

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number: 5241

Manuscript word count: 27

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases	
	Manuscript NO.: 60849	
	Column: Retrospective Study	
	Title: Effects of early rehabilitation in improvement of paediatric	
1	burnt hands function	
1	Authors: Ya-Qin Zhou, Jun-Yi Zhou, Gao-Xing Luo and Jiang-Lin	[Y]
	Tan	
	Reviewer code: 05088164, 05476795, and 05088164	
	First decision: 2021-07-05 02:08	
	Scientific Editor: Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)	
	Date of signature: <u>9/7/2021</u> (month/day/year)	
	Editorial Office's Comments	
	Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a	
	retrospective study on the effects of early rehabilitation on the	
2	treatment of pediatric burn injuries to the hand. The manuscript	[Y]
	type designated by the author is consistent with the content of the	
	manuscript. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1)	
	Classification: Grade B (1) and Grade C (1). (2) Summary of the	



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-Review Report: The authors have described the value of early rehabilitation for the treatment of burn injuries to the hand in children. It is unclear in the manuscript for how long the patients were followed and evaluated. The statistical analysis relies overmuch in presenting p values, while other important parameters are missing. Inclusion of a paragraph with the limitations and strengths of this study and the future directions on this research could increase the scientific value of the manuscript. (3) Format: There are 5 figures and 4 tables in the manuscript, and all figures are of high quality. (4) References: Citation of references in the manuscript is sufficient and reasonable. A total of 21 references are cited, of which only 3 references were published in the last 3 years. (5) Self-cited references: There are three self-cited references in the manuscript (numbers 2, 5 and 6). (6) References recommendations: The reviewers made no references recommendations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B (2). The authors have provided a language editing certificate from AJE. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors have sent a Signed Informed Consent Form, an Institutional Review Board Approval Form, which are correctly provided and meet the standard requirements. Possible conflicts of interest from the authors were not disclosed in the manuscript. The Biostatistics Review Certificate states that the ethics application for the study was reviewed, rather than the manuscript itself. No academic misconduct was found by the Google/Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

unsolicited manuscript. Financial support was not disclosed in the manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The reviewer has pointed out that the follow-up time was unclear, please clarify this aspect in the manuscript. (2) The statistical analysis is overly reliant on p values. Inclusion of other parameters that are present in the tables, such as means and SD, in the body of the text (Sections 3.3 and 3.4) should be considered, as suggested by the reviewer. (3) Please consider the inclusion of a paragraph with the limitations and strengths of this study and the future directions on this research in the discussion, as suggested by our reviewer. (4) There are 3 selfcited references (numbers 2, 5 and 6). According to our guidelines, the self-referencing rate should be less than 10%. Please make the corresponding modifications. (5) Please disclose if the authors have any conflicts of interest and if there were any sources of financial support in the manuscript. (6) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the references. 6 Re-Review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance. Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review

Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial



	Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by	
	Authors. The title of the manuscript is too long and must be	
	shortened to meet the requirement of the journal (Title: The title	
	should be no more than 18 words).	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific	
	contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed	
	in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by	
	abbreviated first and middles names.	
	e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang	
7	CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the	[Y]
	research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed	
	the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and	
	analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and	
	Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors	
	have read and approve the final manuscript."	
	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial	
8	support and includes the corresponding identification number(s)	[Y]
	and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	



	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding	
9	author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD,	
	PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address	[Y]
	(including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and	
	contains no spelling errors.	
	The Manuscript Tracking information (i.e., Received, Peer review	
10	started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and	EN/1
10	Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor	[Y]
	and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	
	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific	
	style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as	
	follows:	
	Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,	
	Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight:	[Y]
11	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.	
11	Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor: Non-	
	structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	
10	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	E3/1
13	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	[Y]
	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	1	



	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. <i>World J</i>	
	Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
14	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	[Y]
11	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[1]
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	
	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	
15	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e.,	[Y]
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	
	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are	
	described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the	
	current study, the information is presented carefully.	
	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately	
	describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data	
16	presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the	[Y]
	study.	
	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
17	the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	[Y]
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
	results accenteer in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	



18	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	
	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	
	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, $^{c}P < 0.05$ and $^{d}P < 0.01$ are used,	[Y]
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as ${}^{e}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{f}P < 0.01$.	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
10	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	_
19	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	[Y]
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	
20	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	[N]
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
21	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[Y]
	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
22	number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	[Y]
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	
	reported", "Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	, <u>1</u> 1	



	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	(<i>e.g.</i> , Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	
	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
	duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	
	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
23	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	[\]
23	each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name of	[Y]
	journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
	follows:	
24	<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	
24	<u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	[Y]
	<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
25	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[Y]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	
	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	
26	at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	[Y]
	JL).	



	1	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (e.g., +, -, \times , \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
27	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	[V]
21	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[Y]
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[Y]
	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
20	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	53/3
29	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
20	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	
30	the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript No	
	Review; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review).	
21	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	
31	Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Peer-review(s)).	[Y]
	The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript No	
	Answering reviewers; e.g., 870-Answering reviewers).	
	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	
	Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	
	approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	
	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	
32	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	[Y]
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	
	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	
	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	



ng 7041 Koll of 160, Please 7041 Koll of 160,

	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript No	
	Institutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; e.g., 870-	[Y]
	Copyright license agreement).	
	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	
34	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]
	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	
	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
05	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript No	
35	Agreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for use	[N]
	of personal photos).	
	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	
36	has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	[Y]
	editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
	A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal	
	content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and	
	the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via	
	the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document	
	contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of	
37	journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list".	[Y]
	The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at	
	1440 × 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the CrossCheck results has	
	been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report	
	(e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been	
	performed to further ensure publication of original content.	
38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with	[Y]



	1.5 line spacing.
Responsibilities of scientific editors	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, \div , %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript
Publication process	according to the reviewers' comments. Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the author(s)→End of the publication process.