

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 58983

**Title:** Mechanical thrombectomy for acute occlusion of the posterior inferior cerebellar artery: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03367248

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MBBS, MD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-14 05:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-14 08:27

**Review time:** 2 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors have described micro ADAPT technique of thrombus extraction in PICA occlusion. They are suggested to clarify the following issues pertaining to the manuscript before it can be reconsidered for publication. 1. The manuscript needs improvement in English. 2. Figure 1 is suggested to be CT angiography in the case report, however the images are those of DSA. 3. Were the left subclavian/vertebral artery injections done before starting the ICA stenting procedure? If yes (as it seems from Figure 1), DSA is likely to be the source of distal thromboembolism and PICA occlusion. 4. The case presentation may be aggregated into a single or two paragraphs, merging the various subsections like history of past illness, physical examination, etc. 5. It would be better to present the microcatheter in the same projection as that of vertebral artery DSA to make a comparison of the extent of the microcatheter. 6. It would be worthwhile to discuss the safe limit to which the microcatheter can be navigated in the PICA (with respect to its segments) as well as in other 2nd and 3rd division vessels. 7. What was eventually planned for the subclavian artery plaque which caused PICA occlusion?

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 58983

**Title:** Mechanical thrombectomy for acute occlusion of the posterior inferior cerebellar artery: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 00503486

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-15 02:27

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-15 02:44

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an interesting case that should be published. The English can be improved.