

Answers to reviewers.

Reviewer #1:
Scientific **Quality:** Grade A (Excellent)
Language **Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Accept (High priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: In this report, Dr Suren et al presented an interesting, important and rare case of intrahepatic arteriportal fistula. The patient was successfully treated with a very good outcome. The manuscript was well written and organized. I would suggest the priority of publication with no further comment. My best wishes to the authors and the people there, hope peace restored shortly.

Answer: Thank you very much. Although the peace has been restored, it was by the price of many lives and sore losses for our country. We hope to stand on our feet again in the near future.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific **Quality:** Grade C (Good)
Language **Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: Rare case report

Answer: Thank you for taking time to review our work. We have submitted our manuscript for professional language editing and presented a corresponding certificate.

4 LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

Answer: Thank you for the comment. We have submitted our manuscript for professional language editing and presented a corresponding certificate according to the journal's requirements.

5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the Arterioportal fistula embolization after C-section. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade A and Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: In this report, Suren et al presented an interesting, important and rare case of intrahepatic arterioportal fistula. The patient was successfully treated with a very good outcome. The manuscript was well written and organized. I would suggest the priority of publication with no further comment; and (3) Format: There are 4 figures. A total of 24 references are cited, including 1 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A and Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by AJE was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement, and the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG. Without financial support. 5 Issues raised: (1) I found no "Author contribution" section. Please provide the author contributions; (2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (3) I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; (4) I found the "Case Presentation" did not meet our requirements. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" section to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision; (5) the author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. The reference numbers will be superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author's name, with no spaces. 6 Re-Review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.

Answer: All formatting changes have been done accordingly.

(2) Editorial office director: I have checked the comments written by the science editor.

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...".

Answer: All formatting changes have been done accordingly.