

World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2021 June 21; 27(23): 3142-3428



EDITORIAL

- 3142 Pain management in chronic pancreatitis incorporating safe opioid practices: Challenge accepted
Shah I, Sheth SG, Kothari DJ

EVIDENCE REVIEW

- 3148 Pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer: A case of the chicken or the egg
Umans DS, Hoogenboom SA, Sissingh NJ, Lekkerkerker SJ, Verdonk RC, van Hooft JE

REVIEW

- 3158 Pancreatic adenocarcinoma: A review of recent paradigms and advances in epidemiology, clinical diagnosis and management
Gupta N, Yelamanchi R
- 3182 Silencing hepatitis B virus covalently closed circular DNA: The potential of an epigenetic therapy approach
Singh P, Kairuz D, Arbuthnot P, Bloom K
- 3208 COVID-19-associated diarrhea
Megyeri K, Dernovics Á, Al-Luhaibi ZII, Rosztóczy A
- 3223 Assessment of liver disease in patients with chronic hepatitis C and unhealthy alcohol use
Fuster D, García-Calvo X, Zuluaga P, Bolao F, Muga R

MINIREVIEWS

- 3238 Clinical indicators for progression of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis to cirrhosis
Seen TK, Sayed M, Bilal M, Reyes JV, Bhandari P, Lourdasamy V, Al-khazraji A, Syed U, Sattar Y, Bansal R
- 3249 Update on the management and treatment of viral hepatitis
Almeida PH, Matielo CEL, Curvelo LA, Rocco RA, Felga G, Della Guardia B, Boteon YL
- 3262 Large-duct pattern invasive adenocarcinoma of the pancreas—a variant mimicking pancreatic cystic neoplasms: A minireview
Sato H, Liss AS, Mizukami Y
- 3279 Chronic hepatitis B in pregnant women: Current trends and approaches
Belopolskaya M, Avrutin V, Kalinina O, Dmitriev A, Gusev D
- 3290 Viscoelastic tests in liver disease: where do we stand now?
Buliarca A, Horhat A, Mocan T, Craciun R, Procopet B, Sparchez Z

- 3303** Gastrointestinal involvement in paediatric COVID-19 – from pathogenesis to clinical management: A comprehensive review
Calitri C, Fumi I, Ignaccolo MG, Banino E, Benetti S, Lupica MM, Fantone F, Pace M, Garofalo F
- 3317** Can control of gut microbiota be a future therapeutic option for inflammatory bowel disease?
Nishida A, Nishino K, Sakai K, Owaki Y, Noda Y, Imaeda H

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Basic Study**

- 3327** Oncogenic tuftelin 1 as a potential molecular-targeted for inhibiting hepatocellular carcinoma growth
Wu MN, Zheng WJ, Ye WX, Wang L, Chen Y, Yang J, Yao DF, Yao M
- 3342** Conditioned secretome of adipose-derived stem cells improves dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis in mice
Lee S, Heo J, Ahn EK, Kim JH, Kim YH, Chang HK, Lee SJ, Kim J, Park SJ

Case Control Study

- 3357** Pancreatic enzymes and abdominal adipose tissue distribution in new-onset prediabetes/diabetes after acute pancreatitis
Ko J, Skudder-Hill L, Cho J, Bharmal SH, Petrov MS

Retrospective Cohort Study

- 3372** Effect of type 2 diabetic mellitus in the prognosis of acute-on-chronic liver failure patients in China
Lai RM, Chen TB, Hu YH, Wu G, Zheng Q

Observational Study

- 3386** Preliminary prospective study of real-time post-gastrectomy glycemic fluctuations during dumping symptoms using continuous glucose monitoring
Ri M, Nunobe S, Ida S, Ishizuka N, Atsumi S, Makuuchi R, Kumagai K, Ohashi M, Sano T

Prospective Study

- 3396** Real-world treatment patterns and disease control over one year in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in Brazil
Sasaki LY, Miszputen SJ, Kaiser Junior RL, Catapani WR, Bafutto M, Scotton AS, Zaltman C, Baima JP, Ramos HS, Faria MAG, Gonçalves CD, Guimaraes IM, Flores C, Amarante HMBS, Nones RB, Parente JML, Lima MM, Chebli JM, Ferrari MLA, Campos JF, Sanna MGP, Ramos O, Parra RS, da Rocha JJR, Feres O, Feitosa MR, Caratin RF, Senra JT, Santana GO

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 3413** Local ablation of pancreatic tumors: State of the art and future perspectives
Granata V, Grassi R, Fusco R, Belli A, Palaia R, Carrafiello G, Miele V, Grassi R, Petrillo A, Izzo F

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, Paola Ghiorzo, PhD, Professor, Head, Genetics of Rare Cancers Unit, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino and Department of Internal Medicine, University of Genoa, L.go R Benzi, Genoa 16129, Italy. paola.ghiorzo@unige.it

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Gastroenterology* (WJG, *World J Gastroenterol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now indexed in Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports®, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2020 edition of Journal Citation Report® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 3.665; IF without journal self cites: 3.534; 5-year IF: 4.048; Ranking: 35 among 88 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2019 is 7.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2019: Gastroenterology is 17/137.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: *Yin-Jie Ma*; Production Department Director: *Xiang Li*; Editorial Office Director: *Ze-Mao Gong*.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastroenterology

ISSN

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

October 1, 1995

FREQUENCY

Weekly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Andrzej S Tarnawski, Subrata Ghosh

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

June 21, 2021

COPYRIGHT

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Clinical indicators for progression of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis to cirrhosis

Tasur Kumar Seen, Muntazir Sayed, Muhammad Bilal, Jonathan Vincent Reyes, Priyanka Bhandari, Vennis Lourdasamy, Ahmed Al-khazraji, Umer Syed, Yasar Sattar, Raghav Bansal

ORCID number: Tasur Kumar Seen 0000-0001-5841-0211; Muntazir Sayed 0000-0003-4537-2513; Muhammad Bilal 0000-0002-5945-0968; Jonathan Vincent Reyes 0000-0002-2572-6436; Priyanka Bhandari 0000-0002-8085-5564; Vennis Lourdasamy 0000-0002-2902-0537; Ahmed Al-khazraji 0000-0001-5428-9848; Umer Syed 0000-0001-8842-2950; Yasar Sattar 0000-0002-1304-5748; Raghav Bansal 0000-0003-2182-3076.

Author contributions: Seen TK wrote the final versions of the manuscript and did the final editing; Al Khazraji A, Lourdasamy V, Reyes JV and Bansal R assisted with the final editing; Reyes JV, Sayed M and Bilal M conducted the literature search and edited the original versions of the manuscript; Bhandari P, Syed U and Sattar Y conceived of the manuscript and wrote the first versions of the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: No individuals or any associated parties have any conflicts-of-interest to report.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in

Tasur Kumar Seen, Jonathan Vincent Reyes, Priyanka Bhandari, Yasar Sattar, Division of Internal Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine, Elmhurst Hospital and Mount Sinai Hospital, Elmhurst, NY 11375, United States

Muntazir Sayed, Division of Internal Medicine, R.C.S.M. Government College, Maharashtra 416013, India

Muhammad Bilal, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Endoscopy, Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, Islamabad 45710, Pakistan

Vennis Lourdasamy, Ahmed Al-khazraji, Umer Syed, Division of Gastroenterology, Icahn School of Medicine, Elmhurst Hospital and Mount Sinai Hospital, Elmhurst, NY 11375, United States

Raghav Bansal, Division of Gastroenterology, Icahn School of Medicine, Elmhurst Hospital, Elmhurst, NY 11375, United States

Corresponding author: Ahmed Al-khazraji, MD, Academic Fellow, Division of Gastroenterology, Icahn School of Medicine, Elmhurst Hospital and Mount Sinai Hospital, 79-01 Broadway, Elmhurst, NY 11375, United States. alkhazra@nychhc.org

Abstract

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), is a disease spectrum characterized by fat accumulation in hepatocytes presenting as hepatic steatosis to advance disease with active hepatic inflammation, known as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Chronic steatohepatitis will lead to progressive hepatic fibrosis causing cirrhosis and increased risk for developing hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Fatty liver disease prevalence has increased at alarming rates alongside obesity, diabetes and metabolic syndrome to become the second most common cause of cirrhosis after alcohol related liver disease worldwide. Given this rise in prevalence, it is becoming increasingly more important to find non-invasive methods to diagnose disease early and stage hepatic fibrosis. Providing clinicians with the tools to diagnose and treat the full spectrum of NAFLD will help prevent known complications such as cirrhosis and HCC and improve quality of life for the patients suffering from this disease. This article discusses the utility of current non-invasive liver function testing in the clinical progression of fatty liver disease along with the imaging modalities that are available. Additionally, we summarize available treatment options including targeted medical therapy through four different pathways, surgical or endoscopic intervention.

accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Country/Territory of origin: United States

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): B, B
Grade C (Good): 0
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: October 24, 2020

Peer-review started: October 24, 2020

First decision: November 25, 2020

Revised: December 6, 2020

Accepted: April 20, 2021

Article in press: April 20, 2021

Published online: June 21, 2021

P-Reviewer: Tovo CV

S-Editor: Zhang L

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Ma YJ



Key Words: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; Steatosis; Hepatitis; Cirrhosis; Hepatocellular carcinoma; Liver function tests; Imaging; Histopathology

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Fatty liver disease rates along with obesity, diabetes and metabolic syndrome continue to increase and now is the second leading cause of cirrhosis secondary to alcohol related liver disease. The need for consistent and readily available methods to accurately diagnose and stage hepatic fibrosis becomes increasingly necessary. With an up to date armamentarium to diagnose and treat the full spectrum of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease will decrease complications such as cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma and will improve the likelihood for patients to have a higher quality of life.

Citation: Seen TK, Sayed M, Bilal M, Reyes JV, Bhandari P, Lourdasamy V, Al-khazraji A, Syed U, Sattar Y, Bansal R. Clinical indicators for progression of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis to cirrhosis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2021; 27(23): 3238-3248

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v27/i23/3238.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v27.i23.3238>

INTRODUCTION

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) was first introduced by Schaffner and Thaler [1] in 1986. They assembled a group of non-alcoholic patients with liver diseases and biopsy specimens of liver pathology similar to that of alcoholic liver disease. They defined these subsets of patients as NAFLD. Over the last 20 years, the “non-alcoholic” portion of the diagnosis has been heavily criticized, as it carries an unfavorable connotation for patients that may negatively impact their overall care. In 2019, a group of international experts suggested the term metabolic (dysfunction) associated fatty liver disease “MAFLD” as a more appropriate diagnosis for NAFLD. As the underlying pathology is more related to metabolic dysfunction rather than the exclusion of alcohol [2]. Over the decades, NAFLD has grown to become the second most common cause of liver cirrhosis after alcohol related liver disease. The prevalence of NAFLD has grown every year in the United States secondary to a rise in diabetes, obesity and metabolic syndrome, with an incidence of 31% in 2012 as opposed to 18% in 1988–1991 [3,4]. NAFLD refers to a spectrum of liver injury due to accumulation of triglycerides in hepatocytes presenting as a spectrum of conditions, ranging from a simple hepatic steatosis characterized by fat accumulation in the absence of hepatic inflammation to a more severe disease form characterized by active hepatic inflammation, also known as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). Progressive hepatic inflammation will lead to cirrhosis and increase the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) as shown in (Figure 1). Up to 1/3 of NAFLD patients will have NASH which is a risk factor for fibrosis progression, and approximately 40% of NASH patients will experience fibrosis progression [5]. The recent estimated annual progression of fibrosis from NAFLD is up to 0.09% with an incidence of advanced fibrosis as 70 per 1000 patients [6]. The high prevalence of NASH among the biopsied patients could be explained secondary to the indication for biopsy in these patients with elevated liver function tests (LFTs), and the data cannot be extrapolated to the subset of NAFLD patients with normal LFTs where biopsy is not performed often. In the same study, the prevalence of NASH in patients without indication for biopsy was 6.7% [5]. The annual incidence of HCC in NAFLD patients is 44% per 1000 person-years. NAFLD-related HCC amounts to about 2% to 4% of annual cases [7].

Liver biopsy is not always performed and the diagnosis is often made with available non-invasive tests including blood tests and elastography [magnetic resonance elastography (MRE), Fibroscan]. Advantages of fibroscan, other than being a non-invasive modality that helps in sequential assessment of progression or regression of steatosis/fibrosis, include elimination of sampling error experienced by liver biopsy. Liver biopsy is the gold standard in confirming the diagnosis of NAFLD and allowing accurate hepatitis fibrosis staging. The major histologic features include steatosis, lobular inflammation, and cytological ballooning; these findings help in grading and




Figure 1 Histologic progression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease from simple steatosis to cirrhosis.

staging the disease[8,9]. Moreover, the diagnostic tests especially non-invasive fibrosis assessment testing helps to monitor NAFLD stages to prevent disease progression and diagnose cancer early. Our review article discusses the indicators that help in understanding the progression of the disease including symptomatic worsening, liver function testing, imaging, and histopathological changes.

REVIEW

NAFLD encompasses a spectrum of conditions which ranges from bland hepatic steatosis to steatohepatitis causing hepatic fibrosis which will lead to cirrhosis, liver failure and increase the risk of HCC. The risk factors of fatty liver disease are similar to those of metabolic syndrome which leads to insulin resistance[5]. This includes diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia and elevated body mass index (BMI). It is important to distinguish simple hepatic steatosis, which carries very low risk of developing chronic disease and cirrhosis *vs* NASH which carries a risk of progressive fibrosis, cirrhosis, liver failure and HCC. Overall, one fifth of NASH patients can progress to advanced hepatic fibrosis[10-12]. Hence, the assessment of the degree of hepatic fibrosis with noninvasive diagnostic panels and imaging is important in monitoring disease progression. Several scoring systems and specialized biomarkers have been developed by combining various serologic and clinical parameters for the prediction of fibrosis in NAFLD[13-18]. Despite the advancement of many diagnostic noninvasive fibrosis assessment modalities, one fourth of advanced fibrosis NASH patients can be misclassified as mild hepatic fibrosis[14].

The mortality of NAFLD is not merely targeting the liver. The majority of NAFLD patients are at risk of developing atherosclerotic coronary artery disease carrying higher mortality rate approaching[19]. Understanding patients' risk factors and stage of hepatic fibrosis can help predict patients' clinical outcomes[10]. Multiple clinical indicators and serological markers of disease progression remains an area of intensive clinical and basic science research till this day (Tables 1 and 2).

ROLE OF NONINVASIVE LIVER FUNCTION TESTING IN CLINICAL PROGRESSION OF NASH

Clinical assessment in progression of nash

NASH is a histological diagnosis characterized by hepatocytic inflammation that may progress to fibrosis. Hepatic fibrosis divided into four stages. Stage I describes as mild hepatic fibrosis, stage II moderate hepatic fibrosis, stage III moderate to severe fibrosis, and stage IV severe or advanced fibrosis. It is crucial to identify advanced fibrosis stage as these patients are at-risk to develop decompensated cirrhosis and end-stage liver disease. A number of clinical factors help clinicians to predict the likelihood of the patient progressing into devastating categories of this disease.

Role of liver chemistry in the clinical progression of NAFLD

Liver chemistry test identify active hepatic inflammation. This includes alanine aminotransferases (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferases (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and direct and indirect bilirubin. Other laboratory data should be monitored in NASH patients are platelet count and coagulation panel, fasting blood glucose and glycosylated proteins and lipid panel. Serum hyaluronic acid tissue metalloproteinase,

Table 1 Role of noninvasive liver function testing in clinical progression of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

Sr. No.	Indicator	Ref.	Journal	Year	Results
1	Bilirubin	Demir <i>et al</i> [24]	<i>PLoS One</i>	2013	Total bilirubin was identified as a significant predictor of advanced fibrosis and used to construct the NIKEI score which can reliably exclude advanced fibrosis in subjects with NAFLD
		Ratziu <i>et al</i> [25]	<i>BMC Gastroenterol</i>	2006	FibroTest which includes total bilirubin in its panel is a simple and noninvasive quantitative estimate of liver fibrosis which reliably predicts advanced fibrosis
		Adams <i>et al</i> [11]	<i>J Hepatol</i>	2005	Hepascore, a model of 4 serum markers plus age and sex provides clinically useful information regarding different fibrosis stages among hepatitis C patients
2	Serum AST/ALT	Martin-Rodriguez <i>et al</i> [20]	<i>Medicine (Baltimore)</i>	2017	Serum ALT level is the most predictive laboratory investigation for the NAFLD. The AST-ALT Ratio (AAR) is higher in increasing liver fat content, fibrosis and other metabolic derangements like diabetes and dyslipidemia
		Enomoto <i>et al</i> [21]	<i>World J Gastroenterol</i>	2015	AAR > 1 is consistent with NASH
		Arora <i>et al</i> [22]	<i>J Clin Exp Hepatol</i>	2012	AAR > 1 may indicate the progression of NAFLD and aid in diagnosing liver fibrosis
		Shah <i>et al</i> [17]	<i>Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol</i>	2009	The FIB-4 score composed of age, AST and ALT and platelet counts is an invasive and inexpensive method which has shown superiority to BAAT (BMI, Age, ALT, Triglycerides) and BARD (BMI, AST: ALT, Diabetes) scores in monitoring the progress of NASH
		McPherson <i>et al</i> [18]	<i>Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol</i>	2013	The FIB-4 score was reliable in ruling out advanced fibrosis in patients with histological evidence of NAFLD who had normal or increased levels of ALT, thus decreasing the need for invasive liver biopsy
3	Platelet Count	Enomoto <i>et al</i> [21]	<i>World J Gastroenterol</i>	2015	A reducing level of platelet count has been well documented in advancing liver diseases
		Kawamura <i>et al</i> [26]	<i>Hepatol Int</i>	2015	FSN score of 17 variables including platelet count could accurately predict fibrotic stage and discriminates patients with advanced fibrosis of NASH
		Kessoku <i>et al</i> [27]	<i>World J Gastroenterol</i>	2014	PLALA Score is a very unique scoring system as it has shown usefulness in distinguishing cirrhosis in NAFLD when compared with most fibrosis scoring systems
		Abdel-Razik A <i>et al</i> [47]	<i>Eur J Gastroenterol</i>	2016	MPV is a noninvasive novel marker to predict advanced disease as it was increased in NASH patients and advance liver fibrosis
		Cengiz <i>et al</i> [48]	<i>Eur J Gastroenterol</i>	2015	Red cell volume distribution width-to-platelet ratio was both correlated and able to predict liver fibrosis. It may reduce liver biopsy in NAFLD
4	Fasting blood glucose and glycosylated protein	Pelusi <i>et al</i> [49]	<i>PLoS One</i>	2016	Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis with greater degree of fibrosis was discovered in patients with insulin resistance. Type 2 diabetes in patients with NAFLD tends to drive the rate of fibrosis
5	Hyaluronic acid (hyluroante) tissue metalloproteinase	Arora <i>et al</i> [22]	<i>J Clin Exp Hepatol</i>	2012	European Liver Fibrosis score ELF scoring system has indicators for cellular matrix activities including Hyaluronic acid (hyluroante) tissue metalloproteinase which has been indicative of fibrosis
6	Type IV collagen	Nakamura <i>et al</i> [30]	<i>J Diabetes Investig</i>	2013	NAFIC Score including type IV collagen 7S and Modified NAFIC score were proven to be clinically useful in screening for NASH in NAFLD patients
		Hu <i>et al</i> [28]	<i>World J Gastroenterol</i>	2014	HOMA-IR score indicates NAFLD progression using a formula that involves insulin levels and fasting glucose to calculate insulin resistance. The score has a high sensitivity for NASH
7	Glycosylated Albumin to Glycosylated Hemoglobin Ratio	Stål[29]	<i>World J Gastroenterol</i>	2015	NAFLD fibrosis score, a non- invasive score which includes the presence of diabetes or impaired fasting glucose is the most predictive of mortality in NASH as compared to NAFL patients
		Assy <i>et al</i> [31]	<i>World J Gastroenterol</i>	2005	Increase prothrombin time is usually associated with cirrhotic changes
8	Prothrombin time	Assy <i>et al</i> [31]	<i>World J Gastroenterol</i>	2005	Increase prothrombin time is usually associated with cirrhotic changes
9	Albumin	Bazick <i>et al</i> [5]	<i>Diabetes Care</i>	2015	Serum albumin gets reduced in patients progressing to NASH and fibrosis from NAFLD

ALT: Alanine aminotransferases; BMI: Body mass index; NAFIC: Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, ferritin, insulin, and type IV collagen 7S; NASH:

Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; AAR: Aspartate aminotransferases-alanine aminotransferases ratio; RPR: Red cell volume distribution width-to-platelet ratio; NFS: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease fibrosis score; NIKEI: Non-invasive koeln-essen-index; NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

Table 2 Role of imaging techniques in clinical progression of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

Sr. No.	Imaging modality	Ref.	Journal	Year	Results
1	Ultrasound	Sanyal [32]	<i>Gastroenterology</i>	2002	US is currently the preferred method in United States for screening asymptomatic patients with elevated liver enzymes and suspected NAFLD with sensitivity in detecting steatosis varying between 60%-94%
2	Magnetic Resonance Elastography	Iijima <i>et al</i> [35]	<i>Hepatol Res</i>	2007	Magnetic resonance elastography has excellent diagnostic accuracy with sensitivity and specificity of 98% and 99%, respectively, for detecting all grades of fibrosis
		Huwart <i>et al</i> [36]	<i>Gastroenterology</i>	2008	Magnetic resonance elastography was associated with a higher technical success rate than US elastography
3	Fibroscan	Wong <i>et al</i> [39]	<i>Gut</i>	2012	Transient elastography had shown good results in patients with NAFLD. It is a non-invasive method of assessing liver fibrosis which can be performed at the bedside or in the outpatient clinic
		Wong <i>et al</i> [40]	<i>Hepatology</i>	2010	Transient elastography had shown good results in patients with NAFLD. It is a non-invasive method of assessing liver fibrosis which can be performed at the bedside or in the outpatient clinic
		Ratziu <i>et al</i> [43]	<i>Gastroenterology</i>	2005	Fibroscan has now been validated in NAFLD, and represents a useful tool for rapid, non-invasive assessment of liver fibrosis and determining the need for biopsy. Nonetheless, fibroscan values should be interpreted in consonance with clinical, biological, and morphological data

US: Ultrasonography; NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

and type 4 collagen are serological markers help in assessing fibrosis stage.

AST and ALT: In a cross-sectional study, Martin-Rodriguez *et al*[20] reported that serum ALT level is the most predictive laboratory investigation for NAFLD. The AST-ALT Ratio (AAR) is higher in increased liver fat content, fibrosis, and other metabolic derangements like diabetes and dyslipidemia. Steatosis or steatohepatitis can be observed, but nevertheless patients have normal serum ALT levels[8]. An AAR > 1 is consistent with a diagnosis of NASH. This forms the basis of several other laboratory combinations that may indicate the progression of NAFLD and diagnosing liver fibrosis including BAAT (which uses BMI, age, ALT, and triglycerides), BARD (which uses BMI, AST: ALT, and diabetes), and FIB-4 scores[21,22]. The FIB-4 score is a simple, noninvasive and inexpensive test superior to BAAT and BARD scores in monitoring the progress of NASH[17]. The FIB-4 score is reliable in ruling out advanced fibrosis in patients with histological evidence of NAFLD who had normal or increased levels of ALT, thus decreasing the need for invasive liver biopsy with sensitivity 84%-94%[18].

ALP: Few subsets of NASH patients present with an isolated ALP elevation[23]. Cholestasis also has been noted on histology in NASH[23]. Elevated ALP should be accompanied by an increase in γ -glutamyltransferase (GGT) enzyme suggesting hepatic inflammation. Otherwise elevated ALP without GGT elevation are seen in pregnancy, muscular disease and bone disease such as Paget’s disease.

Bilirubin: Bilirubin is synthetic marker for liver function alongside with PT/INR. Also, it is a part of various scoring system used to estimate the degree of fibrosis. Demir *et al*[24] introduced the non-invasive koeln-essen-index (NIKEI) score which uses age, AST, AST/ALT ratio, and total bilirubin. In a prospective study by Ratziu *et al*[25], the diagnostic utility of FibroTest, a noninvasive marker of fibrosis, was determined in a sample of 170 patients with NAFLD. The FibroTest includes α -2-macroglobulin, apolipoprotein A1, haptoglobin, total bilirubin, and γ -glutamyl-transpeptidase. Ratziu concluded this simple and noninvasive quantitative estimate of liver fibrosis reliably predicts advanced fibrosis[25]. Hepascore, a combination of bilirubin, γ -glutamyl-transpeptidase, hyaluronic acid, and 2-macroglobulin together with age and sex, is an accurate and reliable panel in predicting different stages of fibrosis. However, the limitation of this study included validation of this score among

only patients with hepatitis C[21]. NIKEI had superior negative predictive value for advanced fibrosis compared to the FIB-4 score (which uses age, AST, ALT, and platelet counts)[24].

Platelet count: Platelet count has great value in assessing degree of fibrosis. Thrombocytopenia occurs in cirrhosis secondary to thrombopoietin deficiency and splenic sequestration from underlying splenomegaly occurring from portal hypertension. Platelet level has been used in combination with other biochemical parameters such as in AST/platelet ratio index and FIB-4 score to monitor liver disease progression[21]. Kawamura established the fibrosis score for NASH (FSN), a new scoring system specific to the fibrotic stage of NASH[26]. FSN can accurately predict the fibrotic stage and distinguishes patients with advanced fibrosis of NASH. The platelet albumin AAR (PLALA) score is unique in that it distinguishes cirrhosis in NAFLD compared to most other fibrosis scoring systems. Each factor (platelet count $< 15.3 \times 10^4 / \mu\text{L}$; albumin $< 4 \text{ g/dL}$; AAR > 0.9) is awarded 1 point, and a PLALA score of 2 or 3 may be predictive of cirrhosis in patients with NAFLD[27]. The PLALA score may be an ideal scoring system for detecting cirrhosis in NAFLD patients with sufficient accuracy and simplicity for clinical use. Mean platelet volume (MPV) was elevated in NASH and advanced liver fibrosis (stages 3–4) patients, making MPV a noninvasive, novel marker to predict advanced disease. Another study looked into the performance of red cell volume distribution width-to-platelet ratio in predicting liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD[27]. This ratio was both correlated and able to predict liver fibrosis.

Fasting blood glucose and glycosylated protein: In their observational cohort of 118 patients, assessing the clinical determinants of fibrosis progression rate in NAFLD patients with baseline and follow-up histological evaluation. Advanced fibrosis is more likely to be found in patients with underlying type 2 diabetes[28]. These patients had histological evidence of more inflammation in the fibrous portal areas in those already developing cirrhosis than those at an earlier stage of the disease. Furthermore, this study also observed that type 2 diabetes can drive fibrosis in the absence of hepatic inflammation.

Glycosylated albumin to glycosylated hemoglobin ratio: Glycosylated albumin (GA) and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), which are indicators of glycemic control, show a strong relationship with advanced liver fibrosis. The GA/HbA1c ratio, which is typically 3 in a healthy individual, is higher in liver fibrosis patients. Patients with chronic liver diseases have reduced albumin turnover resulting in an elevated level of GA. Also, they have a reduced erythrocyte lifespan which accounts for changes in the increased ratio. The GA/HbA1c ratio's accuracy in detecting liver fibrosis might be limited by other concurrent diseases that can affect plasma and hemoglobin levels[25]. The HOMA-insulin resistance score is a somewhat rigorous and reliable scoring system that indicates NAFLD progression using a formula that involves insulin levels and fasting glucose to calculate insulin resistance[28]. The HOMA-insulin resistance score has a high sensitivity for NASH. The NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS) is a noninvasive score (using age, albumin, AST/ALT ratio, BMI, the presence of diabetes or impaired fasting glucose, and platelet count) most predictive of mortality in NASH compared to NAFLD[29]. As of 2015, the NFS score was endorsed by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association as a screening guideline in clinical practice. These screening tools may be more important in detecting NASH in people with diabetes.

Hyaluronic acid tissue metalloproteinase: A high level of hyaluronic acid (hyaluronate) tissue metalloproteinase 1 has been indicative of fibrosis. The European liver fibrosis scoring system has indicators for cellular matrix activities including age, the amino-terminal peptide of procollagen III, tissue metalloproteinase 1 inhibitor, and hyaluronic acid[22].

Type IV collagen: The FSN score, which includes type IV collagen 7S, platelet count, AST, and ALT, has been more efficient in distinguishing the advanced fibrosis stages 3–4 of NASH compared to other scoring systems including APRI (AST to platelet ratio index), NAFLD Score, FIB-4 Index, BARD, and NIKEI[26]. The nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, ferritin, insulin, and type IV collagen 7S (NAFIC) score, and modified NAFIC score were proven to be clinically useful in screening for fatty liver patients[30].

Albumin: Bazick *et al*[5] demonstrated that serum albumin gets reduced drastically in patients presenting with NASH. Their clinical variable could be used to guide clinical

decision making about referring patients with diabetes and NAFLD to hepatologists [5].

Prothrombin time: In a study by Assy *et al*[31], up to 46% of patients with NAFLD showed thrombotic risk factors. The presence of thrombotic risk factors correlated with the extent of hepatic fibrosis. This is consistent with known coagulopathy in those with altered synthetic function due to hepatic fibrosis.

ROLE OF IMAGING TECHNIQUES IN THE CLINICAL PROGRESSION OF NASH

Noninvasive techniques such as ultrasonography (US), computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy can detect hepatic steatosis but cannot reliably distinguish simple steatosis from NASH[32].

Liver US

US is the preferred cost effective method in the United States for screening patients with suspected NAFLD. The findings on US include: diffuse increase in echogenicity of the liver parenchyma, hepatomegaly and vascular blunting[33]. The sensitivity of US in detecting hepatic steatosis up to 94%. The sensitivity decreases as the degree of steatosis dropped below 30% [33-35]. US cannot differentiate between simple hepatic steatosis *vs* NASH. Thus, laboratory serological and histological data is helpful in pointing towards NASH[22].

MRE

MRE stands for magnetic resonance elastography which is an imaging technique that combines MRI imaging with low-frequency vibrations to measure hepatic stiffness. MRE equivalent of transient elastography has recently demonstrated excellent diagnostic accuracy. It has shown a sensitivity and specificity of 98% and 99%, respectively, for detecting all grades of fibrosis[35]. Huwart *et al*[36] conducted a prospective blind comparison of MRE, US elastography, and APRI (AST to platelet ratio index) in a study of 141 patients who underwent liver biopsy for chronic liver disease. They found MRE was associated with a higher technical success rate than US elastography[36].

Fibroscan

Transient Elastography (Fibroscan, Echosens, Paris, France) is a noninvasive method of assessing liver fibrosis. It can be performed at the bedside or in the outpatient clinic. It employs US-based technology to measure liver stiffness and has been validated for use in patients with chronic hepatitis C and B[37,38]. However, studies have shown good results in patients with NAFLD[39,40]. In only 5% of the cases, it has failed to show any readings. This is mostly seen in obese patients. This limits the TE's utility in the NAFLD cohort. However, a recently introduced XL probe may reduce this problem[41]. In a meta-analysis for NASH with advanced fibrosis, pooled area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, sensitivity and specificity of NFS, and fibroscan are 0.85 (0.80-0.93), 0.90 (0.82-0.99), 0.97 (0.94-0.99), and 0.94 (0.90-0.99), 0.94 (0.88-0.99) and 0.95 (0.89-0.99), respectively[16]. Fibroscan is validated in NAFLD and represents a useful tool for rapid, noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis and determining the need for biopsy. As this modality evaluates liver stiffness (related to fibrosis, inflammation, and portal hypertension), Fibroscan values should be interpreted in context of the morphological, biological, and clinical data.

ROLE OF LIVER BIOPSY IN THE CLINICAL PROGRESSION OF NASH

A percutaneous liver biopsy is currently the gold standard to assess hepatic fibrosis and inflammation in chronic liver disease[42]. However, liver biopsy is an invasive procedure with associated costs, complications, and inherent inaccuracy due to sampling error and inter-observer and intra-observer variability in histopathological interpretation[42,43]. Despite the criticism of liver biopsy's associated risks, there are 3 basic histological systems that can be used to monitor the progression of NASH (Figure 2). These systems are the steatosis activity and fibrosis score, the NASH

Table 3 Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis activity score; steatosis, activity, and fibrosis score; and brunt grading and staging systems

NASH activity score	Steatosis, activity and fibrosis score	Brunt grading and staging
Steatosis grade 0-3	Steatosis S0-S3	Grade 1 (Mild)
Lobular inflammation 0-3	Activity A1-A3	Grade 2 (Moderate)
Ballooning 0-2	Lobular inflammation 0-2	Grade 3 (Severe)
Fibrosis 0-4 (grade 1 has subgrade A, B, C)	Ballooning 0-2	Stages fibrosis
	Fibrosis F0-F4	Stage 1-4

NASH: Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.




Figure 2 The spectrum of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. NAFL: Nonalcoholic fatty liver; NASH: Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma.

activity score, and the Brunt system that grades and stages NASH (Table 3)[28,44]. Due to the risks and limitations associated with liver biopsy, it is controversial to perform liver biopsy on every patient suspected of having NAFLD. Therefore, it cannot be considered a “screening” tool[45]. However, there are studies that support the importance of liver biopsy. An older study, by Skelly *et al*[46] showed that biopsy on 354 patients with abnormal liver tests-66% had fatty liver, 50% of those had steatohepatitis, and approximately 19% of the remaining biopsies had other treatable causes diagnosed by the pathology evaluation. This included autoimmune hepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis, hemochromatosis and alcoholic liver disease. An adequate liver biopsy, with appropriate clinical history, interpreted by a trained liver pathologist, is not only pivotal for an accurate and complete diagnosis (or exclusion) of NAFLD (or NASH), but also is optimal for obtaining detailed information regarding disease pattern, severity and fibrosis. It not only provides important information with respect to subtypes, potential future risks, possible etiology, and natural history of disease, but also sets the groundwork for future molecular studies and clinical trials, assisting clinical colleagues and patients with treatments and follow-up[47-49].

CONCLUSION

NASH-related cirrhosis is the most common cause of chronic liver disease and indication for liver transplant. The increasing number of affected people imposes a strain on available organs. There are many comorbidities and risk factors implicated in NASH severity and progression to chronic liver disease.

Due to the increasing prevalence of NAFLD in the population, there is an increasing need to find non-invasive methods to diagnose and stage NAFLD. The ideal test should be reproducible, cheap, and able to diagnose full spectrum of NAFLD, predict fibrosis, and reflect changes that occur with treatment. Preliminary evaluation includes clinical presentation with consideration of comorbidities and liver function test in the blood. Noninvasive imaging such as MRE and fibroscan can provide objective measures of liver steatosis and stiffness in patients without advanced fibrosis or

cirrhosis. Due to the limitations, risks and cost of liver biopsy-it cannot be used as a screening test, although is typically relied upon to confirm the diagnosis. Several different methodologies including imaging modalities, serum markers and combined tests are currently being investigated.

REFERENCES

- Schaffner F**, Thaler H. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Prog Liver Dis* 1986; **8**: 283-298 [PMID: 3086934]
- Fouad Y**, Waked I, Bollipo S, Gomaa A, Ajlouni Y, Attia D. What's in a name? *Liver Int* 2020; **40**: 1254-1261 [PMID: 32301554 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.14478]
- Ruhl CE**, Everhart JE. Fatty liver indices in the multiethnic United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015; **41**: 65-76 [PMID: 25376360 DOI: 10.1111/apt.13012]
- Bellentani S**, Scaglioni F, Marino M, Bedogni G. Epidemiology of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Dig Dis* 2010; **28**: 155-161 [PMID: 20460905 DOI: 10.1159/000282080]
- Bazick J**, Donithan M, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Kleiner D, Brunt EM, Wilson L, Doo E, Lavine J, Tonascia J, Loomba R. Clinical Model for NASH and Advanced Fibrosis in Adult Patients With Diabetes and NAFLD: Guidelines for Referral in NAFLD. *Diabetes Care* 2015; **38**: 1347-1355 [PMID: 25887357 DOI: 10.2337/dc14-1239]
- Tevar AD**, Clarke C, Wang J, Rudich SM, Woodle ES, Lentsch AB, Edwards ML. Clinical review of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in liver surgery and transplantation. *J Am Coll Surg* 2010; **210**: 515-526 [PMID: 20347746 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2010.01.020]
- Takahashi Y**, Fukusato T. Histopathology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 15539-15548 [PMID: 25400438 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i42.15539]
- Satapathy SK**, Sanyal AJ. Epidemiology and Natural History of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Semin Liver Dis* 2015; **35**: 221-235 [PMID: 26378640 DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1562943]
- Younossi ZM**, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-Meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology* 2016; **64**: 73-84 [PMID: 26707365 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28431]
- Harrison SA**, Torgerson S, Hayashi PH. The natural history of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a clinical histopathological study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003; **98**: 2042-2047 [PMID: 14499785 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2003.07659.x]
- Adams LA**, Sanderson S, Lindor KD, Angulo P. The histological course of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a longitudinal study of 103 patients with sequential liver biopsies. *J Hepatol* 2005; **42**: 132-138 [PMID: 15629518 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2004.09.012]
- Machado MV**, Cortez-Pinto H. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: what the clinician needs to know. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 12956-12980 [PMID: 25278691 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i36.12956]
- Rosenberg WM**, Voelker M, Thiel R, Becka M, Burt A, Schuppan D, Hubscher S, Roskams T, Pinzani M, Arthur MJ; European Liver Fibrosis Group. Serum markers detect the presence of liver fibrosis: a cohort study. *Gastroenterology* 2004; **127**: 1704-1713 [PMID: 15578508 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2004.08.052]
- Guha IN**, Parkes J, Roderick P, Chattopadhyay D, Cross R, Harris S, Kaye P, Burt AD, Ryder SD, Aithal GP, Day CP, Rosenberg WM. Noninvasive markers of fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Validating the European Liver Fibrosis Panel and exploring simple markers. *Hepatology* 2008; **47**: 455-460 [PMID: 18038452 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21984]
- Angulo P**, Hui JM, Marchesini G, Bugianesi E, George J, Farrell GC, Enders F, Saksena S, Burt AD, Bida JP, Lindor K, Sanderson SO, Lenzi M, Adams LA, Kench J, Therneau TM, Day CP. The NAFLD fibrosis score: a noninvasive system that identifies liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD. *Hepatology* 2007; **45**: 846-854 [PMID: 17393509 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21496]
- Musso G**, Gambino R, Cassader M, Pagano G. Meta-analysis: natural history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive tests for liver disease severity. *Ann Med* 2011; **43**: 617-649 [PMID: 21039302 DOI: 10.3109/07853890.2010.518623]
- Shah AG**, Lydecker A, Murray K, Tetri BN, Contos MJ, Sanyal AJ; Nash Clinical Research Network. Comparison of noninvasive markers of fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; **7**: 1104-1112 [PMID: 19523535 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2009.05.033]
- McPherson S**, Anstee QM, Henderson E, Day CP, Burt AD. Are simple noninvasive scoring systems for fibrosis reliable in patients with NAFLD and normal ALT levels? *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; **25**: 652-658 [PMID: 23325287 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e32835d72cf]
- Perumpail BJ**, Khan MA, Yoo ER, Cholankeril G, Kim D, Ahmed A. Clinical epidemiology and disease burden of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; **23**: 8263-8276 [PMID: 29307986 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i47.8263]
- Martin-Rodriguez JL**, Gonzalez-Cantero J, Gonzalez-Cantero A, Arrebola JP, Gonzalez-Calvin JL. Diagnostic accuracy of serum alanine aminotransferase as biomarker for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and insulin resistance in healthy subjects, using 3T MR spectroscopy. *Medicine (Baltimore)*

- 2017; **96**: e6770 [PMID: [28445310](#) DOI: [10.1097/MD.0000000000006770](#)]
- 21 **Enomoto H**, Bando Y, Nakamura H, Nishiguchi S, Koga M. Liver fibrosis markers of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; **21**: 7427-7435 [PMID: [26139988](#) DOI: [10.3748/wjg.v21.i24.7427](#)]
- 22 **Arora A**, Sharma P. Non-invasive Diagnosis of Fibrosis in Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *J Clin Exp Hepatol* 2012; **2**: 145-155 [PMID: [25755423](#) DOI: [10.1016/S0973-6883\(12\)60103-0](#)]
- 23 **Pantsari MW**, Harrison SA. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease presenting with an isolated elevated alkaline phosphatase. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006; **40**: 633-635 [PMID: [16917408](#) DOI: [10.1097/00004836-200608000-00015](#)]
- 24 **Demir M**, Lang S, Schlattjan M, Drebber U, Wedemeyer I, Nierhoff D, Kaul I, Sowa J, Canbay A, Töx U, Steffen HM. NIKEL: a new inexpensive and non-invasive scoring system to exclude advanced fibrosis in patients with NAFLD. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e58360 [PMID: [23555578](#) DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0058360](#)]
- 25 **Ratzu V**, Massard J, Charlotte F, Messous D, Imbert-Bismut F, Bonyhay L, Tahiri M, Munteanu M, Thabut D, Cadranet JF, Le Bail B, de Ledinghen V, Poynard T; LIDO Study Group; CYTOL study group. Diagnostic value of biochemical markers (FibroTest-FibroSURE) for the prediction of liver fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2006; **6**: 6 [PMID: [16503961](#) DOI: [10.1186/1471-230x-6-6](#)]
- 26 **Kawamura Y**, Ikeda K, Arase Y, Sorin Y, Fukushima T, Kunimoto H, Hosaka T, Kobayashi M, Saitoh S, Sezaki H, Akuta N, Suzuki F, Suzuki Y, Kumada H. New discriminant score to predict the fibrotic stage of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in Japan. *Hepatol Int* 2015; **9**: 269-277 [PMID: [25788193](#) DOI: [10.1007/s12072-014-9605-x](#)]
- 27 **Kessoku T**, Ogawa Y, Yoneda M, Imajo K, Sumida Y, Eguchi Y, Fujii H, Hyogo H, Ono M, Suzuki Y, Kawaguchi T, Chayama K, Tanaka S, Fujimoto K, Anzai K, Saibara T, Sata M, Itoh Y, Nakajima A, Okanou T; Japan Study Group of NAFLD (JSG-NAFLD). Simple scoring system for predicting cirrhosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 10108-10114 [PMID: [25110437](#) DOI: [10.3748/wjg.v20.i29.10108](#)]
- 28 **Hu KC**, Wang HY, Liu SC, Liu CC, Hung CL, Bair MJ, Liu CJ, Wu MS, Shih SC. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: updates in noninvasive diagnosis and correlation with cardiovascular disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 7718-7729 [PMID: [24976709](#) DOI: [10.3748/wjg.v20.i24.7718](#)]
- 29 **Stål P**. Liver fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease - diagnostic challenge with prognostic significance. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; **21**: 11077-11087 [PMID: [26494963](#) DOI: [10.3748/wjg.v21.i39.11077](#)]
- 30 **Nakamura A**, Yoneda M, Sumida Y, Eguchi Y, Fujii H, Hyogo H, Ono M, Suzuki Y, Kawaguchi T, Aoki N, Okanou T, Nakajima A, Maeda S, Terauchi Y. Modification of a simple clinical scoring system as a diagnostic screening tool for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in Japanese patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Diabetes Investig* 2013; **4**: 651-658 [PMID: [24843721](#) DOI: [10.1111/jdi.12101](#)]
- 31 **Assy N**, Bekirov I, Mejritsky Y, Solomon L, Szvalb S, Hussein O. Association between thrombotic risk factors and extent of fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; **11**: 5834-5839 [PMID: [16270394](#) DOI: [10.3748/wjg.v11.i37.5834](#)]
- 32 **Sanyal AJ**; American Gastroenterological Association. AGA technical review on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2002; **123**: 1705-1725 [PMID: [12404245](#) DOI: [10.1053/gast.2002.36572](#)]
- 33 **Mishra P**, Younossi ZM. Abdominal ultrasound for diagnosis of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; **102**: 2716-2717 [PMID: [18042105](#) DOI: [10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01520.x](#)]
- 34 **Strauss S**, Gavish E, Gottlieb P, Katsnelson L. Interobserver and intraobserver variability in the sonographic assessment of fatty liver. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2007; **189**: W320-W323 [PMID: [18029843](#) DOI: [10.2214/ajr.07.2123](#)]
- 35 **Iijima H**, Moriyasu F, Tsuchiya K, Suzuki S, Yoshida M, Shimizu M, Sasaki S, Nishiguchi S, Maeyama S. Decrease in accumulation of ultrasound contrast microbubbles in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatol Res* 2007; **37**: 722-730 [PMID: [17559420](#) DOI: [10.1111/j.1872-034X.2007.00130.x](#)]
- 36 **Huwart L**, Sempoux C, Vicaud E, Salameh N, Annet L, Danse E, Peeters F, ter Beek LC, Rahier J, Sinkus R, Horsmans Y, Van Beers BE. Magnetic resonance elastography for the noninvasive staging of liver fibrosis. *Gastroenterology* 2008; **135**: 32-40 [PMID: [18471441](#) DOI: [10.1053/j.gastro.2008.03.076](#)]
- 37 **Boursier J**, de Ledinghen V, Zarski JP, Rousselet MC, Sturm N, Foucher J, Leroy V, Fouchard-Hubert I, Bertrais S, Gallois Y, Oberti F, Dib N, Calès P. A new combination of blood test and fibroscan for accurate non-invasive diagnosis of liver fibrosis stages in chronic hepatitis C. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011; **106**: 1255-1263 [PMID: [21468012](#) DOI: [10.1038/ajg.2011.100](#)]
- 38 **Osakabe K**, Ichino N, Nishikawa T, Sugiyama H, Kato M, Kitahara S, Hashimoto S, Kawabe N, Harata M, Nitta Y, Murao M, Nakano T, Shimazaki H, Arima Y, Suzuki K, Yoshioka K. Reduction of liver stiffness by antiviral therapy in chronic hepatitis B. *J Gastroenterol* 2011; **46**: 1324-1334 [PMID: [21822591](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00535-011-0444-4](#)]
- 39 **Wong VW**, Chu WC, Wong GL, Chan RS, Chim AM, Ong A, Yeung DK, Yiu KK, Chu SH, Woo J, Chan FK, Chan HL. Prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and advanced fibrosis in Hong Kong Chinese: a population study using proton-magnetic resonance spectroscopy and transient

- elastography. *Gut* 2012; **61**: 409-415 [PMID: 21846782 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2011-300342]
- 40 **Wong VW**, Vergniol J, Wong GL, Foucher J, Chan HL, Le Bail B, Choi PC, Kowo M, Chan AW, Merrouche W, Sung JJ, de Lédinghen V. Diagnosis of fibrosis and cirrhosis using liver stiffness measurement in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2010; **51**: 454-462 [PMID: 20101745 DOI: 10.1002/hep.23312]
- 41 **de Lédinghen V**, Vergniol J, Foucher J, El-Hajji F, Merrouche W, Rigalleau V. Feasibility of liver transient elastography with FibroScan using a new probe for obese patients. *Liver Int* 2010; **30**: 1043-1048 [PMID: 20492500 DOI: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2010.02258.x]
- 42 **Myers RP**, Fong A, Shaheen AA. Utilization rates, complications and costs of percutaneous liver biopsy: a population-based study including 4275 biopsies. *Liver Int* 2008; **28**: 705-712 [PMID: 18433397 DOI: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2008.01691.x]
- 43 **Ratzu V**, Charlotte F, Heurtier A, Gombert S, Giral P, Bruckert E, Grimaldi A, Capron F, Poynard T; LIDO Study Group. Sampling variability of liver biopsy in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2005; **128**: 1898-1906 [PMID: 15940625 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.03.084]
- 44 **Benedict M**, Zhang X. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: An expanded review. *World J Hepatol* 2017; **9**: 715-732 [PMID: 28652891 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v9.i16.715]
- 45 **Nalbantoglu IL**, Brunt EM. Role of liver biopsy in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20**: 9026-9037 [PMID: 25083076 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i27.9026]
- 46 **Skelly MM**, James PD, Ryder SD. Findings on liver biopsy to investigate abnormal liver function tests in the absence of diagnostic serology. *J Hepatol* 2001; **35**: 195-199 [PMID: 11580141 DOI: 10.1016/s0168-8278(01)00094-0]
- 47 **Abdel-Razik A**, Mousa N, Shabana W, Refaey M, ElMahdy Y, Elhelaly R, Elzehery R, Zalata K, Arafa M, Elbaz S, Hafez M, Awad M. A novel model using mean platelet volume and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio as a marker of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in NAFLD patients: multicentric study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; **28**: e1-9 [PMID: 26469357 DOI: 10.1097/meg.0000000000000486]
- 48 **Cengiz M**, Ozenirler S. Comparative diagnostic accuracy of red cell distribution width-to-platelet ratio versus noninvasive fibrosis scores for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis in biopsy-proven nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015; **27**: 1293-1299 [PMID: 26302023 DOI: 10.1097/meg.0000000000000445]
- 49 **Pelusi S**, Petta S, Rosso C, Borroni V, Fracanzani AL, Dongiovanni P, Craxi A, Bugianesi E, Fargion S, Valenti L. Renin-Angiotensin System Inhibitors, Type 2 Diabetes and Fibrosis Progression: An Observational Study in Patients with Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0163069 [PMID: 27649410 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163069]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

