

September 24, 2020

Subrata Ghosh and Andrzej S Tarnawski

Editors-in-Chief

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript No. 59153

Title: Intussusception due to hematogenous metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma to the small intestine: A case report and review of literature

Authors: Taro Mashiko, Yoshihito Masuoka, Akira Nakano, Kota Tsuruya, Shunji Hirose, Kenichi Hirabayashi, Tatehiro Kagawa, Toshio Nakagohri

Dear Editors:

Thank you for your email dated 9/12/2020 enclosing the reviewers' comments. We greatly appreciate the careful review and comments. We have revised the manuscript according to the changes suggested in the peer review (all changes are highlighted in bold).

We are attaching herewith the revised manuscript, and our detailed responses are given in a point-by point manner below to the concerns raised by the reviewers.

Thank you for your consideration. We hope that the revised version is now suitable for publication and look forward to hearing from you in due course.

Sincerely,

Taro Mashiko, MD

Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Tokai University School of Medicine, 143 Shimokasuya, Isehara, Kanagawa 2591193, Japan

Responses to the Reviewers comments:

- 1. Comment: The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement.**

Reply: The above-mentioned documents have either been attached in the manuscript or submitted with the manuscript during the process of online submission.

- 2. Comment: The highest single-source similarity index in the CrossCheck report showed to be 11%. According to our policy, the overall similarity index should be less than 30%, and the single-source similarity should be less than 5%. Please rephrase these repeated sentences.**

Reply: The manuscript has been revised to ensure that it conforms to the specifications mentioned by you.

- 3. Comment: I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.**

Reply: As per your request, the original figures have been submitted as a PowerPoint file.

- 4. Comment: I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list**

and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

Reply: All references have been revised as suggested. The author names, PubMed numbers, and DOI citations have been incorporated as per the required reference style.

(Pages 12-16, revised manuscript)

- 5. Comment: I found the “Case Presentation” did not meet our requirements. Please re-write the “Case Presentation” section.**

Reply: The “Case Presentation” section has been revised based on the CARE checklist 2016 guidelines. *(Pages 5-7, revised manuscript)*

We thank you again for your recommendations.