

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 56725

Title: Donepezil-related inadequate neuromuscular blockade during laparoscopic surgery: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00526025

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-05-12

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-19 01:37

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-21 07:40

Review time: 2 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

You report a patient taking donepezil who showed resistant to usual dose of rocuronium, and showed objective evidence of resistant to rocuronium by measuring train-of-four stimulation. The report is important for anesthesiologists as there is increasing number of subjects with dementia taking donepezil these days. The clinical course of the patient is difficult to understand, because the presentation is unusual for a scientific paper. I am afraid your manuscript needs drastic amendment. General comments: You need to present clinical course of the patient chronologically. Specific comments: Doses of used drugs in the patient and the patients cited in your manuscript need to be stated. This would greatly helpful to readers to understand the importance of your manuscript. I would recommend specific drug names be stated instead of anti-dementia drug, neuromuscular blocking agent, and so on. You wrote that "adequate neuromuscular blockade was achieved with the subsequent administration of an inhalational anesthetic and another NMBA." This would mean that cisatracurium was also effective to gain neuromuscular block as was desflurane. Do you mean it? Case presentation We usually present a patient in the order of clinical history, results of physical examination, laboratory results, anesthetic/surgical course, and postoperative events. I think your presentation is quite different from usual manuscripts. You stated previous anesthetic/surgical events in the discussion section. I think that should be stated in the section of case presentation. You stated that "patient's vital signs, including blood pressure, heart rate, and peripheral oxygen saturation..." What is the definition of vital signs? Discussion You stated that "The additional administration of cisatracurium may also have contributed to the observed improvement." You need to state the pharmacological differences of rocuronium and cisatracurium with donepezil

END

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 56725

Title: Donepezil-related inadequate neuromuscular blockade during laparoscopic surgery: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00526025

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-05-12

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-09-28 05:35

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-30 08:04

Review time: 2 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think you have amended the manuscript satisfactorily in response to reviewer's comments. You should be applauded because your amendment of the manuscript is complete. My only suggestion is to change "mo." to "months." END