

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60011

Title: Retroperitoneal laparoscopic partial resection of the renal pelvis for urothelial carcinoma: a case report

Reviewer's code: 00468214

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chairman, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-10-20

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-12 10:23

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-17 13:03

Review time: 5 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The aim of the current paper was to show a case report of a patient who underwent retroperitoneal laparoscopic partial resection of the renal pelvis for a renal pelvic urothelial carcinoma. There are just a few minor critical aspects that Authors need to review in order to improve overall quality of manuscript. Background and references need to be improved, please report: - Laparoscopic versus open nephroureterectomy: perioperative and oncologic outcomes from a randomised prospective study - Eur Urol. 2009 Sep;56(3):520-6. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2009.06.013. Epub 2009 Jun 21. - Independent prognostic value of tumour diameter and tumour necrosis in upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma - BJU Int. 2009 Apr;103(8):1052-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2008.08134.x. Epub 2008 Oct 17.