

Dear editor,

We thank you very much for your consideration of our manuscript entitled “Selective ablation of type 3 adenylyl cyclase in somatostatin positive interneurons produces anxiety- and depression-like behaviors in mice” (Manuscript NO: 59956). According to the Peer-Review Report and Editorial Office’s comments, we have revised our manuscript. We also uploaded publication-quality figures of PPT format and other documents based on the checklist for submitting a revision.

We thank you again for your consideration of this revised manuscript. I hope that you find the paper acceptable for publication in World Journal of Psychiatry.

Yours Sincerely,

Hong Cao Ph.D.  
Institutes of Brain Science & State Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology  
Fudan University  
Shanghai 20032  
China

Comments:

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a basic study of the role of type 3 adenylyl cyclase in depression. The topic is within the scope of the WJP. (1) Classification: Grade A; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: Authors did a well-documented, well-designed, well-analyzed and well-discussed study. It deals with an interesting point of view about the participation of GABAergic neurons on the pathophysiology of experimental anxiety and depression. The theme by itself must be on interest for the Journal readers. The manuscript still contains several mistakes in orthography and syntaxes. Once fully revised and corrected, contains enough merits to be accepted for publication; and (3) Format: There are 6 figures. A total of 37 references are cited, including 5 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations.

[Response: Thank you for Peer reviewer’s comments. We have carefully checked the grammar and spelling throughout the manuscript.](#)

2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B.

3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided and the Institutional Review Board Approval Form, the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee Approval Form, and The ARRIVE Guidelines. The authors need to provide the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search.

[Response: We uploaded the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement along for submitting the revision. Thank you for your kind remind.](#)

4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China and Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project. The topic has not previously been published in the WJP. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG.

5 Issues raised: (1) I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (3) I found the authors did not write the “article highlight” section. Please write the “article highlights” section at the end of the main text.

Response: We uploaded the approved grant application forms and publication-quality figures of PPT format when submitting the revision. Also we have added the “article highlights” section in the revised manuscript.

6 Re-Review: Required.

7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.