

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58959

Title: Intradural osteomas: Report of two cases and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 00054549

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Australia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-10 03:14

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-15 23:44

Review time: 5 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is potentially publishable if you are able to address the following issues-

1) The reporting of these additional two cases will bring the number of cases in the published literature up to 18 following another case being published earlier this year <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2058739220926854> Hence you will need to make the necessary adjustments to the manuscript to incorporate this additional information 2) In addition the authors of the most recent case report also explore the embryological hypothesis that you have proposed (speculated on) in the discussion section of their manuscript, so you will need to adjust the discussion section of the manuscript to reflect this along with the conclusions. 3) The information in the Background section of the abstract does not align with your conclusions and hence needs to be rewritten 4) Some of the Figures are indistinct and hence are best discarded for eg B and C in Figure 2. The images of the histopathology need to be of better quality 5) What is missing from the other recent case reports of this particular entity is any mention of future directions with respect to further research. This may well be an under reported entity due to its rarity but what is clear is that it is mainly reported from Asia. This raises the issue as to what are the other drivers for this particular tumor -? genetic, ?environment ? other. One way of addressing this is for neurosurgical societies to collaborate and establish registries for collating data on patients with rare tumors. It would be useful to incorporate this into the discussion section of your manuscript in order to differentiate your report from the one published earlier this year

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58959

Title: Intradural osteomas: Two case reports

Reviewer's code: 00054549

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Australia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-04 20:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-07 22:49

Review time: 3 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am happy that all of the reviewers questions and/or comments have been addressed



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com