

point-by-point response

Reviewers's comments:

1 please give a clear statement for underlying the urgency/novelty in this review.

We have added the sentence "This has meant an increase in the pool of donors by being able to recuperate organs for transplant that in other circumstances would have been discarded" in the ABSTRACT section.

2 In scientific writing/ report, better not put "WE" and adjust the sentences into passive sentences

We have adjusted the sentences into passive sentences, or deleted "We".

3 please add conclusión

We have added the CONCLUSION paragraph "Pancreas transplant is a milestone in the treatment of diabetes mellitus in selected patients. Despite the improvement of its results in terms of patient survival and graft similar to the transplantation of other solid organs, its complications are important and numerous, often compromise the viability of the transplant. For this reason, we must be very careful with the selection of donors and recipients, as well as with the technical aspects related to the retrieval, preservation and transplantation of the pancreas. The new techniques of retrieval and preservation of the pancreas in asystole donors have allowed us to increase the pool of donors while maintaining safety guidelines for patients".