

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Currently, there is no single treatment that has been proven to provide significant benefit in reducing morbidity and mortality of COVID-19. There are many clinical trials for numerous different therapeutic agents currently underway. By looking back and measuring successful strategies from previous pandemics in addition to carrying out ongoing research, you provide ourselves with the greatest opportunity for the treatment of COVID-19. Along with the progress of research on drugs for clinical treatment of COVID-19, new drugs will certainly continue to appear. It is hoped that effective drugs for treatment of COVID-19 will come true in the near future, for the benefit of mankind. And more of your articles on this subject are expected in the future.

Response: Thank you so much for taking the time to review our manuscript and for your recommendations.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: I recommend this review paper for publishing. Minor amendments are shown directly in the manuscript. (attached).

Response: We would like to thank you for your recommendations to our manuscript. The changes that you have suggested have been made in full throughout the manuscript.

Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a review of the emerging therapeutics in the management of COVID-19. The topic is within the scope of the WJV. (1) Classification: Grade B and Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: By reviewing and measuring the successful strategies of previous epidemics and ongoing research, we provide everyone with the greatest opportunity to treat COVID-19. The manuscript requires minor modification. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There are 4 tables. A total of 161 references are cited, including 100 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B and Grade A. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJV. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG. 5 Issues raised: (1) I found the authors did not add the full PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout. 6 Re-Review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.

Response: All questions raised by the reviewers have been answered and changes were made to the manuscript. Conflict of interest and Copyright License Agreement have been included. The

reference list has been revised to include full author list, PMID, and DOI where applicable and available. Thank you so much for taking the time to review our manuscript.

(2) Editorial office director: I have checked and revised the comments written by the science editor.

Response: Thank you for reviewing our manuscript. All changes have been made as suggested by the reviewers and science editor.

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report and the full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted with major revisions. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, authors need to correct the issues raised by the editor to meet the publishing requirements.

Response: Thank you for reviewing our manuscript. We have been all changes as suggested by reviewers and the science editor. Responses have been included here within.