

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 59547

**Title:** EFFECTS of antithrombotic agents on post-OPERATIVE bleeding after endoscopic resection of gastrointestinal NEOPLASMS and POLYPS: A systematic review and meta-analysis

**Reviewer's code:** 03733105

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Lecturer

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-09-17

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-09-19 03:35

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-09-23 11:25

**Review time:** 4 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. In your meta-analysis, antithrombotic therapy was significantly associated with postoperative bleeding after ER. However, there was no significant difference in the postoperative bleeding among ESD, EMR and polypectomy including types of Antithrombotic agents. One cause is that it is possible that the number of cases of ESD, EMR and polypectomy is insufficient. The message in conclusion in your meta-analysis is very weak. 2. It should be divided into esophageal & gastric cases (upper gastrointestinal tract) and colon cases (lower gastrointestinal tract) because the anatomical background is quite different. Regarding polypectomy technique, it should be divided into cold polypectomy and hot polypectomy, too. 3. In terms of Table1 of characteristics of included studies and participants, number of cases, type of antithrombotic agents should be included. 4. In section of "The quality assessment and Publication bias" in Page9, the basis of "9 stars" are difficult to understand. Please show the evidence of it.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 59547

**Title:** EFFECTS of antithrombotic agents on post-OPERATIVE bleeding after endoscopic resection of gastrointestinal NEOPLASMS and POLYPS: A systematic review and meta-analysis

**Reviewer's code:** 02917331

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACG, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-09-17

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-10-09 02:33

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-10-09 11:27

**Review time:** 8 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The revised manuscript is appropriately corrected.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 59547

**Title:** EFFECTS of antithrombotic agents on post-OPERATIVE bleeding after endoscopic resection of gastrointestinal NEOPLASMS and POLYPS: A systematic review and meta-analysis

**Reviewer's code:** 05266801

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-09-17

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-10-21 01:03

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-10-22 00:06

**Review time:** 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors well revised the manuscript according to the comments. I have no further comments.