Response to the Reviewer's Comments and Suggestions

We sincerely thank the reviewer's for their time and effort in reviewing this case report and mostly positive review. We also thank the reviewers for their concerns and constructive suggestions. We have revised the original article as per reviewer's suggestions and hope that the revised article is considered suitable for publication.

3. SCIENTIFIC QUALITY

Please resolve all issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report and make a point-by-point response to the issues raised in the peer review report. Authors must resolve all issues in the manuscript that are raised in the peer-review report(s) and make point-by-point responses to the issues raised in the peer-review report(s), which are listed below:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: In the case report entitled,"Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor successfully treated with metformin: A case report", the authors demonstrate that inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor was observed to have completely disappeared after treatment with metformin. The manuscript has an excellent summary but the references which proves anti-tumor effect are not enough.

Response: We have included more reference （in blue color） which proves anti-tumor effect in the revised article.

4. LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

Response: Our manuscript have been edited by a native-English speaker (https://www.editsprings.com) and we have a non-native speakers of English editing certificate.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor successfully treated with metformin. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC.

Classification: Grade B

Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors demonstrate that inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor was observed to have completely disappeared after treatment with metformin. The manuscript has an excellent summary but the references which proves anti-tumor effect are not enough. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There are 3 figures. A total of 23 references are cited, including 10 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations.
Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A. A language editing certificate issued by EditSprings was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the CARE Checklist – 2016, and Signed Informed Consent. In addition, the authors are required to provide the Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and signed Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search.
Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by Shanghai Science and Technology Committee; and the Science and Technology Development Fund of Shanghai Pudong New District. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG.

5. **Issues raised:**
(1) I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).
Response: We have uploaded the funding agency copy of any approval document(s) to F6Publishing system.

(2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.
Response: We have provide the original figure documents using PowerPoint and uploaded to F6Publishing system.

(3) I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and (4) the author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. The reference numbers will be superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author's name, with no spaces.
(4) **Response:** We have added the PMID and DOI in the reference list and provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references as well as number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the manuscript revised. And the reference numbers have been superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author's name, with no spaces.

6. **Re-Review:** Not required

7. **Recommendation:** Conditionally accepted.

Editorial office director: I have checked the comments written by the science editor.

Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.