

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60115

Title: Misdiagnosed dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa pruriginosa: A case report

Reviewer's code: 03478911

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chief Technician, Executive Vice President, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-10-17

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-08 03:35

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-16 02:11

Review time: 7 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors showed a very rare case of a patient with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa pruriginosa and a positive care method for severe itching with topical tacrolimus treatment. The content is interesting and there are no major problems to be raised with the content, but one point to supplement is suggested. More information for misdiagnosis should be provided. What were the name of the disease and the prescription in accordance with the misdiagnosis?