

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 59790

**Column:** Case Control Study

Dear Editor and Reviewers,

Thank you for your kind letter and for the Reviewers ' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Effect of hospital discharge plan for children with type 1 diabetes on discharge readiness ,discharge education quality ,and blood glucose contor". Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper. We have studied the comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responses to Reviewers' comments are as the following:

**1.Responses to comments of Reviewer 1:**

Specific comments to authors: This study is an experimental study. It adopts a prospective clinical experimental design and divides the research subjects into an intervention group and a control group in the order of admission time. The current research collected a small sample size due to limited conditions and will gradually increase the sample size as the research progresses. The follow-up time for children with T1DM was still short, and the long-term effects need to be further observed and studied. This is still an interesting and instructive study which was very good presented. So, it deserves publication. However, there should be more latest references for the study.

**(1)Comment:**

there should be more latest references for the study.

**Response:**

We have added the latest references according to Reviewers' suggestion. Thank you very much for your kind comment.The comment is very helpful for us to revise and improve our paper.

## **2.Responses to comments of Reviewer 2:**

Specific Comments to Authors: This is an interesting manuscript focusing on A hospital discharge plan for children with type 1 diabetes can help children and their families realize the transition from hospital care to self-management at home and improve the preparation of children's parents for discharge, thereby improving children's blood glucose control levels. The article is well written, and the idea of the study is novel. The text is strictly logical. I have no further comments. It can be considered for publication.

### **Response:**

Thank you very much for your kind comment.

## **3.Responses to comments of Reviewer 3:**

Specific Comments to Authors: Very interesting study of formulate and implement a hospital discharge plan based on the needs of parents of children with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and to explore the impact on discharge readiness, discharge education quality and blood glucose control. The results are interesting, and well discussed. After a minor editing, it can be accepted for publication. Overall, this manuscript is very well written. I have no other specific comments.

### **Response:**

Thank you very much for your kind comment.

## **4. LANGUAGE QUALITY**

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

### **Response:**

Thank you very much for your suggestion. We have carefully proof-read the manuscript to edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability. The detailed information has been in revised manuscript.

## **5. EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS**

Science editor:

Issues raised: (1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and (3) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text. 6 Re-Review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

**(1)Comment**

The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions;

**Response:**

We are sorry for our negligence of missing author contributions.

Author contributions:

The study was supervised by Ling Fan. The study was performed by Huijuan Tong. Data were analyzed and interpreted by Dr. Feng Qiu. The manuscript was written by Huijuan Tong and Ling Fan.

The Author Contributions section has been added at the end of the revised manuscript.

**(2)Comment**

PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;

**Response:**

The comment is very helpful for us to revise and improve our paper. The references in revised manuscript have been revised, where PMID and DOI numbers have been added and all authors of the reference have been listed.

**(3)Comment**

The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text

**resoponse**

We are sorry for our negligence of missing artical highlights. We have completed the supplement of the “Article Highlights” according to the specified format. The “Article

Highlights” section has been added at the end of the revised manuscript.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. We hope that the corrections will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your kindly letter and for the Reviewer’ comments.

Look forward to your reply.

Sincerely yours,

Ling FAN