

Reviewer Comments

1. Some very minor language polishing should be revised.

Answer

Thanks very much the reviewer' comments. We have carefully checked and revised the language of our manuscript.

2. The data analysis section, please state, when it consider as significant difference? $P < 0.05$?

Please make it clear.

Answer

Thanks, we have added this sentence in the data analysis section.

3. What's the article highlights? Should it be deleted? If this is required by the journal, please ignore my words.

Answer

Thanks, the article highlights are required by the journal.

Science editor Comments

Some very minor language polishing should be revised. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered;

Answer

Thanks. We have carefully checked and revised the language of our manuscript.

- (1) I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

Answer

Thanks, we have upload the related files in the revision.

(2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Answer

Thanks, we have added the original image files in the revision.

(3) Please write the "Conclusion" section at the end of the main text.

Answer

Thanks, we have added the conclusion section at the end of the main text .