Replies to the Reviewer

First of all, thank you very much for taking time out of your busy schedule to review our manuscript. we would like to thank the editorial department and reviewers for their valuable comments.We admire your rigorous attitude towards science. Secondly, according to the comments of reviewers, we re-read our own literature.The article does have some deficiencies, we will also carry out point-to-point reply and revision.Finally, thanks again to the editorial department and reviewers for their comments. We also sincerely hope that this case will be reported.My modifications are as follows:

First, in the title manuscript, authors should indicate patients of "Colon cancer" or "adenocarcinoma of the colon" to inform readers which type of patient they are reading.

Answer: We have revised the original title: "Successful reversal of ostomy 13 years after Hartmann procedure in a patient with colon cancer: a case report."

Second, in core tip: The phase "This case is the longest successful reversal in the world" Please consider editing to avoid overclaiming

Answer: According to the suggestions of reviewers, we express the sentence as:"As far as we know, this case is one of the longest successful reversal in the world." It's more rigorous.

Third, to summarize the case presentation, please try to concisely and shorten some detail which not give clinical meaning or wordy; such as the patient underwent Hartmann surgery "at another hospital in our city."

Answer: According to the comments of reviewers, we have briefly shortened some of the details that are not clinically meaningful or lengthy.Such as:

1. We replace sentence"Currently, colorectal cancer is one of the five most common malignant tumors" with sentence "Currently, colorectal cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors".
2. We replace sentence"Thirteen years ago, the patient underwent Hartmann surgery at another hospital in our city due to a sigmoid colon tumor with acute obstruction" with sentence "Thirteen years ago, the patient underwent Hartmann surgery due to a sigmoid colon tumor with acute obstruction".
3. We replace sentence"Due to the patient's own reasons, he chose to wait for observation and did not undergo postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy; postoperative Hartmann reversal surgery was not performed" with sentence "Due to the patient's own reasons, he did not undergo regular treatment and not reverse the stoma in time".
4. We replace sentence"According to the results of drug sensitivity,

ceftizoxime sodium 1 g every 8-h was given as an anti-inflammatory for 16 d with nutritional support (Ansu Chang Internal nutrition support)" with sentence "According to the results of drug sensitivity, ceftizoxime was given as an anti-inflammatory with nutritional support".

Fourth, the authors mentioned "Full service enhanced CT" please clarify the meaning or delete "full service" to make the general reader able to understand

Answer: In our hospital, abdominal CT is divided into upper abdomen and lower abdomen.However, in order to facilitate readers' understanding, I respect the comments of reviewers and delete "full service".

Fifth, in the Imaging examinations: Panel F, left inguinal hernia (blue arrow); please clarify there is only a green arrow in the picture. And please consider moving the descriptions in the main text for figure 1 to the figure legend. The main text should contain only essential findings.

Answer: Sorry, due to our negligence, the green arrow in picture F in Figure 1 was wrongly described as a blue arrow, which has been revised.

Finally, in Colonoscopy (Figure 2): The authors described "The proximal bowel (Panels A, B) was not abnormal"; However, In figure B there is diffuse linear erythematous mucosa, which is not a normal colon finding. Please consider revising or indicating that there are no significant abnormal findings instead. Moreover, the authors described mucosal masses. Please clarify in more detail what the mentioned masses are?

Answer: Thanks again for the reviewer's rigor. Among them, in Figure B (Figure 2), intestinal mucosa does have congestion and edema, so we strongly agree with the reviewer's opinion and change to "no significant abnorma". The white mucus masses mentioned in the article is a mass formed by the absorption of water by intestinal fluid secreted by the colon. In addition, we have explained it in the article for the convenience of readers.