



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 61132

**Title:** Endoscopic repair of delayed stomach perforation caused by penetrating trauma:  
A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 00503924

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-28 04:22

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-28 05:25

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear respected authors: It is my honor to review your manuscript. Your article reported endoscopic closure of gastric perforation, which has been reported before. It was a good article, but did not bring us anything new.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 61132

**Title:** Endoscopic repair of delayed stomach perforation caused by penetrating trauma:  
A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 00070823

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-27 07:40

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-28 12:40

**Review time:** 1 Day and 5 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This article provides a good case study of the treatment of delayed gastric perforation. The novel modified endoscopic purse-string suture technique has opened up new and effective treatment options for patients with high-risk or inoperable surgery. The description and discussion of the cases are detailed and sufficient, and the relevant literature is used for demonstration. However, the article still needs to be improved, which will provide readers with higher quality resources. The details are as follows:

- 1.The CT on the first day after surgery should be more carefully analyzed for signs of bleeding. Is there any literature on the diagnosis of delayed gastric ulcer?
- 2.What's the amount, color, and nature of the abdominal drainage fluid after the emergency operation of the patient? Did it have any suggestive significance for delayed gastric perforation?
- 3.Please supplement the literature on the causes of secondary delayed gastric perforation.
- 4.Please supplement the literature on the manifestations, diagnosis and treatment of delayed perforation of the digestive tract.
- 5.What are the advantages and disadvantages of the novel modified endoscopic purse-string suture technique used by the authors and their team compared with traditional purse strings suture? Are there any relevant clinical studies?



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 61132

**Title:** Endoscopic repair of delayed stomach perforation caused by penetrating trauma:  
A case report

**Reviewer’s code:** 05382254

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Chief Physician, Doctor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** China

**Author’s Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-27 00:38

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-30 03:43

**Review time:** 3 Days and 3 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

By introducing the diagnosis and treatment of a patient with delayed re-perforation of the stomach after trauma, Yoon JH et al. put forward their point of view, that is, in the case of delayed re-perforation of the stomach after trauma, The modified purse-string technique can be a useful therapeutic alternative treatment. At present, this suture technique has been widely used in gastric perforation or total resection of gastric submucosal tumors. Need to be revised: 1. The relevant content of the 'History of present illness' needs to be supplemented completely, and at least the injured part and the patient's symptoms at the time must be described. 2. What enlightenment does this patient bring to us? That is to say, the next time we encounter a similar patient, what other problems should we pay attention to besides considering that we can use the purse-string technique to repair delayed re-perforation of the stomach? For example, extensive abdominal examination during the first operation can prevent delayed perforation. What other experiences are worth summarizing in the course of treatment? 3. 'an extensive abdominal examination during the first surgery could have identified the gastric injury and prevented perforation and complications' should be included in the summary of experience, not limitations. 4. The limitation of this paper should be the particularity of this case, because the particularity somewhat limits generalisability, and there is a lack of comparative study, as a result, the safety and effectiveness of the modified purse-string technique involving endoloops and endoscopic clips in the treatment of delayed gastric re-perforation due to trauma become uncertain. 5. In the Final Diagnosis, it is suggested to add 'delayed stomach perforation'.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 61132

**Title:** Endoscopic repair of delayed stomach perforation caused by penetrating trauma:  
A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 05382254

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Chief Physician, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** Chen-Chen Gao

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-14 05:40

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-14 08:59

**Review time:** 3 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

Check the manuscript carefully and try to standardize the format, such as the space between words, the space between punctuation and the next word, etc.