Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: The aim of the current paper was to show a case report of a patient who underwent retroperitoneal laparoscopic partial resection of the renal pelvis for a renal pelvic urothelial carcinoma. There are just a few minor critical aspects that Authors need to review in order to improve overall quality of manuscript. Background and references need to be improved, please report: - Laparoscopic versus open nephroureterectomy: perioperative and oncologic outcomes from a randomised prospective study - Eur Urol. 2009 Sep;56(3):520-6. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2009.06.013. Epub 2009 Jun 21. - Independent prognostic value of tumour diameter and tumour necrosis in upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma - BJU Int. 2009 Apr;103(8):1052-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2008.08134.x. Epub 2008 Oct 17.

Point-by-point response:

Background and references have been improved, and two papers aboved have been cited as follows:

1: In patients with organ-confined UUT-TCC, laparoscopic nephroureterectomy (LNU) has the advantages of minimal invasiveness and oncologic outcomes comparable to those of open nephroureterectomy (ONU) [4].

2: The prognostic indicators of UUT-TCC included tumour diameter, pT stage and tumour necrosis, which were independent predictors of metastasis-free survival (MFS) and disease-free survival (DFS). The patients with advanced-stage tumours, extensive necrosis and a tumour diameter of 3 cm were significantly impaired by increasing pT stage[7].

Science editor: 5 Issues raised: (1) The title is too long, and it should be no more than 12 words; (2) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (3) The "Abstract" section is missing. The Abstract should include "BACKGROUND", "CASE SUMMARY" and "CONCLUSION". Please readjust the abstract; (4) The "Core Tip" section is missing. Please provide the core tip; (5) The "Case Presentation" section

was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision; (6) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (7) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

Point-by-point response:

(1) Title: The title has been revised no more than 18 words.

(2) The author contributions have been provided.

(3) The Abstract have been readjusted including "BACKGROUND", "CASE SUMMARY" and "CONCLUSION".

(4) The "Core Tip" section have been provided.

(5) The "Case Presentation" section has been written the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision;

(6) original pictures have been provided.

(7) PMID and DOI numbers have been provided.