

Re: Prostate stromal tumor with cyst of prostate after transurethral resection of prostate (TURP): a case report and literature review

Dear Editor,

We wish to resubmit the manuscript titled “Prostate stromal tumor with cyst of prostate after transurethral resection of prostate (TURP): a case report and literature review”. Before reply your comment point, we have noticed that the corresponding author name “Kai Wang” was misspelled to “Kai Wamg” in the BPG Copyright License Agreement as you provided, please note that we have already corrected it in the uploaded file.

Thank you very much for the time and attention you have given to our manuscript.

We admire the efficiency of your journal. The valuable and specific comments from the two reviewers have helped us revise our manuscript in many ways. I look forward to working with you and the reviewers to move this manuscript closer to publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

The manuscript has been rechecked and the necessary changes have been made in accordance with the reviewers’ suggestions. The responses to all comments have been prepared and given below. Changes made to the original manuscript are highlighted by using the track changes mode in MS Word.

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely

Liwei Zhao

Corresponding author: Kai Wang and Yi Fan

Email: Kai_wang2015@163.com & yifan1614@163.com

Reviewer Le Zhang:

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the transurethral resection of prostate. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: Good case but needs histology photomicrograph for the readers; and (3) Format: There are 3 figures. A total of 22 references are cited, including 1 reference published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by Sciedit was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the written informed consent and CARE Checklist–2016. Please provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG. Without financial support. 5 Issues raised: (1) I found no “Author contribution” section. Please provide the author contributions; (2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (3) I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; (4) I found the “Case Presentation” did not meet our requirements. Please re-write the “Case Presentation” section, and add “FINAL DIAGNOSIS”,

“TREATMENT”, and “OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP” section to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision; and (5) the author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. The reference numbers will be superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author’s name, with no spaces.

6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.

REPLY: Thanks for your suggestion. Your consideration is very comprehensive. As for the summary of the Peer-Review, we think we have shown the histology photomicrograph on Figure 3 in the manuscript, if there are any other figures that you want to expand, I hope to you could describe the requirements in detail. And all the authors have signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement in the BPG Copyright License Agreement as you provided.

My other thoughts on your work are as follows

- (1) I found no “Author contribution” section. Please provide the author contributions;

REPLY: Thanks for your suggestion. We have added a description of “Author contribution” section in the manuscript.

- (2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

REPLY: Thanks. Enclosed please find the original figure documents - Case Figures - that we provided in the uploaded file. If you are unsatisfied with any changes, I hope you will raise them again.

•(3) I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;

REPLY: Thank you very much for your professional advice. Thanks for your suggestion. We have revised them as you advised.

•(4) I found the “Case Presentation” did not meet our requirements. Please re-write the “Case Presentation” section, and add “FINAL DIAGNOSIS”, “TREATMENT”, and “OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP” section to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision;

REPLY: Thank you for your suggestion. We have modified the “Case Presentation” section according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision and added the recent follow-up results of the patient in this paper.

•(5) the author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. The reference numbers will be superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author’s name, with no spaces.

REPLY: Thank you for your careful observation. We have modified this type of issue in the full text.

Reviewer Lian-Sheng Ma:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the

manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. However, the quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

REPLY: Thanks for your suggestions. we have asked professional help for English editing before this submission. Here is the proof of English language editing. If there still are any errors, we hope to get your help.

EDITORIAL CERTIFICATE

This document certifies that the manuscript listed below was edited for proper English language, grammar, punctuation, spelling, and overall style by one or more of the highly qualified native English speaking editors at Editideas.

Manuscript title:

Prostate stromal tumor with cyst of prostate after transurethral resection of prostate (TURP): a case report and literature review

Authors:

Liwei Zhao, Ji Sun, Yuyong Wang, Runmiao Hua, Shengcheng Tai,
Kai Wang, Yi Fan

Date Issued:

2021-01-10

Certificate Number:

E202101023258



This document certifies that the manuscript listed above was edited for proper English language, grammar, punctuation, spelling, and overall style by one or more of the highly qualified native English speaking editors at Editideas. Neither the research content nor the authors' intentions were altered in any way during the editing process. Documents receiving this certification should be English-ready for publication; however, the author has the ability to accept or reject our suggestions and changes.

Editideas provides a range of editing, translation and manuscript services for researchers and publishers around the world. Our top-quality PhD editors are all native English speakers from famous institutions cross the U.S.. Our editors come from nearly every research field and possess the highest qualifications to edit research manuscripts written by non-native English speakers.