World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2021 January 7; 27(1): 1-142





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJG

World Journal of VV01111 Juni Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 27 Number 1 January 7, 2021

REVIEW

- 1 Experimental models of metabolic and alcoholic fatty liver disease Buyco DG, Martin J, Jeon S, Hooks R, Lin C, Carr R
- 19 Human hepatitis viruses-associated cutaneous and systemic vasculitis Wang CR, Tsai HW

MINIREVIEWS

37 Lipidome is lipids regulator in gastrointestinal tract and it is a life collar in COVID-19: A review Koriem KMM

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

Long non-coding ribonucleic acid W5 inhibits progression and predicts favorable prognosis in 55 hepatocellular carcinoma

Lei GL, Fan HX, Wang C, Niu Y, Li TL, Yu LX, Hong ZX, Yan J, Wang XL, Zhang SG, Ren MJ, Yang PH

Retrospective Study

69 Predictors of pain response after endoscopic ultrasound-guided celiac plexus neurolysis for abdominal pain caused by pancreatic malignancy

Han CQ, Tang XL, Zhang Q, Nie C, Liu J, Ding Z

80 Evaluation of controlled attenuation parameter in assessing hepatic steatosis in patients with autoimmune liver diseases

Ni XX, Lian M, Wu HM, Li XY, Sheng L, Bao H, Miao Q, Xiao X, Guo CJ, Li H, Ma X, Hua J

92 Valuable clinical indicators for identifying infantile-onset inflammatory bowel disease patients with monogenic diseases

Su W, Yu Y, Xu X, Wang XQ, Huang JB, Xu CD, Xiao Y

Randomized Controlled Trial

107 Effect of probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum Dad-13 powder consumption on the gut microbiota and intestinal health of overweight adults

Rahayu ES, Mariyatun M, Putri Manurung NE, Hasan PN, Therdtatha P, Mishima R, Komalasari H, Mahfuzah NA, Pamungkaningtyas FH, Yoga WK, Nurfiana DA, Liwan SY, Juffrie M, Nugroho AE, Utami T

CASE REPORT

129 Spontaneous regression of gastric gastrinoma after resection of metastases to the lesser omentum: A case report and review of literature

Okamoto T, Yoshimoto T, Ohike N, Fujikawa A, Kanie T, Fukuda K



Contents

Weekly Volume 27 Number 1 January 7, 2021

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, King-Wah Chiu is a Distinguished Professor at the Cheng Shui University in Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China. Having received his Bachelor's degree from China Medical University College of Medicine in 1985, he rose to Chief in the Gastroenterology Division of the Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Affiliated to Chang Gung University of College of Medicine in 2002. Dr. Chiu is a recognized expert in hepato-gastroenterology, having practiced for 30 years, and the pioneer of transplant hepatology in the field of liver transplantation, practicing in Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital since 1998. His ongoing research interests involve the application of molecular biology in transplant hepatology, particularly to study the effects of integrative basic medicine on and management of living-donor liver transplantation establishment. (L-Editor: Filipodia)

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now indexed in Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports®, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2020 edition of Journal Citation Report® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 3.665; IF without journal self cites: 3.534; 5-year IF: 4.048; Ranking: 35 among 88 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yu-Jie Ma; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Ze-Mao Gong,

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski, Subrata Ghosh	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wignet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
January 7, 2021	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WŨ

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2021 January 7; 27(1): 80-91 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i1.80

Retrospective Study

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Evaluation of controlled attenuation parameter in assessing hepatic steatosis in patients with autoimmune liver diseases

Xi-Xi Ni, Min Lian, Hui-Min Wu, Xiao-Yun Li, Li Sheng, Han Bao, Qi Miao, Xiao Xiao, Can-Jie Guo, Hai Li, Xiong Ma, Jing Hua

ORCID number: Xi-Xi Ni 0000-0003-3361-3703; Min Lian 0000-0003-2122-1614; Hui-Min Wu 0000-0002-3991-3857: Xiao-Yun Li 0000-0003-4706-6114; Li Sheng 0000-0002-3641-5211; Han Bao 0000-0003-2934-3990; Qi Miao 0000-0003-3370-9692; Xiao Xiao 0000-0002-7936-336X; Can-Jie Guo 0000-0001-5951-299X; Hai Li 0000-0002-2510-5103; Xiong Ma 0000-0002-2640-2708; Jing Hua 0000-0002-6100-9477.

Author contributions: Ni XX, Lian M, Wu HM, and Li XY contributed equally to this work, and collected and analyzed the data; Sheng L coordinated the research; Bao H and Xiao X performed the transient elastography and coordinated the liver biopsy; Miao Q contributed to histological examination; Ma X, Hua J, Li H, and Guo CJ analyzed the data; Hua J designed the study; Ni XX, Lian M, and Hua J wrote the manuscript; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 81470842 and No. 81770572.

Institutional review board

statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Renji Hospital.

Xi-Xi Ni, Hui-Min Wu, Xiao-Yun Li, Xiao Xiao, Jing Hua, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, Shanghai 200127, China

Min Lian, Han Bao, Can-Jie Guo, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200127, China

Li Sheng, Xiong Ma, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai Cancer Institute, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, Shanghai 200127, China

Qi Miao, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, Shanghai 200127, China

Hai Li, Department of Gastroenterology, Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200127, China

Xiong Ma, Department of Gastroenterology, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, Shanghai 200001, China

Corresponding author: Jing Hua, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, No. 160 Pujian Road, Shanghai 200127, China. hua jing88@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Hepatic steatosis commonly occurs in some chronic liver diseases and may affect disease progression.

AIM

To investigate the performance of controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) for the diagnosis of hepatic steatosis in patients with autoimmune liver diseases (AILDs).

METHODS

Patients who were suspected of having AILDs and underwent liver biopsy were consistently enrolled. Liver stiffness measurement (LSM) and CAP were performed by transient elastography. The area under the receiver operating



Informed consent statement:

Patients were not required to give informed consent to the study because the analysis used anonymous clinical data that were obtained after each patient agreed to treatment by written consent.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no financial relationships to disclose.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Country/Territory of origin: China

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: September 11, 2020 Peer-review started: September 11, 2020 First decision: November 23, 2020 Revised: December 1, 2020 Accepted: December 11, 2020 Article in press: December 11, 2020 Published online: January 7, 2021

P-Reviewer: Kita K S-Editor: Huang P L-Editor: Wang TQ P-Editor: Li JH

characteristic (AUROC) curve was used to evaluate the performance of CAP for diagnosing hepatic steatosis compared with biopsy.

RESULTS

Among 190 patients with biopsy-proven hepatic steatosis, 69 were diagnosed with autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), 18 with primary biliary cholangitis (PBC), and 27 with AIH-PBC overlap syndrome. The AUROCs of CAP for the diagnosis of steatosis in AILDS were 0.878 (0.791-0.965) for S1, 0.764 (0.676-0.853) for S2, and 0.821 (0.716-0.926) for S3. The CAP value was significantly related to hepatic steatosis grade (P < 0.001). Among 69 patients with AIH, the median CAP score was 205.63 ± 47.36 dB/m for S0, 258.41 ± 42.83 dB/m for S1, 293.00 ± 37.18 dB/m for S2, and $313.60 \pm 27.89 \text{ dB/m}$ for S3. Compared with patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) presenting with autoimmune markers, patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD were much older and had higher serum IgG levels and LSM values.

CONCLUSION

CAP can be used as a noninvasive diagnostic method to evaluate hepatic steatosis in patients with AILDs. Determination of LSM combined with CAP may help to identify patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD from those with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena.

Key Words: Controlled attenuation parameter; Hepatic steatosis; Autoimmune liver diseases; Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; Liver stiffness measurement; Autoimmune hepatitis

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This retrospective study determined that controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) could be used as a noninvasive diagnostic tool to evaluate hepatic steatosis effectively and accurately in patients with autoimmune liver diseases. Patients with autoimmune hepatitis concomitant with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) had higher IgG levels and liver stiffness measurement values, while patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena had higher gamma-glutamyl transferase levels and CAP values, which benefits the identification of these two kinds of patients.

Citation: Ni XX, Lian M, Wu HM, Li XY, Sheng L, Bao H, Miao Q, Xiao X, Guo CJ, Li H, Ma X, Hua J. Evaluation of controlled attenuation parameter in assessing hepatic steatosis in patients with autoimmune liver diseases. World J Gastroenterol 2021; 27(1): 80-91 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v27/i1/80.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v27.i1.80

INTRODUCTION

Hepatic steatosis is the accumulation of lipids within hepatocytes and is considered pathologic when it affects more than 5% of hepatocytes^[1]. The most common cause of steatosis is insulin resistance associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)^[2]. It also occurs in alcoholic liver disease and chronic viral hepatitis, defined as a "cofactor" capable of affecting disease progression and treatment perspectives^[3]. Autoimmune liver diseases (AILDs) are a group of autoimmune diseases associated with the liver and are characterized by dysregulation of immune cell homeostasis and inflammation, including autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), primary biliary cholangitis (PBC), primary sclerosing cholangitis, and their overlapping subtypes^[4,5]. When hepatic steatosis is present in subjects with AILDs, this coexisting scenario may cause a synergistic combination of steatosis, cellular adaptation, and oxidative damage that aggravates liver injury and affects the treatment effect^[6]. Moreover, the standard regimen for AIH involves glucocorticoids^[7], the long-term administration of which will further aggravate hepatic steatosis. However, the incidence of AILDs combined with hepatic steatosis has not been reported. Therefore, the evaluation of hepatic steatosis is





a component that cannot be ignored in the management of patients with AILDs.

Liver biopsy is considered the standard method for staging hepatic inflammation, steatosis, and fibrosis. Thus, it plays a vital role in the diagnosis and follow-up of AILDs^[7,8]. However, liver biopsy is an invasive procedure, which bears a potential risk of severe complications and is of limited acceptance among patients. Furthermore, the severity of hepatic steatosis may change within weeks after treatment that cannot be sufficiently monitored by repetitive invasive procedures. Accordingly, noninvasive assessments are urgently needed^[9].

The controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) measured by transient elastography (TE) is an easy and rapid noninvasive examination method for the detection of hepatic steatosis. It is based on the physical phenomenon that the amplitude of ultrasound waves is attenuated more quickly when they traverse across a steatotic liver^[10,11]. TE can also quantify the speed of a mechanically induced shear wave in liver tissue and hence generate a parameter called liver stiffness measurement (LSM) to estimate liver fibrosis^[12]. CAP is measured simultaneously with LSM, making it possible to assess hepatic steatosis and fibrosis at the same time. Studies with CAP have been performed in NAFLD, alcoholic liver disease, and viral hepatitis, but very few data are available on AILDs^[13-15]. It has been showed that in patients with chronic viral hepatitis and advanced liver fibrosis, CAP performed better than ultrasound for assessing liver steatosis^[16]. Besides, a recent meta-analysis showed that CAP diagnosed moderate and severe hepatic steatosis with diagnostic accuracies above 0.85 in patients with liver disease of mixed etiology. However, the analysis did not include patients with $AILDs^{[17]}$

In this study, we assessed the performance of CAP for evaluating hepatic steatosis in AILDs to determine whether it could be regarded as a reliable tool to monitor disease course.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Patients who were suspected of having AILDs and eventually underwent liver biopsy at Shanghai Jiao Tong University Renji Hospital were consistently enrolled from January 2016 to November 2018. A total of 800 patients were analyzed for steatosis in liver histology.

Diagnostic criteria

Diagnosis was made according to the diagnostic criteria described in the clinical practice guidelines for AIH, PBC, AIH-PBC overlap syndrome, and NAFLD. AIH^[18] was diagnosed according to a simple score based on four measurements: Liver histology, autoantibody titers, gamma-globulin/IgG levels, and the absence of viral hepatitis. The diagnosis of PBC^[19] required fulfilment of two or more of the following criteria: (1) Biochemical evidence of cholestasis based mainly on alkaline phosphatase (AKP) elevation; (2) Detection of anti-mitochondrial autoantibodies (AMA); and (3) Typical histologic evidence of nonsuppurative destructive cholangitis and destruction of interlobular bile ducts. AIH-PBC overlap syndrome^[20] was diagnosed based on clinical, biochemical, serological, and histological features overlapping those of PBC and AIH. The diagnosis of NAFLD^[21] required imaging or histological evidence of diffuse hepatic steatosis and ruling out other causes of hepatic steatosis, such as excessive alcohol consumption.

Histological examination

Percutaneous liver biopsy guided by ultrasound was performed under local anesthesia using a 16G disposable needle. Liver specimens at least 1 cm in length with eight complete portal tracts were included. The specimens were immediately fixed in 10% neutral formalin and embedded in paraffin. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was used to observe the morphology of the liver, and Masson's trichrome and reticulin staining was performed to detect fibrosis. One single experienced pathologist who was blinded to the patients' clinical data assessed liver histology using a METAVIR-derived scoring system. Hepatic steatosis was scored as S0: < 5%, S1: 5%-33%, S2: > 33%-66%, and S3: > 66%. Fibrosis was staged as follows: F0, no fibrosis; F1, portal fibrosis without septa; F2, portal and periportal fibrosis with few septa; F3, portal and periportal fibrosis with numerous septa without cirrhosis; and F4, cirrhosis. Hepatic inflammatory activity was graded as follows: A0, none; A1, mild; A2, moderate; and A3, severe.



Clinical measurements

Medical records of the patients who were finally included were reviewed, and clinical data and laboratory findings were collected and analyzed. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated. Laboratory evaluations included liver biochemistry [i.e., alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase, AKP, gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, globulin, and albumin], serum immunoglobulins (IgG, IgM, and IgA), routine blood tests (white blood cell count and platelet count), and prothrombin time. Serum autoantibodies, including anti-nuclear antibody (ANA), AMA, and anti-smooth muscle actin antibody (ASMA), were detected by indirect immunofluorescence (Euroimmun AG, Hangzhou, China).

CAP and LSM by TE

TE measured with a FibroScan device and an M probe ultrasound transducer (Echosens, Paris, France) was performed in all patients who underwent liver biopsy on the same day. Subjects were placed in the supine position with the right arm in maximal abduction, and measurements were taken over the right hepatic lobe through an intercostal space. We obtained ten valid CAP and LSM measurements from each participant and considered LSM with an interquartile range $\leq 30\%$ and a success rate \geq 60% as reliable. The median CAP and LSM were taken as the estimates for hepatic steatosis and fibrosis, expressed in dB/m and kilopascals (kPa), respectively.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 22.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, United States). Summary data are reported as the mean \pm SD or median (interquartile range) according to distribution. Quantitative variables were compared using independent samples Student's *t*-test or one-way analysis of variance when appropriate. Spearman's rank correlation test was used to explore the correlation between CAP and hepatic steatosis grade. The diagnostic accuracy of CAP for the prediction of hepatic steatosis grade was calculated using a receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve. Optimal CAP cut-off values for each steatosis stage were determined based on the highest combined sensitivity and specificity (Youden index). The area under the ROC curve (AUROC), sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value for the predefined cut-off values were calculated. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the patients

In 800 patients with liver biopsy, a total of 190 patients were finally included in the study according to the existence of various grades of hepatic steatosis in liver histology, with a mean age of 46.36 ± 12.82 years, including 45 males (23.68%) and 145 females (76.32%). Among these patients, 69 were diagnosed with AIH, 18 with PBC, 27 with AIH-PBC overlap syndrome, 66 with NAFLD, and 10 with other liver diseases. In all patients, the prevalence of autoantibodies, including ANA, AMA, and ASMA, was 70.53%. The average BMI was $23.97 \pm 2.69 \text{ kg/m}^2$.

Diagnostic accuracy of CAP to grade hepatic steatosis in patients with mixed etiology liver disease

In all 190 patients, the median CAP score was $270.17 \pm 54.52 \text{ dB/m}$, and the median LSM score was 7.66 ± 5.57 kPa (Table 1). The distribution of the CAP value for each steatosis grade in all patients with mixed etiology liver disease is as follows. The median CAP score was $201.6 \pm 46.78 \text{ dB/m}$ for S0, $260.5 \pm 47.92 \text{ dB/m}$ for S1, $293.6 \pm$ 40.13 dB/m for S2, and 307.4 ± 45.31 dB/m for S3. The CAP value was significantly related to hepatic steatosis grade ($\rho = 0.549$, P < 0.001) (Figure 1A).

The AUROCs of CAP for the diagnosis of steatosis were 0.883 (0.807-0.958) for S1, 0.772 (0.705-0.838) for S2, and 0.732 (0.640-0.824) for S3 (Figure 1B-D and Table 2). The optimal cut-off values of CAP for steatosis grades were 229 dB/m for $S \ge 1, 259$ dB/m for $S \ge 2$, and 283.5 dB/m for S3 with the highest combined sensitivity and specificity (Table 2).

Diagnostic accuracy of CAP for grading hepatic steatosis in AILDs

Next, we further assessed the performance of CAP for evaluating hepatic steatosis in AILDs. The median CAP score for each steatosis grade in AILDs was very similar to



Ni XX et al. CAP for evaluating hepatic steatosis in AILDs

Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics						
Variable	All (<i>n</i> = 190)	AILDs (<i>n</i> = 114)	NAFLD (<i>n</i> = 66)			
Age, yr	46.36 ± 12.82	48.72 ± 11.57	42.15 ± 13.80			
Male sex, <i>n</i> (%)	45 (23.68)	23 (20.18)	18 (27.27)			
BMI, kg/m ²	23.97 ± 2.69	24.33 ± 1.80	23.63 ± 2.34			
Prevalence of autoantibodies, n (%)	134 (70.53)	89 (78.07)	37 (56.06)			
Laboratory						
AST, U/L	63.96 ± 86.42	72.54 ± 102.23	54.6 ± 59.10			
ALT, U/L	83.86 ± 86.42	81.98 ± 92.78	92.81 ± 78.67			
LDH, U/L	182.70 ± 35.43	183.02 ± 37.39	182.64 ± 32.86			
AKP, U/L	80.00 (63.00, 108.50)	85.00 (62.25, 129.5)	75.00 (64.00, 94.00)			
GGT, U/L	103.67 ± 126.83	126.00 ± 151.92	76.82 ± 73.15			
Total bilirubin, mg/dL	10.90 (8.10, 15.30)	11.20 (8.30, 16.70)	9.80 (6.95, 14.15)			
Direct bilirubin, mg/dL	3.60 (2.80, 4.90)	3.70 (2.90, 5.70)	3.45 (2.52, 4.50)			
Histological steatosis stage, n (%)						
S0 (< 5%)	22 (11.6)	20 (11.1)	0 (0.0)			
S1 (5%-33%)	85 (44.7)	61 (33.9)	16 (24.2)			
S2 (> 33%-66%)	55 (28.9)	28 (15.6)	27 (40.9)			
S3 (> 66%)	28 (14.7)	5 (2.8)	23 (34.8)			
Histological fibrosis stage, <i>n</i> (%)						
F0 (no fibrosis)	12 (6.3)	1 (0.9)	10 (15.2)			
F1 (portal fibrosis without septa)	70 (36.8)	38 (33.3)	30 (45.5)			
F2 (portal and periportal fibrosis with few septa)	64 (33.7)	40 (35.1)	18 (27.3)			
F3 (portal and periportal fibrosis with numerous septa without cirrhosis)	35 (18.4)	26 (22.8)	8 (12.1)			
F4 (cirrhosis)	9 (4.7)	9 (7.9)	0 (0.0)			
CAP, dB/m	270.17 ± 54.52	261.73 ± 53.80	290.97 ± 50.68			
LSM, kPa	7.66 ± 5.57	8.48 ± 6.50	6.61 ± 3.66			

Distributions are expressed as the mean ± SD or median (interquartile range) or number (percentage). AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; NAFLD: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; BMI: Body mass index; AKP: Alkaline phosphatase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; CAP: Controlled attenuation parameter; GGT: Gamma-glutamyl transferase; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; LSM: Liver stiffness measurement.

> that in all patients. The CAP value was also significantly related to hepatic steatosis grade (ρ = 0.553, *P* < 0.001) (Figure 2A). The AUROCs of CAP for the diagnosis of steatosis in AILDs were 0.878 (0.791-0.965) for S1, 0.764 (0.676-0.853) for S2, and 0.821 (0.716-0.926) for S3 (Figure 2D-F and Table 2). The optimal cut-off values of CAP for steatosis grades were 220.5 dB/m for $S \ge 1$, 271.5 dB/m for $S \ge 2$, and 283.5 dB/m for S3 (Table 2).

> Considering the relatively high incidence and clinical significance of AIH, we focused on calculating the median CAP value for patients with AIH. Among 69 patients with AIH, the median CAP score was $205.63 \pm 47.36 \text{ dB/m}$ for S0, $258.41 \pm$ 42.83 dB/m for S1, 293.00 ± 37.18 dB/m for S2, and 313.60 ± 27.89 dB/m for S3 (Figure 2B). Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the median CAP value between patients with AIH and NAFLD in each hepatic steatosis grade (Figure 2C).

Factors affecting the performance of CAP

Univariate and multivariate analyses showed that the CAP value was significantly correlated with BMI and hepatic steatosis (P < 0.001, P < 0.001). When patients were divided into three subgroups according to BMI < 24 kg/m², 24-27 kg/m², and BMI ≥ 27 kg/m², patients with BMI \ge 27 kg/m² had a significantly higher CAP value than the



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Diagnostic performance of controlled attenuation parameter for assessment of hepatic steatosis in patients with mixed etiology liver disease and in patients with autoimmune liver diseases

Grade	AUROC (95%CI)	Cut-off, dB/m (kPa)	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
S≥1	0.883 (0.807-0.958)	229	0.852	0.714	0.960	0.375
S≥2	0.772 (0.705-0.838)	259	0.875	0.636	0.636	0.875
S = 3	0.732 (0.640-0.824)	283.5	0.750	0.654	0.273	0.938
S≥1	0.878 (0.791-0.965)	220.5	0.874	0.737	0.937	0.538
S≥2	0.764 (0.676-0.853)	271.5	0.818	0.704	0.510	0.937
S = 3	0.821 (0.716-0.926)	283.5	1.000	0.688	0.128	1.000
	Grade S≥1 S≥2 S=3 S≥1 S≥2 S≥2 S=3	GradeAUROC (95%Cl) $S \ge 1$ 0.883 (0.807-0.958) $S \ge 2$ 0.772 (0.705-0.838) $S = 3$ 0.732 (0.640-0.824) $S \ge 1$ 0.878 (0.791-0.965) $S \ge 2$ 0.764 (0.676-0.853) $S = 3$ 0.821 (0.716-0.926)	GradeAUROC (95%Cl)Cut-off, dB/m (kPa) $S \ge 1$ 0.883 (0.807-0.958)229 $S \ge 2$ 0.772 (0.705-0.838)259 $S = 3$ 0.732 (0.640-0.824)283.5 $S \ge 1$ 0.878 (0.791-0.965)220.5 $S \ge 2$ 0.764 (0.676-0.853)271.5 $S = 3$ 0.821 (0.716-0.926)283.5	Grade AUROC (95%CI) Cut-off, dB/m (kPa) Sensitivity S≥1 0.883 (0.807-0.958) 229 0.852 S≥2 0.772 (0.705-0.838) 259 0.875 S=3 0.732 (0.640-0.824) 283.5 0.750 S≥1 0.878 (0.791-0.965) 220.5 0.874 S≥2 0.764 (0.676-0.853) 271.5 0.818 S=3 0.821 (0.716-0.926) 283.5 1.000	GradeAUROC (95%Cl)Cut-off, dB/m (kPa)SensitivitySpecificity $S \ge 1$ 0.883 (0.807-0.958)2290.8520.714 $S \ge 2$ 0.772 (0.705-0.838)2590.8750.636 $S = 3$ 0.732 (0.640-0.824)283.50.7500.654 $S \ge 1$ 0.878 (0.791-0.965)220.50.8740.737 $S \ge 2$ 0.764 (0.676-0.853)271.50.8180.704 $S = 3$ 0.821 (0.716-0.926)283.51.0000.688	GradeAUROC (95%Cl)Cut-off, dB/m (kPa)SensitivitySpecificityPPV $S \ge 1$ 0.883 (0.807-0.958)2290.8520.7140.960 $S \ge 2$ 0.772 (0.705-0.838)2590.8750.6360.636 $S = 3$ 0.732 (0.640-0.824)283.50.7500.6540.273 $S \ge 1$ 0.878 (0.791-0.965)220.50.8740.7370.937 $S \ge 2$ 0.764 (0.676-0.853)271.50.8180.7040.510 $S = 3$ 0.821 (0.716-0.926)283.51.0000.6880.128

AUROC: Area under receiver operating characteristic; CAP: Controlled attenuation parameter; NPV: Negative predictive value; PPV: Positive predictive value.



Figure 1 The receiver operator characteristic curve of controlled attenuation parameter for diagnosis of hepatic steatosis grade in patients with mixed etiology liver disease. A: Correlation between the controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) and the grade of hepatic steatosis ($\rho = 0.549$, P < 0.001); B-D: The receiver operator characteristic curve of CAP for diagnosis of (B) steatosis grade \geq S1, (C) steatosis grade \geq S2, and (D) steatosis grade \geq S3 in patients with mixed etiology liver disease. ^bP < 0.01 vs S0; ^dP < 0.01. CAP: Controlled attenuation parameter.

other two groups (Figure 3). The performance of CAP was stable across fibrosis stages. In addition, traditional factors affecting the performance of LSM, such as bilirubin and serum ALT level, did not affect the performance of CAP (data not shown).

Baishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 2 Controlled attenuation parameter value in different hepatic steatosis grades and etiologies and the receiver operator characteristic curve of controlled attenuation parameter for diagnosis of hepatic steatosis grade in patients with autoimmune liver diseases. A and B: Correlation between the controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) and the grade of hepatic steatosis in patients with (A) autoimmune liver diseases (AILDs) or (B) autoimmune hepatitis (AIH); C: Comparison of the CAP value in patients with AIH and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in different hepatic steatosis grades; D-F: The receiver operator characteristic curve of CAP for diagnosis of (D) steatosis grade \geq S1, (E) steatosis grade \geq S2, and (F) steatosis grade \geq S3 in patients with AILDs. ^aP < 0.05; ^bP < 0.01 vs S0; ^cP < 0.05; ^dP < 0.01. CAP: Controlled attenuation parameter; AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; NAFLD: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

Raishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Comparative analysis of patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD and patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena

In this study, we defined patients with histologic evidence of both AIH and NAFLD as patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD and patients with histologic evidence of NAFLD and positive autoantibodies or elevated IgG or IgM as patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena.

The results showed that patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD were older and had higher IgG levels and LSM values than patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena. In contrast, the GGT level and CAP value were higher in patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena (Table 3). Interestingly, when we compared the LSM value in each steatosis grade of the two groups, patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD were higher in both S1 and S3 grades, suggesting a potential diagnostic marker for patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD.

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of hepatic steatosis is rising in association with the global increase in obesity. The overall prevalence of NAFLD over the past two decades is 29.6%, up to 46.4% in heavy drinkers and 50%-80% in the obese population^[22]. Close attention was paid to the potential interactions between chronic liver disease and hepatic steatosis with the growing NAFLD prevalence. However, the particular role of hepatic steatosis has been less of a focus of attention in AILDs than in other chronic liver diseases, partly due to the lack of proper evaluation tools. Herein, we demonstrated that CAP measured by TE could be used as a noninvasive diagnostic tool to evaluate hepatic steatosis effectively and accurately in patients with AILDs.

In this study, we confirmed that CAP correlated well with hepatic steatosis on histology, and we were able to establish cut-off values with high diagnostic accuracies. The cut-off values for each steatosis grade in patients with mixed etiology liver disease were similar to the previously proposed cut-off values in an individual patient data meta-analysis (229 dB/m for $S \ge 1$, 258 dB/m for $S \ge 2$)^[17]. However, the accuracy of CAP in separating steatosis grades 2 and 3 was suboptimal, which was similar to prior reports^[13]. Nevertheless, in clinical practice, the identification of moderate steatosis is of greater utility than distinctions between S2 and S3, and thus, the Youden cut-off for S2 of 258 dB/m is sufficient.

Given the high risk of glycolipid metabolism disorder and increased possibility of hepatic steatosis in patients with AIH due to the long-term administration of immunosuppressive drugs such as glucocorticoids, the noninvasive method may be particularly suited for monitoring current hepatic histological changes and therapeutic effects^[7,23]. Here, we found that CAP was closely related to hepatic steatosis in patients with AILDs, as well as patients with AIH. Surprisingly, the performance of CAP for the diagnosis of hepatic steatosis grade in patients with AIH remained stable, which supported that CAP can be used as a noninvasive and reliable diagnostic method to monitor steatosis and disease course.

Notably, we found a strong correlation between CAP and BMI, in agreement with previous studies^[24,25]. The skin capsular distance (SCD) has also been shown to be associated with increased CAP values^[26]. Since BMI and SCD are both surrogate markers of adiposity, it is difficult to determine the mechanism underlying the association. However, we did not find a correlation between CAP and LSM as reported for patients with NAFLD^[27].

It is found in the clinic that elevated immunoglobulin and/or positive autoantibodies may occur during the progression of NAFLD, which we defined as "patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena" here. On the other hand, patients with AIH can also have NAFLD, thereby affecting the diagnosis and treatment. In this study, we found that patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD were older and had higher IgG levels and LSM values than patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena, which could be related to the repeated and long-term course of AILDs. In contrast, the GGT level was higher in patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena. Therefore, serum IgG and GGT levels and the LSM value can benefit the identification of these two kinds of patients.

There are a few limitations in this study. First, our study is retrospective in nature due to the data collection despite the "blinded" analysis of histology. Second, the sample size is limited due to the low prevalence of AILDs, especially PBC and AIH-PBC overlap syndrome. Third, for historical reasons, CAP was measured only using the M probe. However, a recent multicenter prospective study has shown that there



Table 3 Comparative analysis of patients with autoimmune hepatitis concomitant with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and patients with
nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with autoimmune phenomena

Variable	Patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD (<i>n</i> = 61)	Patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena (<i>n</i> = 34)	<i>P</i> value
Age, yr	52.05 ± 11.11	43.68 ± 13.71	< 0.001
Male sex, n (%)	13 (21.3)	6 (17.6)	
BMI, kg/m ²	24.21 ± 3.10	24.65 ± 2.02	
Laboratory			
AST, U/L	55.03 ± 47.31	50.03 ± 29.26	
ALT, U/L	66.62 ± 74.94	86.01 ± 62.59	
AKP, U/L	63.00 (45.00, 84.00)	75.00 (54.00, 87.00)	
GGT, U/L	49.27 ± 32.24	69.26 ± 44.07	< 0.05
Total bilirubin, mg/dL	10.20 (8.60, 14.10)	10.25 (7.40, 13.67)	
Direct bilirubin, mg/dL	4.20 (3.20, 5.00)	3.70 (2.92, 4.65)	
IgG	15.60 ± 4.57	13.81 ± 2.67	< 0.05
IgM	1.18 ± 0.45	1.28 ± 0.57	
Histological steatosis stage, n (%)			
S1	39 (63.9)	8 (23.5)	
S2	17 (27.9)	10 (29.4)	
S3	5 (8.2)	16 (47.1)	
Histological fibrosis stage, n (%)			
F1	17 (27.9)	6 (17.6)	
F2	22 (36.1)	14 (41.2)	
F3	16 (26.2)	11 (32.4)	
F4	6 (9.8)	3 (8.8)	
CAP, dB/m	272.57 ± 44.39	293.41 ± 51.04	< 0.05
LSM in total, kPa	9.34 ± 7.14	6.49 ± 2.44	< 0.05
In steatosis S1	9.19 ± 8.11	5.28 ± 1.88	< 0.05
In steatosis S2	7.80 ± 3.47	6.31 ± 2.18	
In steatosis S3	15.76 ± 6.25	7.20 ± 2.69	< 0.01

Distributions are expressed as the mean ± SD or median [interquartile range] or number (percentage). AKP: Alkaline phosphatase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; BMI: Body mass index; CAP: Controlled attenuation parameter; GGT: Gamma-glutamyl transferase; LSM: Liver stiffness measurement; AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; NAFLD: Nonalcoholic fatty liver.

was no significant difference in diagnostic accuracy between the two probes to diagnose liver fibrosis and steatosis in patients with NAFLD^[28].

CONCLUSION

In summary, this study revealed that CAP could be used as a noninvasive diagnostic method to evaluate hepatic steatosis in patients with AILDs. Determination of LSM combined with CAP may help to identify patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD from those with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena.

Baishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 3 Controlled attenuation parameter in patients with different body mass indexes. *P < 0.05 vs S0; *P < 0.05. CAP: Controlled attenuation parameter; BMI: Body mass index.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

The controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) assesses hepatic steatosis with high diagnostic accuracies among several chronic liver diseases. However, it has not been studied in patients with autoimmune liver diseases (AILDs).

Research motivation

This study aimed to investigate the performance of CAP for the diagnosis of hepatic steatosis in patients with AILDs.

Research objectives

We evaluated the performance and usefulness of CAP for detection of hepatic steatosis in patients with AILDs.

Research methods

The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was used to evaluate the performance of CAP for diagnosing hepatic steatosis compared with biopsy. Optimal CAP cut-off values were determined based on the highest combined sensitivity and specificity.

Research results

CAP can accurately detect hepatic steatosis as a noninvasive method in patients with AILDs. Compared with patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) presenting with autoimmune markers, patients with autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) concomitant with NAFLD were much older and had higher serum IgG levels and liver stiffness measurement (LSM) values.

Research conclusions

CAP can be used as a noninvasive diagnostic method to evaluate hepatic steatosis in patients with AILDs. Determination of LSM combined with CAP may help to identify patients with AIH concomitant with NAFLD from patients with NAFLD with autoimmune phenomena.

Research perspectives

Larger multicenter studies using both M and XL probes are needed to confirm our results.

REFERENCES

Yeh MM, Brunt EM. Pathological features of fatty liver disease. Gastroenterology 2014; 147: 754-1 764 [PMID: 25109884 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.07.056]



- 2 Sasso M, Miette V, Sandrin L, Beaugrand M. The controlled attenuation parameter (CAP): a novel tool for the non-invasive evaluation of steatosis using Fibroscan. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2012; 36: 13-20 [PMID: 21920839 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinre.2011.08.001]
- 3 Persico M, Iolascon A. Steatosis as a co-factor in chronic liver diseases. World J Gastroenterol 2010; 16: 1171-1176 [PMID: 20222159 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v16.i10.1171]
- 4 Tanaka A, Mori M, Matsumoto K, Ohira H, Tazuma S, Takikawa H. Increase trend in the prevalence and male-to-female ratio of primary biliary cholangitis, autoimmune hepatitis, and primary sclerosing cholangitis in Japan. Hepatol Res 2019; 49: 881-889 [PMID: 30932290 DOI: 10.1111/hepr.13342]
- Beringer A, Miossec P. IL-17 and IL-17-producing cells and liver diseases, with focus on 5 autoimmune liver diseases. Autoimmun Rev 2018; 17: 1176-1185 [PMID: 30321671 DOI: 10.1016/j.autrev.2018.06.008]
- 6 Powell EE, Jonsson JR, Clouston AD. Steatosis: co-factor in other liver diseases. Hepatology 2005; 42: 5-13 [PMID: 15962320 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20750]
- 7 European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Autoimmune hepatitis. J Hepatol 2015; 63: 971-1004 [PMID: 26341719 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.06.030]
- 8 European Association for the Study of the Liver. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: The diagnosis and management of patients with primary biliary cholangitis. J Hepatol 2017; 67: 145-172 [PMID: 28427765 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.03.022]
- 9 Castera L, Vilgrain V, Angulo P. Noninvasive evaluation of NAFLD. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; 10: 666-675 [PMID: 24061203 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2013.175]
- 10 Sasso M, Beaugrand M, de Ledinghen V, Douvin C, Marcellin P, Poupon R, Sandrin L, Miette V. Controlled attenuation parameter (CAP): a novel VCTE[™] guided ultrasonic attenuation measurement for the evaluation of hepatic steatosis: preliminary study and validation in a cohort of patients with chronic liver disease from various causes. Ultrasound Med Biol 2010; 36: 1825-1835 [PMID: 20870345 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2010.07.005]
- Sasso M, Audière S, Kemgang A, Gaouar F, Corpechot C, Chazouillères O, Fournier C, Golsztejn O, 11 Prince S, Menu Y, Sandrin L, Miette V. Liver Steatosis Assessed by Controlled Attenuation Parameter (CAP) Measured with the XL Probe of the FibroScan: A Pilot Study Assessing Diagnostic Accuracy. Ultrasound Med Biol 2016; 42: 92-103 [PMID: 26386476 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2015.08.008]
- 12 Sandrin L, Fourquet B, Hasquenoph JM, Yon S, Fournier C, Mal F, Christidis C, Ziol M, Poulet B, Kazemi F, Beaugrand M, Palau R. Transient elastography: a new noninvasive method for assessment of hepatic fibrosis. Ultrasound Med Biol 2003; 29: 1705-1713 [PMID: 14698338 DOI: 10.1016/i.ultrasmedbio.2003.07.001]
- Eddowes PJ, Sasso M, Allison M, Tsochatzis E, Anstee QM, Sheridan D, Guha IN, Cobbold JF, 13 Deeks JJ, Paradis V, Bedossa P, Newsome PN. Accuracy of FibroScan Controlled Attenuation Parameter and Liver Stiffness Measurement in Assessing Steatosis and Fibrosis in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Gastroenterology 2019; 156: 1717-1730 [PMID: 30689971 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.01.042]
- Seto WK, Hui RWH, Mak LY, Fung J, Cheung KS, Liu KSH, Wong DK, Lai CL, Yuen MF. Association Between Hepatic Steatosis, Measured by Controlled Attenuation Parameter, and Fibrosis Burden in Chronic Hepatitis B. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 16: 575-583. e2 [PMID: 28970146 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2017.09.044]
- 15 Thiele M, Rausch V, Fluhr G, Kjærgaard M, Piecha F, Mueller J, Straub BK, Lupsor-Platon M, De-Ledinghen V, Seitz HK, Detlefsen S, Madsen B, Krag A, Mueller S. Controlled attenuation parameter and alcoholic hepatic steatosis: Diagnostic accuracy and role of alcohol detoxification. J Hepatol 2018; 68: 1025-1032 [PMID: 29343427 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.12.029]
- Ferraioli G, Tinelli C, De Silvestri A, Lissandrin R, Above E, Dellafiore C, Poma G, Di Gregorio M, 16 Maiocchi L, Maserati R, Filice C. The clinical value of controlled attenuation parameter for the noninvasive assessment of liver steatosis. Liver Int 2016; 36: 1860-1866 [PMID: 27439331 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13207]
- 17 Karlas T, Petroff D, Sasso M, Fan JG, Mi YQ, de Lédinghen V, Kumar M, Lupsor-Platon M, Han KH, Cardoso AC, Ferraioli G, Chan WK, Wong VW, Myers RP, Chayama K, Friedrich-Rust M, Beaugrand M, Shen F, Hiriart JB, Sarin SK, Badea R, Jung KS, Marcellin P, Filice C, Mahadeva S, Wong GL, Crotty P, Masaki K, Bojunga J, Bedossa P, Keim V, Wiegand J. Individual patient data meta-analysis of controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) technology for assessing steatosis. J Hepatol 2017; 66: 1022-1030 [PMID: 28039099 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.12.022]
- Hennes EM, Zeniya M, Czaja AJ, Parés A, Dalekos GN, Krawitt EL, Bittencourt PL, Porta G, 18 Boberg KM, Hofer H, Bianchi FB, Shibata M, Schramm C, Eisenmann de Torres B, Galle PR, McFarlane I, Dienes HP, Lohse AW; International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group. Simplified criteria for the diagnosis of autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatology 2008; 48: 169-176 [PMID: 18537184 DOI: 10.1002/hep.22322]
- 19 Lindor KD, Gershwin ME, Poupon R, Kaplan M, Bergasa NV, Heathcote EJ; American Association for Study of Liver Diseases. Primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 2009; 50: 291-308 [PMID: 19554543 DOI: 10.1002/hep.22906]
- 20 Chazouillères O, Wendum D, Serfaty L, Montembault S, Rosmorduc O, Poupon R. Primary biliary cirrhosis-autoimmune hepatitis overlap syndrome: clinical features and response to therapy. Hepatology 1998; 28: 296-301 [PMID: 9695990 DOI: 10.1002/hep.510280203]
- National Workshop on Fatty Liver and Alcoholic Liver Disease CSoH; Chinese Medical 21



Association. Guidelines of prevention and treatment for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a 2018 update. Linchuang Gandan Bing Zazhi 2018; 34: 947-957

- 22 Zhou J, Zhou F, Wang W, Zhang XJ, Ji YX, Zhang P, She ZG, Zhu L, Cai J, Li H. Epidemiological Features of NAFLD From 1999 to 2018 in China. Hepatology 2020; 71: 1851-1864 [PMID: 32012320 DOI: 10.1002/hep.31150]
- 23 Mieli-Vergani G, Vergani D, Czaja AJ, Manns MP, Krawitt EL, Vierling JM, Lohse AW, Montano-Loza AJ. Autoimmune hepatitis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2018; 4: 18017 [PMID: 29644994 DOI: 10.1038/nrdp.2018.17]
- 24 Wong VW, Petta S, Hiriart JB, Cammà C, Wong GL, Marra F, Vergniol J, Chan AW, Tuttolomondo A, Merrouche W, Chan HL, Le Bail B, Arena U, Craxì A, de Lédinghen V. Validity criteria for the diagnosis of fatty liver by M probe-based controlled attenuation parameter. J Hepatol 2017; 67: 577-584 [PMID: 28506907 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.05.005]
- 25 de Lédinghen V, Vergniol J, Capdepont M, Chermak F, Hiriart JB, Cassinotto C, Merrouche W, Foucher J, Brigitte le B. Controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) for the diagnosis of steatosis: a prospective study of 5323 examinations. J Hepatol 2014; 60: 1026-1031 [PMID: 24378529 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.12.018]
- 26 Shen F, Zheng RD, Shi JP, Mi YQ, Chen GF, Hu X, Liu YG, Wang XY, Pan Q, Chen GY, Chen JN, Xu L, Zhang RN, Xu LM, Fan JG. Impact of skin capsular distance on the performance of controlled attenuation parameter in patients with chronic liver disease. Liver Int 2015; 35: 2392-2400 [PMID: 25689614 DOI: 10.1111/liv.12809]
- 27 Petta S, Wong VW, Cammà C, Hiriart JB, Wong GL, Marra F, Vergniol J, Chan AW, Di Marco V, Merrouche W, Chan HL, Barbara M, Le-Bail B, Arena U, Craxì A, de Ledinghen V. Improved noninvasive prediction of liver fibrosis by liver stiffness measurement in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease accounting for controlled attenuation parameter values. Hepatology 2017; 65: 1145-1155 [PMID: 27639088 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28843]
- 28 Oeda S, Takahashi H, Imajo K, Seko Y, Ogawa Y, Moriguchi M, Yoneda M, Anzai K, Aishima S, Kage M, Itoh Y, Nakajima A, Eguchi Y. Accuracy of liver stiffness measurement and controlled attenuation parameter using FibroScan® M/XL probes to diagnose liver fibrosis and steatosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a multicenter prospective study. J Gastroenterol 2020; 55: 428-440 [PMID: 31654131 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-019-01635-0]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

