

Response Letter

List of actions

Peer-review report(s)

(1) Essentially, there are some data concerning the actual crisis, notably in Italia, it would be interesting to look at their experience ;

(2) Be careful on key words : "Adequate protective gear protective gear" ;

(3) There are some orthograph and syntax mistakes.

Key points of revising the manuscript

(1) *Scientific quality*: Please resolve all issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report and make a point-to-point response to the issues raised in the peer review report.

(2) *Language quality*: Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report.

(3) *Special requirements for tables*: Tables must be presented in the order that they appear in the main text of the manuscript (numbered as 1, 2, 3, *etc.*).

(4) *Special requirements for references*: Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

(5) *Special requirements for article highlights*: If your manuscript is an original study (basic study or clinical study), meta-analysis, systemic review, the “article highlights” section should be provided.

(6) *Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s)*: If your manuscript has supportive foundations, the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) must be provided.

Editorial Office's comments

(1) *Science Editor*: Issues raised: (1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; and (2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

(2) *Company Editor-in-Chief*: Before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a table/figure to the manuscript.

Dear editor and reviewer:

The comments have been carefully taken into account and a new revised submission has been uploaded. The responses are as follows:

Responses to reviewers:

(1) Essentially, there are some data concerning the actual crisis, notably in Italia, it would be interesting to look at their experience ;

Response: Thank you very much for your valuable suggestions. We have read some relevant literature, and there is exactly a lot to learn from. Considering that our article is related to the psychological stress of the front-line medical staff, and there are few related literatures about the psychological impact of 2019-nCoV on the front-line medical staff in Italia. However, we will continue to pay attention to the relevant literature and continue to supplement it. Thank you again for your guidance!

(2) Be careful on key words : "Adequate protective gear protective gear" ;

Response: Thank you very much for your careful guidance. I have revised it to "Adequate protective gear" in the revised manuscript.

(3) There are some orthograph and syntax mistakes.

Response: Thank you for your suggestions. We read the article carefully and revised some mistakes in the revised manuscript.

Key points of revising the manuscript

(1) *Scientific quality*: Please resolve all issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report and make a point-to-point response to the issues raised in the peer review report.

Response: We have made a point-to-point response to the issues in the response letter based on the peer review report.

(2) *Language quality*: Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report.

Response: We have solved all language issues in the response letter based on the peer review report.

(3) *Special requirements for tables*: Tables must be presented in the order that they appear in the main text of the manuscript (numbered as 1, 2, 3, *etc.*).

Response: We have added a table and edited it as required based on the Editorial Office's comments.

(4) *Special requirements for references*: Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

Response: We have provided the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references in the revised manuscript.

(5) *Special requirements for article highlights*: If your manuscript is an original study (basic study or clinical study), meta-analysis, systemic review, the "article highlights" section should be provided.

Response: We have added the "article highlights" section in the revised manuscript.

(6) *Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s)*: If your manuscript has supportive foundations, the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) must be provided.

Response: We have uploaded the funding document as an attachment in the revised manuscript.

Editorial Office's comments

(1) *Science Editor*: Issues raised: (1) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; and (2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

Response: We have added "Author Contributions" in the revised manuscript and uploaded the funding document as an attachment.

(2) *Company Editor-in-Chief*: Before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a table/figure to the manuscript.

Response: We have added a table and edited it as required in the revised manuscript.