



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 56285

**Title:** Endometrial stromal sarcoma extending to the pulmonary artery: A rare case report

**Reviewer's code:** 02446694

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACC, FAHA, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-05-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-08-18 19:21

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-08-22 09:06

**Review time:** 3 Days and 13 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This case itself seems to be rare and to be worth of case presentation. However, there are several problems to be solved. #1 The whole of manuscript, including the "abstract" section, is difficult to read, because of poor English quality. Furthermore, the corrections of native English checker are present in the submitted manuscript. The authors should make their manuscript to be checked again by a native English checker. #2 According to the finding of echocardiography, this patient had pulmonary hypertension. Thus, the authors should add information of vital sign (blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, O<sub>2</sub> saturation) and ECG. In addition, after the surgery, had pulmonary hypertension improved? The authors had better add any information about it, if possible. #3 The authors mentioned that the patient was in good condition? Was it true? "Her condition had been stable or without any trouble" was right? #4 The authors had better provide any information regarding the follow-up after the first surgery, if possible.