# World Journal of *Diabetes*

World J Diabetes 2020 September 15; 11(9): 370-399





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Diabetes

# Contents

Monthly Volume 11 Number 9 September 15, 2020

# **EDITORIAL**

370 Is there a role for glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in the management of diabetic nephropathy? Veneti S, Tziomalos K

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# **Basic Study**

374 Analysis of long noncoding RNA-associated competing endogenous RNA network in glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist-mediated protection in  $\beta$  cells

Cui LJ, Bai T, Zhi LP, Liu ZH, Liu T, Xue H, Yang HH, Yang XH, Zhang M, Niu YR, Liu YF, Zhang Y

# SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

391 Lower extremity amputations and long-term outcomes in diabetic foot ulcers: A systematic review Rathnayake A, Saboo A, Malabu UH, Falhammar H



# Contents

Monthly Volume 11 Number 9 September 15, 2020

# **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Diabetes, Dr. She-Yu Li, MD, is an Associate Professor and Specialist of Endocrinology and Diabetology at West China Hospital Sichuan University. He is the co-chief and co-founder of MAGIC China Center and board member of the Diabetes and Obesity Study Group of the Chinese Diabetes Society. He received his MD degree from the West China School of Medicine, Sichuan University in 2012, after which he worked as a visiting researcher at Ninewells Hospital, University of Dundee (Scotland; 2017 to 2019). His research involves electronic medical record-based real-world studies and evidence translation in the fields of diabetes, obesity, and related diseases. These efforts have led to the publication of over 100 papers in peerreviewed journals. (L-Editor: Filipodia)

# **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Diabetes (WJD, World J Diabetes) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of diabetes with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJD mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of diabetes and covering a wide range of topics including risk factors for diabetes, diabetes complications, experimental diabetes mellitus, type 1 diabetes mellitus, type 2 diabetes mellitus, gestational diabetes, diabetic angiopathies, diabetic cardiomyopathies, diabetic coma, diabetic ketoacidosis, diabetic nephropathies, diabetic neuropathies, Donohue syndrome, fetal macrosomia, and prediabetic state.

# **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJD is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2020 Edition of Journal Citation Reports<sup>®</sup> cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for WJD as 3.247; IF without journal self cites: 3.222; Ranking: 70 among 143 journals in endocrinology and metabolism; and Quartile category: Q2.

# **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Yu-Jie Ma; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ping Yan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS				
World Journal of Diabetes	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204				
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS				
ISSN 1948-9358 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287				
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH				
June 15, 2010	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240				
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS				
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288				
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT				
Timothy Koch	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208				
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE				
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9358/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242				
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS				
September 15, 2020	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239				
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION				
© 2020 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com				

© 2020 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJD

# World Journal of Diabetes

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Diabetes 2020 September 15; 11(9): 391-399

DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v11.i9.391

ISSN 1948-9358 (online)

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

# Lower extremity amputations and long-term outcomes in diabetic foot ulcers: A systematic review

Ayeshmanthe Rathnayake, Apoorva Saboo, Usman H Malabu, Henrik Falhammar

**ORCID number:** Ayeshmanthe Rathnayake 0000-0003-1901-4675; Apoorva Saboo 0000-0002-1965-1597; Usman H Malabu 0000-0003-4899-7228; Henrik Falhammar 0000-0002-5622-6987.

Author contributions: Rathnayake A contributed to acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article; Saboo A contributed to acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article; Malabu U contributed to interpretation of data, revising the article, final approval; Falhammar H contributed to interpretation of data, revising the article, final approval.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors deny any conflict of interest

# PRISMA 2009 Checklist statement:

The authors have read the PRISMA 2009 Checklist, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the PRISMA 2009 Checklist.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0)

Ayeshmanthe Rathnayake, Apoorva Saboo, Usman H Malabu, Usman H Malabu, Department of Medicine, Townsville University Hospital, Townsville 4814, Queensland, Australia

Usman H Malabu, School of Medicine and Dentistry, James Cook University, Townsville 4811, Queensland, Australia

Henrik Falhammar, Department of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm 17176, Sweden

Henrik Falhammar, Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm 17176, Sweden

Corresponding author: Ayeshmanthe Rathnayake, MBBS, Doctor, Department of Medicine, Townsville University Hospital, 100 Angus Smith Drive, Townsville 4817, Queensland, Australia. ayeshmantherathnayake@gmail.com

# Abstract

# BACKGROUND

Diabetes mellitus causes a large majority of non-traumatic major and minor amputations globally. Patients with diabetes are clinically complex with a multifactorial association between diabetic foot ulcers (DFU) and subsequent lower extremity amputations (LEA). Few studies show the long-term outcomes within the cohort of DFU-associated LEA.

# AIM

To highlight the long-term outcomes of LEA as a result of DFU.

# **METHODS**

PubMed/MEDLINE and Google Scholar were searched for key terms, "diabetes", "foot ulcers", "amputations" and "outcomes". Outcomes such as mortality, reamputation, re-ulceration and functional impact were recorded. Peer-reviewed studies with adult patients who had DFU, subsequent amputation and follow up of at least 1 year were included. Non-English language articles or studies involving children were excluded.

# RESULTS

A total of 22 publications with a total of 2334 patients were selected against the inclusion criteria for review. The weighted mean of re-amputation was 20.14%, 29.63% and 45.72% at 1, 3 and 5 years respectively. The weighted mean of



license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/licenses /by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Received: May 7, 2020 Peer-review started: May 7, 2020 First decision: May 24, 2020 Revised: June 6, 2020 Accepted: August 15, 2020 Article in press: August 15, 2020 Published online: September 15, 2020

P-Reviewer: Papazafiropoulou A S-Editor: Gong ZM L-Editor: A P-Editor: Ma YJ



mortality at 1, 3 and 5 years were 13.62%, 30.25% and 50.55% respectively with significantly higher rates associated with major amputation, re-amputation and ischemic cardiomyopathy.

# **CONCLUSION**

Previous LEA, level of the LEA and patient comorbidities were significant risk factors contributing to re-ulceration, re-amputation, mortality and depreciated functional status.

Key Words: Lower extremity amputations; Long-term outcomes; Diabetic foot ulcers; Quality of life; Re-amputation; Diabetes

©The Author(s) 2020. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: There was a significantly higher degree of re-amputation and mortality in those who undergo amputations due to diabetic foot ulcers in addition to impact on quality of life. Data on long-term outcomes in these patients were limited and requires further research to better understand the long-term outcomes in this subset of patients.

Citation: Rathnayake A, Saboo A, Malabu UH, Falhammar H. Lower extremity amputations and long-term outcomes in diabetic foot ulcers: A systematic review. World J Diabetes 2020; 11(9): 391-399

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9358/full/v11/i9/391.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v11.i9.391

# INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a leading cause for non-traumatic major and minor amputations worldwide. The global diabetes prevalence is expected to rise to 10.2% by 2030, reflecting a considerable health and financial burden across the world due to its recognised aetiology in lower extremity amputations (LEA)<sup>[1]</sup>. The combination of peripheral neuropathy and vascular disease gives rise to diabetic foot ulcers (DFU) subsequently leading to a higher rate of LEA. LEA is defined as surgical removal of bones and soft tissue by transecting at any level of the lower extremity and can be classified into minor and major amputation. The globally accepted definition of minor amputation is below ankle joint encompassing forefoot and toe while major amputations are at or proximal to the ankle joint such as below or above knee amputation.

The associated immunosuppression as well as impaired blood flow to DFU make conservative treatment with antibiotics difficult and usually mandate extensive, repeated debridement or eventual amputation. Patients with diabetes have a varied, yet overall increased risk of LEA with an incidence of 50-500 per 100000<sup>[2]</sup>. The shortand long-term outcomes as a result of LEA are profound, as patients with diabetes often have complex comorbidities and socioeconomic backgrounds. Short-term outcomes following LEA are poor, with early post-operatively mortality up to 22%<sup>[3]</sup>. There is currently no systematic review nor meta-analysis published in this field.

The objective of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to elucidate the longterm outcomes in diabetic patients who have LEA as a result of an ulcer. Outcomes such as mortality, re-amputation, re-ulceration and functional return are discussed with an emphasis of associated risk factors.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The authors performed a systematic review of electronic databases and peer-reviewed sources including PubMed/MEDLINE up to March 25, 2020. Key search terms "Diabetes", "Foot Ulcers", "Amputations" and "Outcomes" were used and the authors hand searched each identified manuscript for pertinent references. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Age > 18 years; (2) Presence of DFU prior to undergoing an amputation; and (3) Outcomes measured at longer than at least 12 mo. The exclusion



criteria was limited to studies relating to paediatric patients. Only English language studies with original data were included with no restriction on publication date. Duplicate results were excluded. Each study was assessed for selection by two individual authors with a plan for escalation to corresponding authors, should there be a disagreement. No studies required further consensus. Statistical analysis looking at weighted mean age, rates of mortality at 1, 3 and 5 years and re-amputation were calculated. Individual studies were examined for bias, in particular, selection, attrition and recall bias. Significant loss to follow up, degree of heterogeneity and presence of confounding factors were examined. The PRISMA 2009 Checklist was followed. No conflict of interests are declared with no external funding sought for this study.

# RESULTS

Key search terms yielded a total of 125 results of which 22 publications were selected against the inclusion and exclusion criteria for review. Of the selected studies, 11 studies were prospective, 8 retrospective, 3 systematic review and meta-analyses (Table 1). Overall, the majority of selected articles were level III evidence but ranged from level II-V and no randomized controlled trials were found. Sixteen of the 18 studies conducted standardisation of the patient cohort with regards to co-morbidities. In the 9 prospective studies, only three studies had any loss of follow up in patients (range 5%-20%).

Rates of re-ulceration were reported to be higher in patients with history of LEA and DFU compared to conservative management in both ipsilateral and contralateral limbs. The weighted mean was calculated for both re-amputation and mortality. A total of 9 articles reported rates of re-amputation of which only 2 articles specified rates at 1, 3 and 5 years. With the exclusion of 2 publications, all articles were published after 2001 and the calculated weighted mean of re-amputation was 20.14%, 29.63% and 45.72% at 1, 3 and 5 years respectively (Table 2). A total of 8 articles specify mortality outcomes at 1, 3 and 5 years, of which, only 2 articles were published prior to 2001 (Table 3). The cumulative total of 994 patients found a mean age of 70.2 years and exhibited a male predominance. The weighted mean mortality at 1, 3 and 5 years were 13.62%, 30.25% and 50.55% respectively and were only calculated using the studies which specified each interval's long-term outcome.

# DISCUSSION

There is a paucity of data pertaining to long-term outcomes of patients with DFUassociated LEA. Few studies exceed 5 years with the longest study assessing outcomes just over 10 years. The main outcomes of this systematic review and meta-analysis found a weighted mean of mortality at 1, 3 and 5 years were 13.62%, 30.25% and 50.55% respectively and weighted mean of re-amputation at 1, 3 and 5 years were 20.14%, 29.63% and 45.72% respectively. Major amputation was found to be a negative prognostic factor for return to activities of daily living.

## Re-ulceration

Patients with a previous LEA for DFU have a higher risk of re-ulceration than patients undergoing conservative treatment for DFU. In a 4-year follow-up study, 40% of patients with previous LEA had re-ulceration at 1 year compared to 30% of the non-LEA group. At the 3 and 5-year mark, the ulcer recurrence was 70% and 75% in the LEA group compared to 52% and 60% in the non-LEA group<sup>[4]</sup>. Following LEA, there is a high risk of re-ulceration of both the ipsilateral and contralateral limb with the former being higher. In a 5-year follow-up study of 245 patients who had undergone toe amputation for DFU, the cumulative incidence of a new foot ulcer at 1,3 and 5 years was 27.3%, 57.2% and 74.4% respectively. The rate of ulceration in the contralateral limb has been shown to be as high as 23%<sup>[5]</sup>.

## Re-amputation

Previous LEA is an important, independent risk factor for further amputations. The earliest studies in the late 1990s examining re-amputations in patients involved rates as high as 60% over 10 years in 90 patients with a Swedish study of 189 patients reporting re-amputation rates at 14%, 30% and 49% at years 1, 3 and 5 after the index LEA<sup>[6,7]</sup>.



Table 1 Follow-up, nature, and presence of standardisation in selected studies						
Ref.	Number of patients lost to follow up (5)	Nature of study	Standardisation for comorbidities			
Chu et al <sup>[12]</sup>	17 (6.9)	Prospective	Standardised			
Larrson <i>et al</i> <sup>[7]</sup>	0	Prospective	Not standardised			
Schleiffer <i>et al</i> <sup>[23]</sup>	0	Prospective	Standardised			
Faglia <i>et al</i> <sup>[20]</sup>	0	Prospective	Standardised			
Van Damme <i>et al</i> <sup>[13]</sup>	0	Prospective	Standardised			
Goldner <i>et al</i> <sup>[5]</sup>	NS	Prospective	Standardised			
Morbach <i>et al</i> <sup>[16]</sup>	0	Prospective	Standardised			
Ghanassia et al <sup>[8]</sup>	5 (5)	Prospective	Standardised			
Skoutas <i>et al</i> <sup>[10]</sup>	0	Prospective	Standardised			
Adler <i>et al</i> <sup>[24]</sup>	155 (2)	Prospective	Standardised			
Ohsawa <i>et al</i> <sup>[25]</sup>	0	Prospective	Standardised			
Izumi et al <sup>[9]</sup>	N/A	Retrospective	Standardised			
Murdoch <i>et al</i> <sup>[6]</sup>	N/A	Retrospective	Standardised			
Uzzaman <i>et al</i> <sup>[26]</sup>	N/A	Retrospective	Standardised			
Acar et al <sup>[11]</sup>	N/A	Retrospective	Standardised			
Nerone <i>et al</i> <sup>[17]</sup>	N/A	Retrospective	Standardised			
Aulivola <i>et al</i> <sup>[27]</sup>	N/A	Retrospective	Not standardised			
Jeyaraman et al <sup>[18]</sup>	N/A	Retrospective	Standardised			
Jeyaraman <i>et al</i> <sup>[21]</sup>	N/A	Retrospective	Standardised			
Thorud <i>et al</i> <sup>[15]</sup>	N/A	Meta-analyses	Standardised			
Albers <i>et al</i> <sup>[28]</sup>	N/A	Meta-analyses	Standardised			
Borkosky <i>et al</i> <sup>[14]</sup>	N/A	Systematic Review	Standardised			

NS: Not specified; N/A: Not applicable.

More recently, in a study of 39 patients over 6.5 years, the re-amputation rate remained high at 55.6%<sup>[8]</sup>. This high rate was also observed over time in another study of 277 patients over 10 years where re-amputation at 1, 3 and 5 years was 26.7%, 48.3% and 60.7%<sup>[9]</sup>. Other studies show a lower re-amputation rate. A re-amputation rate of 21.5% in a study of 121 patients , 16.7% in 132 patients following DFU-related LEA and 12.5%, 22.3% and 47.1% in 245 patients at 1, 3 and 5 years<sup>[10-12]</sup>.

There is conflicting evidence regarding the role of the index LEA in the risk of subsequent re-amputation. Murdoch et al<sup>[6]</sup> in the 1990s first illustrated that a large proportion of patients with an LEA at the level of the great toe or ray amputation received a higher-level amputation in the first 12 mo<sup>[6]</sup>. In 146 patients over a 5-year follow up period, a higher rate of re-amputation was observed in primary toe compared to a more proximal forefoot index LEA (28% vs 24%)<sup>[13]</sup>.

A systematic review conducted by Borkosky et al<sup>[14]</sup> of 435 index ray amputations showed a re-amputation incidence of 19.8% over a mean follow-up of 26 mo. In response to this high rate of re-amputation, Throud et al<sup>[15]</sup> assessed the viability of a more proximal transmetatarsal amputation. A higher rate of 29.7% was observed in this systematic review of 1453 patients. The most statistically significant difference, however, was seen in 121 patients assessed by Skoutas et al<sup>[10]</sup> In this study with a follow-up of 18 mo, re-amputation rate following a toe and ray amputation were significantly higher compared to an index major LEA at all 1, 3 and 5 years. Overall, the rate of ipsilateral re-amputation significantly reduced by 34% as the level of the original LEA went higher.

However, the Swedish study in 1998 showed no difference in rate of re-amputation following an index major or minor LEA<sup>[7]</sup>. Similarly, in another study of 247 patients, there was no statistical difference in re-amputation rate regardless of the nature of



WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com

Ref.	Year		Re-amputation, 9	Re-amputation, %			
		n	1 yr	3 yr	5 yr		
Acar <i>et al</i> <sup>[11]</sup>	2017	132	NS	22	N/A		
Uzzaman <i>et al</i> <sup>[26]</sup>	2016	79	NS	NS	13.9		
Chu <i>et al</i> <sup>[12]</sup>	2014	245	12.5	22.3	47.1		
Skoutas <i>et al</i> <sup>[10]</sup>	2009	121	21.5	N/A	N/A		
Ghanassia et al <sup>[8]</sup>	2008	39	NS	NS	55.6		
Izumi et al <sup>[9]</sup>	2006	277	26.7	48.3	60.7		
Faglia <i>et al</i> <sup>[20]</sup>	2001	80	0	0	0		
Murdoch <i>et al</i> <sup>[6]</sup>	1997	90	NS	NS	60		
Hosch <i>et al</i> <sup>[29]</sup>	1997	35	63	N/A	N/A		
Weighted, mean ± SD			$20.14 \pm 3.55$	29.63 ± 8.35	$45.72 \pm 9.09$		

NS: Not specified; N/A: Not applicable.

Table 3 Mortality at 1, 3 and 5 years in patients who previously had had a lower extremity amputation due to diabetes foot ulcer							
Ref.	Year	-	Mean age	Male, %	Mortality, %		
		n			1 yr	3 yr	5 yr
López-Valverde <i>et al</i> <sup>[30]</sup>	2018	203	72	78	9.4	27.2	44.5
Uzzaman <i>et al</i> <sup>[26]</sup>	2016	79	75	64.5	15.6	25	27
Chu et al <sup>[12]</sup>	2014	245	69.27	53	5.8	15.1	32.7
Morbach <i>et al</i> <sup>[16]</sup>	2012	38	68.8	58.7	15.4	33.1	45.8
Ghanassia et al <sup>[8]</sup>	2008	39	63.8	69.7	12	35	44
Faglia <i>et al</i> <sup>[20]</sup>	2001	80	63.4	73	33.3	51.9	74.1
Larrson <i>et al</i> <sup>[7]</sup>	1998	187	72	56	15	38	68
Apelqvist et al <sup>[4]</sup>	1993	123	70	55	20	41	73
Weighted, mean ± SD					$13.62\pm0.92$	$30.25 \pm 2.12$	$50.55 \pm 4.13$

index LEA in the follow up period of 10 years<sup>[16]</sup>. With regards to contralateral reamputation, the level of index LEA was also not statistically significant. The effect of the level of index LEA on subsequent re-amputation can be extremely useful in clinical decision making and have a significant effect on patient outcomes. Larger cohorts are needed to establish a meaningful association or lack thereof between index level LEA and re-amputation. In addition, recording the time of subsequent amputations may provide further insight into differences between type of index amputation and the interval time to re-amputation.

The presence and severity of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) was also seen as a significant risk factor in the need for re-amputation. In a study of 163 patients with an index DFU-associated LEA, rates of re-amputation were significantly related to the presence and severity of PAD<sup>[17]</sup>. Over a mean follow-up of 3.65 years, these patients either had a subsequent major or minor re-amputation. A higher proportion of the major group (111 patients) had PAD compared to in the minor (52 patients) group (71.15% *vs* 22.23%; *P* < 0.001). Furthermore, there was a significantly decreased interval to a major amputation if PAD was present and whether it was mild to moderate (1.62 years) or severe (1.53 years) than if no PAD (3.24 years).

An Australian study done by Jeyaraman et al<sup>[18]</sup> of 513 patients with DFU with a mean follow-up of 5.8 years showed prior LEA was an independent factor to subsequent re-amputation. In the 263 patients who had a LEA, 85 (32.3%) had a prior LEA which was statistically significant. The odds ratio (OR) for any subsequent LEA



was 4.49 (95% confidence interval 1.69-11.9), further broken down into 4.84 in the minor group and 3.06 for a subsequent major LEA.

#### Mortality

We found a total of 8 articles which had reported on up to 5-year mortality in patients undergoing major and minor amputations secondary to DFU with interval data at 1, 3 and 5 years (Table 2). The weighted mean mortality at 1, 3 and 5 years were 13.62%, 30.25% and 50.55% respectively (Table 3). Furthermore, six other studies illustrated similar mortality at varied follow-up periods ranging from 1 to 10 years. Comparable 3-year mortality was reported by Nerone et al<sup>[17]</sup> and Ramsey et al<sup>[19]</sup> of 28.85% and 28% respectively.

Chu et  $al^{[12]}$  (2014) is the largest study to date which observed 245 patients for 5 years post DFU-associated LEA and reported the cumulative mortality of 5.8%, 15.1% and 32.7% at 1, 3 and 5 years respectively. The overall all-cause mortality in this study was 37.8% with an average survival time for deceased patients of 3.8 years and a longer duration of survival in females (4.1 years). Cause of death varied including footrelated deaths (25.7%), renal failure (22.9%), heart failure (18.6%) and malignancy (17.1%). Age > 70, poor glycemic control (HbA1c > 9, P < 0.01), critical limb ischemia (OR = 5.60; 95%CI: 2.41-12.98, *P* < 0.01), diabetic nephropathy (OR = 3.86; 95%CI: 1.65-9.03; P < 0.01), level of amputation and re-amputation were identified as independent risk factors for impaired wound healing, re-ulceration, re-amputation and mortality.

The level and previous history of amputation were observed as risk factors. In Larson et al<sup>[7]</sup>, a statistically significant higher mortality was observed following major index LEA than minor. Overall, mortality rates at 1, 3 and 5 year were also higher than previous studies (15%, 38% and 68%) respectively. Apelqvist *et al*<sup>[4]</sup> (1993) reported a higher long-term (1, 3 and 5 year) mortality rate among patients with a previous amputation from a diabetic foot ulcer compared to new, primary amputation (20%, 41%, 73% vs 8%, 27%, 42%).

Faglia et al<sup>[20]</sup> reported higher rates of mortality at each interval 33.3%, 51.9% and 74% of which ischemic cardiomyopathy was identified as the most frequent cause of death. A large proportion (47%) of this study population were affected with ischemic cardiomyopathy unlike a much smaller percentage reported in previous studies. Subsequently, it is an important independent patient characteristic to consider when assessing risk in patients undergoing LEA with DFU given there were no reamputations in this cohort. Chu et al<sup>[12]</sup> also reported ischemic heart disease (HR = 1.6, 95%CI: 1.1-2.4) in addition to deeper ulcers with bone involvement (HR = 1.5, 95%CI: 1.2-1.7) as positive predictors for death.

In the Australian study of 513 patients conducted by Jeyaraman *et al*<sup>[21]</sup>, there were 199 deaths during a mean follow-up of 5.8 years. The 5-year mortality was recorded at 24.6%, increasing to 45.4% at the 10-year mark. Of note, these patients died at an average age of 64.6 years, significantly lower than the Australian average of 80.4 years males and 84.5 years in females.

The 14 studies describing mortality post DFU-associated LEA fall within comparable ranges, however, some variability noted is due to smaller cohort sizes and presence of ischemic cardiomyopathy in particular.

#### Effect on the activities of daily living

There is limited data available describing the functional outcomes in patients undergoing DFU-associated LEA. Although such a cohort of patients invariably have complex socioeconomic backgrounds and variable demographics, our search yielded two studies with relatively objective measures of functional status.

Re-amputation has been noted to play an important role in return to functional status in long-term studies. Chu et al<sup>[12]</sup> examined the long-term impact on activities of daily living based on Barthel Index Classification (BIC). The Barthel Index of Activities of Daily Living is a simple tool measuring functional independence<sup>[22]</sup>. It comprises 10 separate sections of assessment with a total score of 100 and can be easily administered by health-care professionals. This is a world-wide accepted tool and has been utilized in a large number of studies. Chu et al<sup>[12]</sup> reported 31.9% of patients having moderate to severe dysfunction, assessed by the BIC, of the activities of daily living at 5 years. Furthermore, 77.9% of these patients had undergone re-amputation and 54.2% had died. In contrast, the remaining 126 patients considered to have no or mild dysfunction of activities of daily living had lower rates of re-amputation (34.9%) and mortality (30.2%). Of note, there was a higher ratio of major to minor amputations in the moderate to severe dysfunction group compared to no or mild dysfunction group [24 (major):22 (minor) vs 12 (major):32 (minor)].

Van Damme *et al*<sup>[13]</sup> reported that only 63% of the major amputations (n = 143)



regained an autonomic walking capability with their prosthesis suggesting poor functional recovery after major amputations undertaken for DFUs. As well as functional status, an important measure of lifestyle change in hospitalized patients is discharge destination. Larson J et al<sup>[7]</sup> reported a statistically significant difference in discharge following minor and major patients in 187 patients. In the minor group, 93% of patients returned home compared to only 62% in the major group (P < 0.001). Patients who underwent minor amputations also had a higher chance of satisfactory return to baseline function. In patients with a considerable walking capacity (> 1 km) prior to amputation, 49 of 68 patients (72.1%) who had a minor amputation regained this capacity compared to only 5 of the 28 patients (17.8%) with major amputation (P < 10.001).

The present study has several limitations. As this systematic review examined studies from a myriad of social backgrounds with variable demographics, heterogeneity in the studied population could not be avoided. The variable duration of follow-up also added to this heterogeneity, adding to the challenge to derive conclusions to specific groups of patients. Approximately 50% of the studies in our systematic review were retrospective, predisposing outcomes to historical or recall bias. Patients lost to follow-up could also potentially underestimate adverse outcomes leaning from the hypothesis of selection bias that such patients are less likely to be compliant with glucose monitoring. Similarly, non-participation at the start in prospective studies also represents a gap in outcomes from that particular cohort. The indications for re-amputation were not clearly noted in the examined studies making the cause-and-effect relationship from a previous amputation less reliable. Reporting of comorbidities was also patchy across studies making standardised conclusions difficult.

In conclusion, there was a significantly higher degree of re-amputation and mortality in the long-term in the population who undergo amputations due to diabetic foot ulcers. There was also a significant impact on the overall functional status of patients and quality of life. This systematic review and meta-analysis affirms the need for regular review and follow-up in this vulnerable group owing to this high risk of adverse outcomes. The effect of comorbidities was not clear and therefore further studies in a subset of patients with particular coexisting illnesses such as chronic kidney failure or peripheral vascular disease are needed.

# ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

# Research background

There is no previous systematic review and meta-analysis undertaken particularly in long-term outcomes of patients who undergo lower limb amputation (LEA) for diabetic foot ulcers (DFU). Although multiple studies describing short-term outcomes under 12 mo are available, conclusions for long-term outcomes are needed to support clinical decision-making in relation to patient characteristics.

# Research motivation

Since DFU account for significant complications in patients with diabetes mellitus, the assessment of their long-term outcomes is necessary. The review of long-term outcomes following LEA is essential for decision-making and risk stratification for individual patients.

# Research objectives

The aim of this paper is to establish a systematic review of long-term studies undertaken in patients who underwent LEA as a treatment modality for DFU. The focus of the review is on re-ulceration, re-amputation and the impact on the quality of life of patients. These parameters, particularly in the longer-term setting pave way for future research in larger cohorts, various demographics and relation to co-morbidities.

# Research methods

Key search terms such as "diabetes", "foot ulcers", "amputations" and "outcomes" were searched on PubMed/MEDLINE and Google Scholar. A follow-up of 12 mo, age > 18 and LEA post DFU were inclusion criteria. Paediatric patients were excluded. Two co-authors selected studies based on the inclusion criteria and search results were limited to the English language. A total of 22 publications with a total of 2334 patients were selected. There were no randomised controlled trials with the majority of studies



being cohort studies.

#### Research results

Our results show a significant re-amputation and mortality rates at 1, 3 and 5 years after initial LEA for DFU. A positive correlation was also noted for previous other major amputation and ischemic cardiomyopathy. We attempted to standardise patients for co-morbidities, however, this was not possible in a minority of studies. Therefore, future research should be aimed at delineating the nature of association between LEA post DFU and patient co-morbidities.

#### Research conclusions

Our systematic review and meta-analyses support our key hypotheses of a significant positive association of re-amputation, mortality and quality of life in our set of patients on a long-term basis. The pivotal purpose of this study is data to assist patient selection and decision-making. It also supports the uniformity of similar rates of reamputation and mortality in various studies globally with no significant outliers.

## Research perspectives

Future research should be aimed at assessing the significance of co-morbidities on patients with DFU undergoing LEA. This will allow a closer risk stratification and aid patient decision-making individualised to their situation. In addition to this, as outcomes in diabetes mellitus often depend on patient compliance influenced by their socio-economic or cultural backgrounds, further studies are needed in these groups. The best methods for future studies would be larger, multi-center prospective studies.

# REFERENCES

- Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, Colagiuri S, Guariguata L, Motala AA, Ogurtsova K, Shaw JE, Bright D, Williams R; IDF Diabetes Atlas Committee. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2019; 157: 107843 [PMID: 31518657 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2019.107843]
- 2 van Houtum WH. Barriers to implementing foot care. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2012; 28 Suppl 1: 112-115 [PMID: 22271735 DOI: 10.1002/dmrr.2238]
- van Netten JJ, Fortington LV, Hinchliffe RJ, Hijmans JM. Early Post-operative Mortality After Major 3 Lower Limb Amputation: A Systematic Review of Population and Regional Based Studies. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2016; 51: 248-257 [PMID: 26588994 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2015.10.001]
- Apelqvist J, Larsson J, Agardh CD. Long-term prognosis for diabetic patients with foot ulcers. J Intern Med 4 1993; 233: 485-491 [PMID: 8501419 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.1993.tb01003.x]
- 5 Goldner MG. The fate of the second leg in the diabetic amputee. Diabetes 1960; 9: 100-103 [PMID: 13850722 DOI: 10.2337/diab.9.2.1001
- Murdoch DP, Armstrong DG, Dacus JB, Laughlin TJ, Morgan CB, Lavery LA. The natural history of great toe amputations. J Foot Ankle Surg 1997; 36: 204-8; discussion 256 [PMID: 9232500 DOI: 10.1016/s1067-2516(97)80116-0
- Larsson J, Agardh CD, Apelqvist J, Stenström A. Long-term prognosis after healed amputation in patients with diabetes. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1998; 149-158 [PMID: 9602814 DOI: 10.1097/00003086-199805000-00021]
- 8 Ghanassia E, Villon L, Thuan Dit Dieudonné JF, Boegner C, Avignon A, Sultan A. Long-term outcome and disability of diabetic patients hospitalized for diabetic foot ulcers: a 6.5-year follow-up study. Diabetes Care 2008; 31: 1288-1292 [PMID: 18390801 DOI: 10.2337/dc07-2145]
- Izumi Y, Satterfield K, Lee S, Harkless LB. Risk of reamputation in diabetic patients stratified by limb and level of amputation: a 10-year observation. Diabetes Care 2006; 29: 566-570 [PMID: 16505507 DOI: 10.2337/diacare.29.03.06.dc05-1992]
- Skoutas D, Papanas N, Georgiadis GS, Zervas V, Manes C, Maltezos E, Lazarides MK. Risk factors for 10 ipsilateral reamputation in patients with diabetic foot lesions. Int J Low Extrem Wounds 2009; 8: 69-74 [PMID: 19443895 DOI: 10.1177/1534734609334808]
- Acar E, Kacıra BK. Predictors of Lower Extremity Amputation and Reamputation Associated With the 11 Diabetic Foot. J Foot Ankle Surg 2017; 56: 1218-1222 [PMID: 28765052 DOI: 10.1053/j.jfas.2017.06.004]
- Chu YJ, Li XW, Wang PH, Xu J, Sun HJ, Ding M, Jiao J, Ji XY, Feng SH. Clinical outcomes of toe 12 amputation in patients with type 2 diabetes in Tianjin, China. Int Wound J 2016; 13: 175-181 [PMID: 24629051 DOI: 10.1111/iwi.122491
- 13 Van Damme H, Rorive M, Martens De Noorthout BM, Quaniers J, Scheen A, Limet R. Amputations in diabetic patients: a plea for footsparing surgery. Acta Chir Belg 2001; 101: 123-129 [PMID: 11501387]
- 14 Borkosky SL, Roukis TS. Incidence of re-amputation following partial first ray amputation associated with diabetes mellitus and peripheral sensory neuropathy: a systematic review. Diabet Foot Ankle 2012; 3 [PMID: 22396832 DOI: 10.3402/dfa.v3i0.12169]
- Thorud JC, Jupiter DC, Lorenzana J, Nguyen TT, Shibuya N. Reoperation and Reamputation After 15 Transmetatarsal Amputation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Foot Ankle Surg 2016; 55: 1007-1012 [PMID: 27475711 DOI: 10.1053/j.jfas.2016.05.011]



- Morbach S, Furchert H, Gröblinghoff U, Hoffmeier H, Kersten K, Klauke GT, Klemp U, Roden T, Icks A, 16 Haastert B, Rümenapf G, Abbas ZG, Bharara M, Armstrong DG. Long-term prognosis of diabetic foot patients and their limbs: amputation and death over the course of a decade. Diabetes Care 2012; 35: 2021-2027 [PMID: 22815299 DOI: 10.2337/dc12-0200]
- 17 Nerone VS, Springer KD, Woodruff DM, Atway SA. Reamputation after minor foot amputation in diabetic patients: risk factors leading to limb loss. J Foot Ankle Surg 2013; 52: 184-187 [PMID: 23419696 DOI: 10.1053/i.ifas.2012.11.015
- Jeyaraman K, Berhane T, Hamilton M, Chandra AP, Falhammar H. Amputations in patients with diabetic 18 foot ulcer: a retrospective study from a single centre in the Northern Territory of Australia. ANZ J Surg 2019; 89: 874-879 [PMID: 31293074 DOI: 10.1111/ans.15351]
- Ramsey SD, Newton K, Blough D, McCulloch DK, Sandhu N, Reiber GE, Wagner EH. Incidence, 19 outcomes, and cost of foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. Diabetes Care 1999; 22: 382-387 [PMID: 10097914 DOI: 10.2337/diacare.22.3.382]
- 20 Faglia E. Favales F. Morabito A. New ulceration, new major amputation, and survival rates in diabetic subjects hospitalized for foot ulceration from 1990 to 1993: a 6.5-year follow-up. Diabetes Care 2001; 24: 78-83 [PMID: 11194246]
- Jeyaraman K, Berhane T, Hamilton M, Chandra AP, Falhammar H. Mortality in patients with diabetic foot 21 ulcer: a retrospective study of 513 cases from a single Centre in the Northern Territory of Australia. BMC Endocr Disord 2019; 19: 1 [PMID: 30606164 DOI: 10.1186/s12902-018-0327-2]
- 22 Mahoney FI, Barthel DW. Functional Evaluation: The Barthel Index. Md State Med J 1965; 14: 61-65 [PMID: 14258950 DOI: 10.1037/t02366-000]
- 23 Schleiffer T, Hölken H, Brass H. Morbidity in 565 type 2 diabetic patients according to stage of nephropathy. J Diabetes Complications 1998; 12: 103-109 [PMID: 9559488 DOI: 10.1016/s1056-8727(97)00003-21
- Adler AI, Boyko EJ, Ahroni JH, Smith DG. Lower-extremity amputation in diabetes. The independent effects of peripheral vascular disease, sensory neuropathy, and foot ulcers. Diabetes Care 1999; 22: 1029-1035 [PMID: 10388962 DOI: 10.2337/diacare.22.7.1029]
- 25 Ohsawa S, Inamori Y, Fukuda K, Hirotuji M. Lower limb amputation for diabetic foot. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2001; 121: 186-190 [PMID: 11317677 DOI: 10.1007/s004020000207]
- 26 Uzzaman MM, Jukaku S, Kambal A, Hussain ST. Assessing the long-term outcomes of minor lower limb amputations: a 5-year study. Angiology 2011; 62: 365-371 [PMID: 21421619 DOI: 10.1177/0003319710395558]
- Aulivola B, Hile CN, Hamdan AD, Sheahan MG, Veraldi JR, Skillman JJ, Campbell DR, Scovell SD, 27 LoGerfo FW, Pomposelli FB Jr. Major lower extremity amputation: outcome of a modern series. Arch Surg 2004; 139: 395-9; discussion 399 [PMID: 15078707 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.139.4.395]
- 28 Albers M, Romiti M, Bragança Pereira CA, Fonseca RL, da Silva Júnior M. A meta-analysis of infrainguinal arterial reconstruction in patients with end-stage renal disease. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2001; 22: 294-300 [PMID: 11563886 DOI: 10.1053/ejvs.2001.1469]
- Hosch J, Quiroga C, Bosma J, Peters EJ, Armstrong DG, Lavery LA. Outcomes of transmetatarsal 29 amputations in patients with diabetes mellitus. J Foot Ankle Surg 1997; 36: 430-434 [PMID: 9429998 DOI: 10.1016/s1067-2516(97)80095-6]
- 30 López-Valverde ME, Aragón-Sánchez J, López-de-Andrés A, Guerrero-Cedeño V, Tejedor-Méndez R, Víquez-Molina G, Jiménez-García R. Perioperative and long-term all-cause mortality in patients with diabetes who underwent a lower extremity amputation. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2018; 141: 175-180 [PMID: 29777746 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2018.05.004]



WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com



# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

