

1. In the result you mentioned "Compliance of families with girls was significantly higher than those with boys ( $\chi^2 = 9.681$ ,  $P = 0.002$ )". However, in the discussion you mentioned that "Our multivariate analysis showed that there was no difference in compliance between genders of either the patient or the caregiver." Why there is discrepancy here?

We thank the reviewer for this question. This has now been clarified in the main text.

'There was difference in children's compliance between genders with univariate analysis, but there was no difference in the multivariate regression setting, indicating that children's gender is not an independent predicting factor'.

2. A paragraph about the limitations of the study is missing after the discussion and before the conclusion. Obviously, there were many other factors that were overlooked or were not possible to be added to this study. Factors such as the economic situation of the family or number of rooms or other facilities at home or even a history of death in the family because of Covid 19 may influence the compliance of the patient and caregivers. There can be added to the limitations of the study.

We thank the reviewer for this valuable suggestion. The limitations are now discussed in the main text.

'Our research has several limitations. Some important factors, such as the family's income, the occupation of the main caregiver and the size of the family's housing can also affect children's compliance with home isolation. This article did not address those potentially confounding factors due to lack of data availability. These parameters need to be investigated in future studies'.

3. A sentence about the ethical approval of the study needs to be added to the manuscript.

We thank the reviewer for this comment.

'Our study was approved by the hospital ethics committee (ethics approval number XXXXX) and all patients provided informed consent form'.