



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 60467

**Title:** Fibula fractures management

**Reviewer's code:** 03815857

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Senior Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-10-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-12 09:30

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-12 23:35

**Review time:** 14 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors, In this article you sought to review isolated distal fibula fractures. Overall, I am of the opinion that is a well-written paper reflecting to high-quality research. General comments Language use: Despite the fact that the paper was not edited by native speaker, I agree with the authors that the language quality is more than satisfactory. Specific comments Study title: I believe that the current study title does not accurately represent the context of your paper as you discussed not only management of this type of fractures but also epidemiology, complications ect. Please consider revising. Introduction Please provide references for the second and third paragraphs. Epidemiology section Please consider providing a reference for the first sentence 'Ankle fractures are frequent injuries, accounting for about 9% of all fractures.' 'there's been a sharp increase' should be 'there has been a sharp increase'. In the diagnosis section, please consider revising the sentence 'Since most isolated distal fibula fractures are stable and incomplete fracture' for clarity. In the radiographic evaluation section, please change 'condral' to 'chondral'. In non-operative treatment section, the use of 'Anyway' appears to be incorrect for a scientific paper presentation. In the complications section, please give reference for reported incidence of non-union (if any). In ROM limitation section, please consider spelling out the abbreviation when you use it for the first time in the text.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 60467

**Title:** Fibula fractures management

**Reviewer's code:** 03028174

**Position:** Editor-in-Chief

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Staff Physician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Thailand

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-10-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-12 03:29

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-17 13:05

**Review time:** 5 Days and 9 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The study is aimed to review the most recent literature about epidemiology, mechanism of injury, diagnosis, classification, management and complications of isolated distal fibula fractures treatment. The title is "FIBULA FRACTURES MANAGEMENT".

1. This is a review article. 2. Several factors influence the management. Please discuss these. 3. Please also summarize in the form of "Table". 4. What is the new knowledge from this article? 5. Finally, please recommend the readers "How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?".