

Dear editor:

Thank you for your kind letter. We revised the manuscript in accordance with the reviewer's comments, and carefully proof-read the manuscript to minimize typographical, grammatical errors. Here below is our description on revision according to the reviewer's comments.

Part A (Reviewer 1)

The reviewer's comment:

1. The manuscript required a minor revision, both for the language and the format. 2. Tables required a minor editing.

The author's answer:

1. All references have been re-inserted, and the newly inserted references are shown in red in the text.  
2. The three data tables have been changed to 3-line tables, and the side column data in table1 is deleted, and the original a is adjusted to the upper left corner of the number, a indicates comparison with controls:  $p < 0.05$ .  
3. Finally, all numbers in the article have been deleted, and the abstract part is combined into a whole.

Part B (Reviewer 2)

The reviewer's comment:

The manuscript is overall well written; however, a minor language editing is required. The tables also require an editing.

The author's answer:

1. The three data tables have been changed to 3-line tables, and the side column data in table1 is deleted, and the original a is adjusted to the upper left corner of the number, a indicates comparison with controls:  $p < 0.05$ .  
2. Finally, all numbers in the article have been deleted, and the abstract part is combined into a whole.

Part C (Reviewer 3)

The reviewer's comment:

I have a minor comment, the format of references should be modified.

The author's answer:

All references have been re-inserted, and the newly inserted references are shown in red in the text.