

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 59433

Title: Intensive care outcome of left main stem disease surgery: A single center three years' experience

Reviewer's code: 04372102

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Belgique

Author's Country/Territory: Qatar

Manuscript submission date: 2020-10-11

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-16 09:48

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-16 10:15

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to read this great article. I have two thoughts :
1 ° can you also compare the type of cardiac support before and after the operation (dobutmaine? Levosimendan? Milrinone?) 2 ° From your study emerges a difference in terms of use of the IABP. Without IABP the prognosis could have been worse. I think we have to make that appear in the conclusion and talk about a potential benefit of the IABP. For me this article should be published