

Dear Dr./Prof. Lian-Sheng Ma, Company Editor-in-Chief, Editorial Office,

Thank you very much for your decision letter and advice on our manuscript (Manuscript NO: 57806) entitled “*Benign symmetric lipomatosis (Madeluug’s disease) with concomitant incarcerated femoral hernia: case report*”. We also thank the reviewers for the constructive comments and suggestions. We have revised the manuscript accordingly, and all amendments are indicated by red font in the revised manuscript. In addition, our point-by-point responses to the comments are listed below this letter.

This revised manuscript has been edited and proofread by *Medjaden* Bioscience Limited.

We hope that our revised manuscript is now acceptable for publication in your journal and look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Xianpeng Dai,
E-mail: ppdaixianpeng@sina.com.

First of all, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to the reviewers for their constructive and positive comments.

Replies to Reviewer 1

Specific Comments

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: I read the manuscript named “ Benign symmetric lipomatosis (Madeluug’s disease) with concomitant incarcerated femoral hernia: case report ”(Manuscript NO: 57806) and my recommendations are as fallows. Title: It is accurately reflects the major topic and contents of the study. Case report: Case has been presented in detail. References: References are appropriate and updated. Figures are reflects the major findings of the study, and they are appropriately presented. This case report is interesting and instructive. This manuscript was well-written and documented. This manuscript gives additional new knowledge to the existing literatüre. I think that this manuscript is suitable and worth to be published in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.

Response: Thank you for your comment of "Manuscript accepted" that this manuscript meets the publication requirements.

Replies to Reviewer 2

Minor considerations:

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Needs minor corrections in the text.

Response: Thank you for your suggestion “minor corrections in the text”, as expressed in Editorial Office's comments. Therefore, I will answer all of these questions in the following paragraphs (Replies to Editorial Office’s comments).

Replies to Editorial Office's comments

5 Issues raised: (1) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (2) I found the "Case Presentation" did not meet our requirements. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" section to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.

Response:

(1) The original figures of Figure1-4 has been provided and submitted to the journal of World Journal of Clinical Cases. They have been arranged by PowerPoint;

(2) The "Case Presentation" section has been re-written, and added with "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" section to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

Please review the manuscript (Manuscript NO: 57806) again.