Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58205

Title: Pancreatic cancer with ovarian metastases: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 02468626
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD
Professional title: Associate Professor
Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy
Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-14
Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang
Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-14 13:48
Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-14 15:30
Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

The case report and related literature review are interesting. The condition described is very rare and deserves this clinical recap. I have a few minor remarks 1) Introduction 3rd line: please amend "...operation is regarded..." 6th line: "...metastases are irregular"; I think you mean they are rare? 2) Further diagnostic workup Firstly, this is the most important part of the diagnostic work up, thus I would not call it "Further Second, it is not clear that both masses were biopsied and if the biopsy was done percutaneously and ultrasound-guided in both cases, Please explain the biopsy techniques and needles used. 3) Discussion Please emphasize that the prognosis of this condition is very poor (3.5 month survival in the present case) and that surgery should be contemplated only after multidisciplinary discussion. On the other hand, chemotherapy may represent a better option Please emphasize the role of endoscopic ultrasound in diagnosing pancreatic neoplasms Please write "32nd" instead of "thirty-two" 4) Conclusion I would say "strongly recommended" instead of "heavily" 5) The following references should be added -Fusaroli, P., D'Ercole, M.C., De Giorgio, R., Serrani, M., Caletti, G. Contrast harmonic endoscopic ultrasonography in the characterization of pancreatic metastases (With Video) Pancreas, 2014;43:584-587. -Moris, M., Raimondo, M., Woodward, T.A., Skinner, V.J., Arcidiacono, P.G., Petrone, M.C., De Angelis, C., Manfrè, S., Carrara, S., Jovani, M., Fusaroli, P., Wallace, M.B. International intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms registry: Long-term results based on the new guidelines. Pancreas 2017;46:306-310 -Fusaroli, P., Kypraios, D., Eloubeidi, M.A., Caletti, G. Levels of evidence in endoscopic ultrasonography: A systematic review. Digestive Diseases and Sciences, 2012;57:602-609.

Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58205

Title: Pancreatic cancer with ovarian metastases: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 02468626
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD
Professional title: Associate Professor
Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy
Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-14
Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan
Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-31 06:45
Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-31 06:59
Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think that the authors have satisfactorily improved their manuscript