PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 58986

Title: Identification and characterization of resistance and pathogenicity of Enterococcus spp. in samples of donor breast milk

Reviewer's code: 03537167

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Technician, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-19 07:51

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-19 08:56

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are minor errors before considering for publication: 1. There is a spelling error in Page 9: ....PCR for the detection of the quinolone resistance genes (gyrA and pacC genes),.... pacC shoud be parC. 2.   The reference 21 can't be found in PUBMED database.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 58986

Title: Identification and characterization of resistance and pathogenicity of Enterococcus spp. in samples of donor breast milk

Reviewer's code: 02736604

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Senior Consultant Dermatologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-17 17:22

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-04 04:39

Review time: 17 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Partially original findings Low quality, medium importance Limitations in methods and result presentation. Authors must present results in detail, by adding tables and figures