



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60384

Title: Primary intramedullary melanoma of lumbar spinal cord: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05338491

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-25

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-29 10:59

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-01 14:34

Review time: 2 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author Thanks for submitting the manuscript in to this journal. The report describes an unusual condition. However, some points should be considered : 1. In case presentation section : It should be emphasized that neither skin examination nor metastasis work up did not reveal any lesion. If the diagnosis is based on totally resected specimen, it is better to first describe the surgery process and then pathologic exam. The relation of the tumor with nerve roots (during surgery) should be mentioned . In histologic description nothing is written about mitosis or presence or absence of necrosis. Moreover, it is better to mention Ki67 proliferation index. 2. In discussion section, radiologic and histologic differential diagnosis should be discussed and if necessary , some other immunohistochemical markers should be tested to confirm the present diagnosis or exclude other differentials . 3. The abstract in the file and the one on the site are different.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60384

Title: Primary intramedullary melanoma of lumbar spinal cord: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05338491

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-25

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-16 09:56

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-18 13:41

Review time: 2 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author I would like to thank you for considering the comments. However,



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

regarding the second comment about histological and radiological differential diagnoses , still there is no discussion about histological differential diagnosis and utility of various immunohistochemical markers in this regard(for example metastatic carcinoma, epithelioid schwannoma,..).Moreover, lines 149-153 about imaging findings are nearly repeats of line 137-140 and can be modified.