China. E-mail doclu0421@aliyun.com

Reply to the reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Very good case...Thank you very much for giving us a new point of view...

Reply: Thank you! We considered that the research method of this article is not just a simple case report. We have diagnosed this patient after 10 years. It is recommended that this article be switched to the "Observational Study" section, instead of "Case report", which is more in line with the scientific content of this article, and has important implications for the future research of similar clinical case diagnosis methods.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The Authors present the case report of a patient with osteoarticular phenotype of a non-transfusion secondary hemochromatosis and also describe his genetic diagnosis history . The article is very interesting and little describing in literature. The iconography is well represented. Certainly the history of this patient is long and complicated, but it would be appropriate to try to make the case presentation more smooth and with sequential timing. In addition, in my opinion the article is too long, so I suggest trying to shorten the discussion above all . It is also recommended to follow the guidelines of the authors recommended by the journal for the case reports.

Reply: Thank you! We rephrased and polished the article and so on. We hope this article can be switched to the "Observational Study" column, so we are currently submitting it to your journal in the format of the "Observational Study" column.

Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: In the case report entitled “Genetic diagnosis history and Osteoarticular phenotype of a non-transfusion secondary hemochromatosis—Case report”, the authors reported the study of genetic background of a patient with hemochromatosis complicated with psoriasis on both lower extremities. The manuscript is interesting. This reviewer has one minor concern: Abbreviations (such as “CT”, “ERY”, “MRI”, “ANA”..., etc) should be with its full name when it firstly appears in the paper.

Reply: Thank you! According to your advice, we have made relevant modification to this article. We hope this article can be published in the "Observational Study" column, so we are currently submitting it to your journal in the format of the "Observational Study" column.

Reviewer #4:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: It is an interesting case report about genetic diagnosis history and osteoarticular phenotype of a non-transfusion secondary hemochromatosis. However, the following should be considered: 1. The case is extensively described, and the manuscript overall is too long and should be shortened. 2. The language editing is poor to the degree of confusion. 3. More organization to the manuscript should be performed.

Reply: Thank you! According to your advice, we have made relevant modification to this article. We hope this article can be published in the "Observational Study" column, so we are currently submitting it to your journal in the format of the "Observational Study" column.