



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 56150

Title: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for Budd-Chiari syndrome: a comprehensive review.

Reviewer's code: 03723763

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2020-04-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-19 08:59

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-29 08:01

Review time: 9 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Among all the available treatment options for BCS, TIPS has played a pivotal role, with different opinions among the physicians during the last decade. There are many reports of BCS using TIPS treatment, however, there are relatively few reviews on TIPS treatment of BCS. It is very necessary of this review is to summarize the latest data available in literature, focusing on those regarding the safety and efficacy of TIPS for the treatment of BCS and to discuss unresolved issues and future perspectives. This review has the following characteristics: 1.The structure and language of this review are well organized and can clearly explain the points; 2. It is recommended that the author make a simple explanation of the differences between BCS and SOS in pathology, clinical and imaging diagnosis. The differences between BCS and SOS is essential. But in China, many clinicians do not yet have a significant understanding of them, always mistaken SOS as BCS. Especially in China, part of it is SOS caused by pyrrolizidine alkaloids related. The treatment of such SOS does not care whether the hepatic vein is opened or not. 3.After minor revisions, I suggest that the article can be accepted for publication.