

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 59187

**Title:** Treatment of cervical spine metastasis with minimally invasive cervical spondylectomy: A case report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 02549888

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** DNB, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS, MNAMS

**Professional title:** Full Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-09-01

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-10-10 07:24

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-10-11 07:37

**Review time:** 1 Day

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**<https://www.wjgnet.com>**

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Title: Needs modification. Abstract is satisfactory. Introduction should also mention the experiences of other groups in this respect. Case summary is quite well written and contains all the essential details. The figures are also quite good. Few grammatical errors need to be rectified. Discussion is well written and provides the necessary information. Conclusion needs to be more explicit. Overall a good case report. Quite informative to the reader. Provides insights into the role of minimally invasive techniques in such intricate situations.