

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 60659

**Title:** Sarcomatoid carcinoma of the pancreas—multimodality imaging findings with serial imaging follow-up: A case report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 02830107

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** Chen-Chen Gao

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-16 21:30

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-21 09:25

**Review time:** 4 Days and 11 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The case report was well designed and presented. However, there are two new publications on this subject, one is epidemiology research and the other is a case report. It is recommended to rearrange the discussion and the article within these publications. Relevant references are given below. 1. Pancreatic Carcinosarcoma Clinical Outcome Analysis of the National Cancer Institute Database. Alhatem A, Quinn PL, Xia W, Chokshi RJ. J Surg Res. 2020 Dec 3;259:62-70. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2020.11.033. 2. Treatment of Rare and Aggressive Pancreatic Carcinosarcoma. ACG Case Rep J. 2020 May 6;7(5):e00379. doi: 10.14309/crj.0000000000000379. eCollection 2020 May.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 60659

**Title:** Sarcomatoid carcinoma of the pancreas—multimodality imaging findings with serial imaging follow-up: A case report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 03244495

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Doctor, Full Professor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** Chen-Chen Gao

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-20 08:04

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-23 03:34

**Review time:** 2 Days and 19 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I personally like this case report very much. The case information is complete and detailed. This case report includes the dynamic progression of the pancreatic lesion. The article discusses the histological characteristics, clinical features and prognosis of this rare disease, and discusses the differential diagnosis with MCN. There is no discussion about the "multimodality imaging findings", which is shown in the title. Compared with other literature, this is the novel content of this article. In recent years, imaging diagnosis has shifted from focusing on pathological diagnosis to grading diagnosis based on aggression and prognosis. For example, LI-RADS, PI-RADS and BI-RADS. Incidental pancreatic cyst management is a hot topic in pancreatic imaging for many years. The guidelines ACR2017 and Fukuoka2017 are currently applied. My suggest is to add the discussion of management of pancreatic cystic lesions base on this case. I believe this will make the case report unique and of higher academic value. 1.add the discussion of "multimodality imaging findings" or management of pancreatic cystic lesions base on this case. 2.In "Further diagnostic work-up"part. "Radical resection of the pancreas was planned". Do you mean "Radical resection of the pancreatic tumor was planned. " 3. Please present the normal range of CA19-9 and CEA

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 60659

**Title:** Sarcomatoid carcinoma of the pancreas—multimodality imaging findings with serial imaging follow-up: A case report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 03646542

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Research Fellow, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Denmark

**Author's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** Chen-Chen Gao

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-17 19:15

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-27 19:38

**Review time:** 10 Days

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Thank you for the opportunity to review the manuscript by Lim et al. The manuscript is interesting and very well-written with only minor spelling errors. Although the topic is rare, it is, however, described in several case-reports which is also being pointed out by the authors. Therefore, added scientific value of this paper is questionable. I have also several other comments on the work: 1) Introduction is too short and offers no background knowledge on the topic, which is placed in the discussion section instead. I suggest mentioning incidence, aetiology (focusing on the difference between PSC and other similar pancreatic malignancies), treatment and outcome. 2) The authors state that this case-report offers "emphasis on chronological changes in multimodality imaging findings". Please specify which specific changes are being referred to? 3) Discussion section should focus on differentiation between PSC and other malignancies. It is even possible to diagnose preoperatively? Genomic evaluation of this tumor would offer an interesting perspective, and possibly answer some of the questions on development of the disease. I suggest writing a systematic review on the topic instead? Focusing on histopathological and molecular characteristics

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 60659

**Title:** Sarcomatoid carcinoma of the pancreas—multimodality imaging findings with serial imaging follow-up: A case report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 02830107

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** Chen-Chen Gao

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-02-02 08:19

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-02-02 09:10

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

The authors made the necessary arrangements in line with my suggestions. Thank you for the cooperation. I think the article can be published in its current form.