Dear Editor,

We are submitting the revised manuscript entitled “EAbdominopelvic leiomyoma with large ascites: a case report and literature review” for your review. We appreciated the insightful reviews of the reviewers for our study. We tried our best to incorporate reviewers’ suggestions and comments. Following are the responses to the reviewers’ comments.

Again, thank you for the consideration of our study for the publication in World Journal of Clinical Cases and hope to hear the positive response.

Sincerely,

Yiwei Wang

(1) Science editor:

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of Abdominopelvic leiomyoma with large ascites. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors found that this is very well written manuscript of a rare disease all relevant pints are described in the manuscript; and (3) Format: There is 1 table and 4 figures. A total of 37 references are cited, including 4 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by AJE was provided.

3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the written informed consent and CARE Checklist–2016. Please provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement;

Reply: Thank you for your reminding. We have uploaded the signed documents.

4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by Shanghai Municipal Key Clinical Specialty. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG.

5 Issues raised: (1) I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

Reply: Thank you for your reminding. We have uploaded the grant application form.

(2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Reply: Thank you for your reminding. We have rearranged and uploaded the original figures into slides.

(3) I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;

Reply: Thank you for your suggestions. We have added PMID and DOI to each references and list all the authors.

(4) I found the "Case Presentation" did not meet our requirements. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and

“OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP” section to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision;

Reply: Thank you for your suggestions. We have added the section titles to each part.

(5) the author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. The reference numbers will be superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author’s name, with no spaces.

Reply: Thank you for your suggestions. We have changed the reference number according to the requirement.

6 Re-Review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.

(2) Editorial office director: I have checked and revised the comments written by the science editor. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search.

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, the relevant ethics documents, and the English Language Certificate, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.