

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58864

Title: Anticoagulation and antiplatelet management in gastrointestinal endoscopy: A review of current evidence

Reviewer's code: 00504187

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-12

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-14 16:22

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-15 15:12

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is a very updated review on anticoagulation and antiplatelet management during the wide range of endoscopic procedures. Likely an abstract, though short, would be helpful, especially mentioning the most relevant and debatable issue in the paper, i.e. the heparin bridge therapy, whose consensus is not unanimous and indeed the concern about bleeding complications due to the bridge is becoming more real. Most conclusions reflect already well known strategies and guidelines published by International Societies. Therefore, there is nothing original. As previously said, the decision if to bridge or not to bridge with heparin is the most interesting point and could be more emphasized. The first long part of the paper is analysing the bleeding complications related to the commonest endoscopic operative procedures. This part is not really related to what the title could suggest. In my opinion is likely superfluous, could be shortened or at least partially included in the second part related to anticoagulation or antiplatelet management. English style could be improved. References are quite vast, as expected, and tables are well representative of such complicated issues.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58864

Title: Anticoagulation and antiplatelet management in gastrointestinal endoscopy: A review of current evidence

Reviewer's code: 05382551

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-12

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-18 04:24

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-18 15:49

Review time: 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper evaluates the risk of bleeding associated with common endoscopic procedures. For this, it is considered the major research studies relating to anticoagulant and antiplatelet use in this context. The article is well written and structured. It analyses a topic of interest that it is within the scope of the journal. A very abundant number of references have been studied and analyzed. The content is adequate. The main improvement of the work would be to extend the conclusions and add a discussion section.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58864

Title: Anticoagulation and antiplatelet management in gastrointestinal endoscopy: A review of current evidence

Reviewer's code: 00004764

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-12

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-14 14:25

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-18 19:33

Review time: 4 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very comprehensive review on an important topic for endoscopists. I like the way you have formatted specific tables followed by a brief textual summary. The figures representing your conclusions are useful for practicing endoscopists. Major Comments You have specifically outlined your search strategy. However, how can we be confident that in retrospective studies that these drugs, especially aspirin, were accounted for? We know that over-the-counter aspirin use is so widespread, one wonders, given some differences in study results, if perhaps this could be one of the reasons for variability. I am sure that in the methods sections of these papers that this information may not be available. This is a major issue with the paper. Minor Comments #1 under discussion on EUS FNA, is there any data using larger needles or perhaps even the more recently used biopsy needles #2 I wonder where the bleeding occurred in patients with diagnostic ERCP. Was this from mucosal tears? You also did not include a study by Wilcox and colleagues in the American Journal of gastroenterology from 2004. While this prospective study examined bleeding patterns and incidence of bleeding, I assume this study was not included given the lack of any specific comments regarding anticoagulant agents?? I could site other such studies. #3 is there any data on use of hot biopsy forceps. #4 is there any data on the time to bleeding in patients undergoing hot snare polypectomy. Perhaps this can be embedded in the text. In addition, as we know, retrospective studies that do not follow patients for at least a week to 10 days may miss subsequent bleeds. #4 I am curious why you only elected to use endoscope dilatation for patients with eosinophilic esophagitis rather than other kinds of strictures where there is a wealth of data. #5 define DAPT. #6 I like your recommendations given in bold. #7 you might suggest that we need more data for patients on warfarin therapy who received HBT for a variety of these procedures. #8 I

think many endoscopists are not aware that HPT does itself carry a heightened risk for bleeding. Stress we likely even here need more data. #9 as noted above figure one and figure two are very helpful.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58864

Title: Anticoagulation and antiplatelet management in gastrointestinal endoscopy: A review of current evidence

Reviewer's code: 03025524

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-12 11:11

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-11 03:10

Review time: 29 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is aimed to review the current evidence of anticoagulation and antiplatelet management in gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures. The title is "ANTICOAGULATION AND ANTIPLATELET MANAGEMENT IN GASTROINTESTINAL ENDOSCOPY: A REVIEW OF CURRENT EVIDENCE". 1. This is a review article. 2. A manuscript is too long. Please summarize it. 3. What are the new knowledge from this study? 4. Please recommend the readers "How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?".

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58864

Title: Anticoagulation and antiplatelet management in gastrointestinal endoscopy: A review of current evidence

Reviewer's code: 00004764

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-12

Reviewer chosen by: Pan Huang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-10-09 13:30

Reviewer performed review: 2020-10-12 14:05

Review time: 3 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

"English needs to be polished in the abstract"

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58864

Title: Anticoagulation and antiplatelet management in gastrointestinal endoscopy: A review of current evidence

Reviewer's code: 05382551

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-12

Reviewer chosen by: Pan Huang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-10-11 16:24

Reviewer performed review: 2020-10-12 21:45

Review time: 1 Day and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

The paper can be published in the actual form.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58864

Title: Anticoagulation and antiplatelet management in gastrointestinal endoscopy: A review of current evidence

Reviewer's code: 03025524

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-12

Reviewer chosen by: Pan Huang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-10-21 02:02

Reviewer performed review: 2020-10-21 02:09

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, the revised version is O.K.