

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Biological Chemistry

Manuscript NO: 57318

Title: Deciphering the modifiers for phenotypic variability of X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy

Reviewer's code: 02807892

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: France

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-04

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-19 09:48

Reviewer performed review: 2020-06-20 16:14

Review time: 1 Day and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The overall goal of this paper is interesting but several parts of this review lacks precision and some writing is needed. The introduction is very general and quite poor. The real topic of this review should be highlighted and detailed. The presentation of the bibliography is correct even if some sentences are difficult to understand. The conclusion is very poor, this is not a conclusion but rather a summary. No hypothesis are proposed and this conclusion has no interest.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Biological Chemistry

Manuscript NO: 57318

Title: Deciphering the modifiers for phenotypic variability of X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy

Reviewer's code: 02807892

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: France

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-04

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-06 04:53

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-06 09:19

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Improved version, the added hypothesis makes the paper more interesting. The bibliography still needs some work.