

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 60143

Title: Challenges in Managing ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction During The COVID-19 Pandemic

Reviewer's code: 02457934

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-10-20

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-18 04:38

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-19 16:47

Review time: 1 Day and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a interesting manuscript with hot issue, and such clinical research is likely to attract the attention of clinicians. However, there are a few points that need to be added:

1) In a clinical study with such a small sample, it is difficult to draw a convincing conclusion, that The longer interval from symptom onset to FMC is the cause of increased MACE in-hospital and at 14 days in the COVID-19 group. The conclusion need larger samples and randomized controlled studies for further confirmation. Please add such concerns in the limitation section. 2) When the authors draw the current conclusion, they should provide baseline data on the location of myocardial infarction, the anatomical characteristics of culprit coronary and the non-criminal ones, and other baseline data that affect the outcomes of the two groups of STEMI patients. 3) How to define hyperlipidemia in Table 1?

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 60143

Title: Challenges in Managing ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction During The COVID-19 Pandemic

Reviewer's code: 02457934

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-10-20

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-05 22:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-05 23:08

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I did not find the revised table 1 in the documents.