



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 58403

**Title:** Outcomes of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by radical resection for T4 colorectal cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 03552518

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Taiwan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Taiwan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-08-26 06:57

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-08-26 08:58

**Review time:** 2 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an interesting study reporting positive results of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced colon and rectal cancer. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy is a common treatment for locally advanced rectal cancer with a complete pathologic response of 15-30% reported in many clinical reports. There is no consensus of neoadjuvant treatment for colon cancer. This study showed an improved R0 resection rate after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy both in rectal cancer and colon cancer. Their results may support the benefits of neoadjuvant therapy in the treatment of locally advanced colon cancer.



### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 58403

**Title:** Outcomes of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by radical resection for T4 colorectal cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 00722050

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FRCP (C), MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Canada

**Author's Country/Territory:** Taiwan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-09-23 11:49

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-09-23 12:07

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an outstanding manuscript with excellent data. However, two points need to be tackled by the authors. FOLFOX regimen needs to be clarified better also with literature historical and perspective data. Moreover, the authors report that partial organ resections were required when needed and the specimens were sent to the pathology department to ascertain the status of surgical margins. They also mention that two pathologists examined specimens and evaluated treatment response. In all these actions, the k-value of interindividual variability needs to be provided being this data crucial for the treatment response. Moreover, the authors need to mention if the pathologists used an ancillary tool (e.g., immunohistochemistry) to reach an agreement.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 58403

**Title:** Outcomes of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by radical resection for T4 colorectal cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 03767436

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** Taiwan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-09-24 17:17

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-10-06 10:27

**Review time:** 11 Days and 17 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In my opinion, the overall level of the paper is very good structured: it is well written and several important considerations are highlighted. The discussion sections provide useful information for the readers and the conclusions appear rationale, emphasizing the needed to validate their data with further prospective randomized studies. Check the few spelling grammatical errors.