

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 56540

**Title:** Laparoscopic management of a giant mucinous benign ovarian mass weighing 10150 grams: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 04965020

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Singapore

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-05-05

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-05-05 11:11

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-05-05 11:31

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I read with interest a case report by the authors about a case of large ovarian cyst being managed laparoscopically. It is indeed a challenging condition to manage laparoscopically and I congratulate the team for being able to manage this patient successfully. I have 2 questions: 1. What was the wound protection method used during the decompression and extraction? How was the decompression done specifically to prevent spillage 2. 27.5% of having a borderline or malignant tumor is quite high. If spillage would occur, how does this negatively affect the prognosis of the patient? By mentioning this, it would allow the readers to have a balanced view of risk versus benefit to the patient when choosing the method of surgery,

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 56540

**Title:** Laparoscopic management of a giant mucinous benign ovarian mass weighing 10150 grams: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 04967668

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Slovenia

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-05-05

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-05-06 05:11

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-05-06 20:47

**Review time:** 15 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I find the “Laparoscopic management of a giant mucinous benign ovarian mass weighing 10,150 grams: a case report.” very interesting for it points out very nicely the relativeness of the apparent limits of laparoscopic surgery. The article is very well written and clear. The discussion section is fair, the references are relevant and updated. The surgical procedure is nicely presented, however the crucial step of it deserves some clarification. Namely, how the aspiration of the multilocular cyst has been achieved while avoiding that the content of it would be spilled in the abdomen. Was the wound of the mini-laparotomy appropriately protected? Have all the sub-compartments of the cyst been reached through one single cystotomy?