

Dear Editors and Reviewers

Thank you for your letter and the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Application of a rapid exchange extension catheter technique in type B2/C nonocclusive coronary intervention via a transradial approach " (NO.60923). We greatly appreciate both your affirmation and suggestions for improving our paper. Those comments are valuable and very helpful. We have read through comments carefully and have made corrections. Our point-by-point responses are detailed below.

Q1. The aim of the study is missing in the abstract, please check and revise it.

We apologize for the missing of the aim of our study in the abstract and have added it in the revised manuscript.

AIM

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of rapid exchange extension catheter in the treatment of type B2/C nonocclusive coronary lesions via the radial access.

Q2. Tables require an editing.

We agree with the comment and have re-edited the tables in the revised manuscript

Q3. Limit of the study should in front of the conclusion, figures and tables should be moved to the end of the text.

We have updated the content of our manuscript according to the article type-specific guidelines(Retrospective Study): We deleted the section of “LIMITATIONS” and added the section of “ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS”.

And we have moved figures and tables to the end of the text.

Based on the instructions provided in your letter, we uploaded the file of the revised manuscript. We would love to thank you for allowing us to resubmit a revised copy of the manuscript and we highly appreciate your time and consideration.

Your sincerely,

Jin-Ming Liu