

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

Thank you very much for your attention and the comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection with fever and coronary heart disease: A case report ". We have studied comments carefully and have made corrections which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the comments are as following:

Responds to the comments:

1. The reviewer's comment: A well presented case of differential diagnosis between COVID-19 and other diseases. It is indeed crucial for physicians to remember that even in this outbreak we have to face different types of diseases. The radiological references are useful for clinicians. Minor revision: I think that in line 193 "coronary" stands for "coronavirus".

The authors' answer: Thanks for your comment. In line 193 within the manuscript, the "coronary" have been replaced with "coronavirus".

2. The reviewer's comment: Send kind reminder to the authors via F6Publishing system.

The authors' answer: Thanks for your kindly reminder.

3. The reviewer's comment: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. However, the quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

The authors' answer: Thanks for the comment of you. The corresponding Language Certificate issued by Freescience has been provided. Thanks again for your kindly suggestion.

4. The reviewer's comment: I have checked the comments written by the science editor.

The authors' answer: Thanks for your attention and effort.

5. The reviewer's comment: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection with fever and coronary heart disease. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade B and Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: A well-presented case of differential diagnosis between COVID-19 and other diseases. It is indeed crucial for physicians to remember that even in this outbreak we have to face different types of diseases. The radiological references are useful for clinicians. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There are 5 figures. A total of 25 references are cited, including 22 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grades A and Grade B. A language

editing certificate issued by Freescience was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement, and the written informed consent was waived. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

The authors' answer: We thank the reviewer for these insightful comments. We have provided detailed fund information (Guangdong Provincial Novel Coronavirus Scientific and Technological Project-2020111107001), and the figures within PowerPoint also have been submitted for modification.

6. The reviewer's comment: Re-Review: Required.

The authors' answer: Thanks for the comment of you. We will try our best to complete the revisions and hope that the revisions will meet with approval.

7. The reviewer's comment: Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

The authors' answer: Thanks for your kind suggestion. We have made corresponding revision within the manuscript. Thanks again for your attention.

In order to further improve the quality of manuscripts, we have added three other references as following:

18 Xie X, Zhong Z, Zhao W, Zheng C, Wang F, Liu J. Chest CT for Typical Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pneumonia: Relationship to Negative RT-PCR Testing. *Radiology* 2020; 296: E41-E45 [PMID: 32049601 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2020200343]

19 Li D, Wang D, Dong J, Wang N, Huang H, Xu H, Xia C. False-Negative Results of Real-Time Reverse-Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction for Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2: Role of Deep-Learning-Based CT Diagnosis and Insights from Two Cases. *Korean J Radiol* 2020; 21: 505-508 [PMID: 32174053 DOI: 10.3348/kjr.2020.0146]

20 Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H, Zhan C, Chen C, Lv W, Tao Q, Sun Z, Xia L. Correlation of Chest CT and RT-PCR Testing for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: A Report of 1014 Cases. *Radiology* 2020; 296: E32-E40 [PMID: 32101510 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2020200642]

We thank the editors and reviewers for the time and effort that they have put into reviewing the previous version of the manuscript. Their suggestions have enabled us to improve our work. Once again, thank you very much for all your help and looking forward to hearing from you soon.

With kindest regards.

Yours Sincerely,

Ruilin Sun