

Answer to reviewers

1. An illustration of the surgical procedure should be provided to deepen the understanding of this method.

Answer: We added a figure by hand and made some changes in Surgical Techniques section (red mark).

2. In the Results section, more information should be provided instead of two Tables.

Answer: We added another table and made corresponding changes in the results section (red mark).

3. In this discussion section, the authors should compare the currently widely used method of narrowing Petersen’ s defect with this method. The pros and cons of all methods should be discussed.

Answer: We changed the order of some sentences in the discussion section. The pros and cons of some methods for narrowing Petersen ’ s defect was discussed in the third paragraph of the discussion (red mark).

Answer to Science Editor

1. I found the title was more than 12 words. The title should be no more than 12 words;

Answer: our title changed to “Easy Fixation Effects the Prevention of Peterson ’ s Hernia and Roux Stasis Syndrome ”

2. I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list.

Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references.

Answer: We added “PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers” in the references. Reference 21 is not indexed by PubMed , we provide BPG with a printed copy of the full article in “Supplementary Material ”.

3. I found the authors did not write the “article highlight” section. Please write the “article highlights” section at the end of the main text.

Answer: We've dealt with it.