



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 60663

**Title:** Remnant gastric cancer: an ordinary primary adenocarcinoma or a tumor with its own pattern?

**Reviewer's code:** 03017544

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Research Associate, Surgical Oncologist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-26 06:33

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-28 09:05

**Review time:** 2 Days and 2 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Comments for WJGS 03873177      The article entitled “Remnant gastric cancer: an ordinary primary adenocarcinoma or a tumor with its own pattern?” write by Marcus Fernando Kodama Pertille Ramos et al, want to evaluate the clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of RGC after previous gastrectomy for benign disease compared to patients with primary proximal gastric cancer (PGC) undergoing total gastrectomy (TG) for primary cancer. Despite the concepts might be interesting there are some points which need clarification. Minor points      1. Clarify precise international indications to perform minimal invasive surgery and traditional open surgery. 2. Authors should specify that their data are preliminary and that the confirmation by a larger number of cases is mandatory. 3. The authors should clarify the specific sites of remnant gastric cancer 4. The authors should modify Introduction, very short and Discussion, very long



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 60663

**Title:** Remnant gastric cancer: an ordinary primary adenocarcinoma or a tumor with its own pattern?

**Reviewer's code:** 05225448

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-26 05:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-02 12:54

**Review time:** 6 Days and 7 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Thank you for the privilege of reviewing your work. In this manuscript, the authors reported the remnant GC had similar clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis to primary PGC. This manuscript is well written. While interesting, the manuscript has number of small shortcomings. 1. In method section. I cannot understand “prospective medical database” 2. This study had some significant difference in clinical and surgical characteristics. I think you should use the inverse probability of treatment weighting as a statistical analysis. 3. The author described the strong point of this study was conducted single institution. But, this may be the limitation of this report.