

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 57889

**Title:** Rare case of occipital nodular fasciitis easily misdiagnosed as neoplastic lesions: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 02510166

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Lecturer

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Martinique

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-06-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-08-07 22:01

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-08-07 22:21

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Benign disease can recur. Patient was operated in August 2018. The time now is August 2020 = 2 years. But the paper's follow-up is only 3 months. To enhance the value of the case report: update the patient evaluation this year and provide comparative imaging. Compare the images to establish no sequel and truly no recurrence.