

**Dec 22, 2020**

Dear Lian-Sheng Ma, Editor-in-Chief of *World Journal of Clinical cases*

We greatly appreciate with you for peer-review and editorial office's comments from the *World Journal of Clinical cases*. We are pleased to have an opportunity to resubmit our revised (Manuscript NO.: 61187, Case Report), entitled "Restenosis of a Drug Eluting Stent on the Previous Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffold Successfully Treated with a Drug-Coated Balloon: A Case Report"

"

In accordance with the respectful comments suggested by the editor and reviewers, we have revised our manuscript. A detailed account of all the changes made is given in the following pages.

We hope that the revised manuscript is now suitable for publication in *World Journal of Clinical cases*.

Yours sincerely,

Min Gyu Kang

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine,

Gyeongsang National University of Medicine, Gyeongsang National University Hospital,

79 Gangnam-ro, Jinju 52727, Gyeongsangnam-do, Korea

Tel: 82-55-750-9789; Fax: 82-55-755-9078

E-mail: [med2floyd@naver.com](mailto:med2floyd@naver.com)

## COMMENTS TO AUTHOR:

### SCIENTIFIC QUALITY.

Reviewer #1:

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Dear authors This paper has relevance to the purpose and the audience of the journal. you introduced a novel case in the era of in-stent restenosis. the quality of manuscript is above average. the conclusion appropriately summarized the data. i hope that you follow up your patient for five years and report any late complications

### **[Response]**

Thank you for your comment for future direction.

## EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

**(1) Science editor:** 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the restenosis of a drug eluting stent on the previous bioresorbable vascular scaffold successfully treated with a drug-coated balloon. The topic is within the scope of the

WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This paper has relevance to the purpose and the audience of the journal. The authors introduced a novel case in the era of in-stent restenosis. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There are 3 figures. A total of 12 references are cited, including 5 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A. A language editing certificate issued by Editage was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

**(2) Editorial office director:** I have checked the comments written by the science editor.

**(3) Company editor-in-chief:** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

### **[Response]**

We greatly appreciate with you for important comments and advise for publication of *World Journal of Clinical cases*. We revised the figure files as you recommended. Thank you very much.