



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 61073

Title: How far panic buying has been studied?

Reviewer's code: 05431362

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Bangladesh

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-23

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-29 22:59

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-29 23:27

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. I found the discussion of results to be very brief and did not discuss the conclusions or results from a fair few of the articles included in the review. 2. The observation that there is little empirical evidence around the management of panic buying is astute. 3. The section that summarises the results of the literature talks about the geographical origins of the studies, their field, and their method, but I do not believe it is particularly educational. 4. Perhaps a literature review would be more appropriate in a year when the literature is more developed. Since preprints were not included, it is possible that insightful research that is still on the cusp of publication has not been discussed here.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 61073

Title: How far panic buying has been studied?

Reviewer’s code: 03656594

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DA, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: China

Author’s Country/Territory: Bangladesh

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-23

Reviewer chosen by: Lian-Sheng Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-14 13:44

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-14 15:07

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting article about "panic buying" in the background of COVID-19. In



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

order to improve the overall quality of the paper and its scientific impact, the authors should address the following aspects of concern: 1. The authors should report the systematic review methodology and results according to the PRISMA statement recommendations (<https://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/prisma/>). 2. There are parts of the text that need revision and improvements based on the language. 3. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were not clearly stated, like "other language", which language? "panic buying" definition not identified, so, what is the clear definition?